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## Distribution Learning

- Draw samples from unknown distribution P (e.g., \# copies of NYT sold on different days).
- Output distribution $Q$ that $\varepsilon$-approximates the density function of $P$ with probability $\geqslant 1-\delta$.
- Goal is to optimize \# samples $(\varepsilon, \delta)$ (computational efficiency also desirable).
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Total Variation Distance

$$
d_{\mathrm{tv}}(P, Q)=\frac{1}{2} \int_{\Omega}|p(x)-q(x)| \mathrm{d} x
$$



## Distribution Learning: (Small) Sample of Previous Work

- Learning any unimodal distirbution with $O\left(\log N / \varepsilon^{3}\right)$ samples [Birgé, 1983]
- Sparse cover for Poisson Binomial Distributions (PBDs), developed for PTAS for Nash equilibria in anonymous games [Daskalakis, Papadimitriou, 2009]
- Learning PBDs [Daskalakis, Diakonikolas, Servedio, 2011] and sums of independent integer random variables [Dask., Diakon., O'Donnell, Serv. Tan, 2013]
- Poisson multinomial distributions [Daskalakis, Kamath, Tzamos, 2015], [Dask., De, Kamath, Tzamos, 2016], [Diakonikolas, Kane, Stewart, 2016]
- Estimating the support and the entropy with $O(N / \log N)$ samples [Valiant, Valiant, 2011]


## Warm-up: Learning a Binomial Distribution $\operatorname{Bin}(n, p)$

Find $\hat{p}$ s.t. $|p n-\hat{p} n| \leqslant \varepsilon \sqrt{p(1-p) n}$, or equivalently:

$$
|p-\hat{p}| \leqslant \varepsilon \sqrt{\frac{p(1-p)}{n}}=\operatorname{err}(n, p, \varepsilon)
$$

Then, $d_{\mathrm{tv}}(B(n, p), B(n, \hat{p})) \leqslant \varepsilon$
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## Estimating Parameter $p$

- Estimator: $\hat{p}=\left(\sum_{i=1}^{N} s_{i}\right) /(N n)$
- If $N=O\left(\ln (1 / \delta) / \varepsilon^{2}\right)$, Chernoff bound implies

$$
\mathbb{P}[|p-\hat{p}| \leqslant \operatorname{err}(n, p, \varepsilon)] \geqslant 1-\delta
$$

## Poisson Binomial Distributions (PBDs)

- Each $X_{i}$ is an independent $0 / 1$ Bernoulli trial with $\mathbb{E}\left[X_{i}\right]=p_{i}$.
- $X=\sum_{i=1}^{n} X_{i}$ is a PBD with probability vector $\boldsymbol{p}=\left(p_{1}, \ldots p_{n}\right)$.
- $X$ is close to (discretized) normal distribution (assuming known mean $\mu$ and variance $\sigma^{2}$ ).
- If mean is small, $X$ is close to Poisson distribution with $\lambda=\sum_{i=1}^{n} p_{i}$.


## Learning Poisson Binomial Distributions

Birgé's algorithm for unimodal distributions: $O\left(\log n / \varepsilon^{3}\right)$ samples.
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- Sparse case, variance is small:
- Estimate support: using $O\left(\ln (1 / \delta) / \varepsilon^{2}\right)$ samples, find $a, b$ s.t.

$$
b-a=O(1 / \varepsilon) \text { and } \mathbb{P}[X \in[a, b]] \geqslant 1-\delta / 4
$$

- Apply Birge's algorithm to $X_{[a, b]}\left(\#\right.$ samples $\left.=O\left(\ln (1 / \varepsilon) / \varepsilon^{3}\right)\right)$
- Using hypothesis testing, select the best approximation.
\# samples improved to $\tilde{O}\left(\ln (1 / \delta) / \varepsilon^{2}\right)$ (best possible even for binomials)
Estimating $\boldsymbol{p}=\left(p_{1}, \ldots p_{n}\right): \Omega\left(2^{1 / \varepsilon}\right)$ samples [Diak., Kane, Stew., 16]


## Learning Sequences of Poisson Binomial Distributions

- $\mathcal{F}=\left(f_{1}, f_{2}, \ldots, f_{k}, \ldots\right)$ sequence of functions with $f_{k}:[0,1] \rightarrow[0,1]$ and $f_{1}(x)=x$.
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- $\mathcal{F}=\left(f_{1}, f_{2}, \ldots, f_{k}, \ldots\right)$ sequence of functions with $f_{k}:[0,1] \rightarrow[0,1]$ and $f_{1}(x)=x$.
- $\operatorname{PBD} X=\sum_{i=1}^{n} X_{i}$ defined by $\boldsymbol{p}=\left(p_{1}, \ldots, p_{n}\right)$.
- PBD sequence $X^{(k)}=\sum_{i=1}^{n} X_{i}^{(k)}$, where each $X_{i}^{(k)}$ is a $0 / 1$ Bernoulli with $\mathbb{E}\left[X_{i}^{(k)}\right]=f_{k}\left(p_{i}\right)$.
- Learning algorithm selects $k$ (possibly adaptively) and draws random sample from $X^{(k)}$.
- Given $\mathcal{F}$ and sample access to $\left(X^{(1)}, X^{(2)}, \ldots, X^{(k)}, \ldots\right)$, can we learn them all with less samples than learning each $X^{(k)}$ separately?
- Simple and structured sequences, e.g., powers $f_{k}(x)=x^{k}$ (related to random coverage valuations and Newton identities).


## Motivation: Random Coverage Valuations

- Set $U$ of $n$ items.
- Family $\mathcal{A}=\left\{A_{1}, \ldots, A_{m}\right\}$ random subsets of $U$.
- Item $i$ is included in $A_{j}$ independently with probability $p_{i}$.
- Distribution of \# items included in union of $k$ subsets, i.e., distribution of $\left|\cup_{j \in[k]} A_{j}\right|$
- Item $i$ is included in the union with probability $1-\left(1-p_{i}\right)^{k}$
- \#items in union of $k$ sets is distributed as $n-X^{(k)}$


## Powers of Poisson Binomial Distribution

## PBD Powers Learning Problem

- Let $X=\sum_{i=1}^{n} X_{i}$ be a PBD defined by $\boldsymbol{p}=\left(p_{1}, \ldots, p_{n}\right)$.
- $X^{(k)}=\sum_{i=1}^{n} X_{i}^{(k)}$ is the $k$-th PBD power of $X$ defined by $\boldsymbol{p}^{k}=\left(p_{1}^{k}, \ldots, p_{n}^{k}\right)$.
- Learning algorithm that draws samples from selected powers and $\varepsilon$-approximates all powers of $X$ with probability $\geqslant 1-\delta$.


## Learning the Powers of $\operatorname{Bin}(n, p)$

- Estimator $\hat{p}=\left(\sum_{i=1}^{N} s_{i}\right) /(N n)$. If $p$ small, e.g., $p \leqslant 1 / \mathrm{e}$,
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Intuition: error $\approx 1 / \sqrt{n}$ leaves important bits of $p$ unaffected.
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- Sampling from the first power does not reveal "right" part $p$, since error $\approx \sqrt{p(1-p) / n} \approx 1 / n$.
- Not good enough to approximate all binomial powers (e.g., $n=1000, p=0.9995,0.9995^{1000} \approx 0.6064,0.9997^{1000} \approx 0.7407$ )
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- Sampling from the first power does not reveal "right" part $p$, since error $\approx \sqrt{p(1-p) / n} \approx 1 / n$.
- Not good enough to approximate all binomial powers (e.g.,

$$
\left.n=1000, p=0.9995,0.9995^{1000} \approx 0.6064,0.9997^{1000} \approx 0.7407\right)
$$

- For $\ell=\frac{1}{\ln (1 / P)}, p^{\ell}=1 / \mathrm{e}$ : sampling from $\ell$-power reveals "right" part.


## Sampling from the Right Power

```
Algorithm 1 Binomial Powers
    1: Draw \(O\left(\ln (1 / \delta) / \varepsilon^{2}\right)\) samples from \(\operatorname{Bin}(n, p)\) to obtain \(\hat{p}_{1}\).
    2: Let \(\hat{\ell} \leftarrow\left\lceil 1 / \ln \left(1 / \hat{p}_{1}\right)\right\rceil\).
    3: Draw \(O\left(\ln (1 / \delta) / \varepsilon^{2}\right)\) samples from \(B\left(n, p^{\hat{\ell}}\right)\) to get estimation \(\hat{q}\) of \(p^{\hat{\ell}}\).
    4: Use estimation \(\hat{p}=\hat{q}^{1 / \hat{\ell}}\) to approximate all powers of \(\operatorname{Bin}(n, p)\).
```

- We assume that $p \leqslant 1-\varepsilon^{2} / n$. If $p \geqslant 1-\varepsilon^{2} / n^{d}$, we need $O\left(\ln (d) \ln (1 / \delta) / \varepsilon^{2}\right)$ samples to learn the right power $\ell$.


## Learning the Powers vs Parameter Learning

Question: Learning PBD Powers $\Leftrightarrow$ Estimating $\boldsymbol{p}=\left(p_{1}, \ldots, p_{n}\right)$ ?

- Lower bound of $\Omega\left(2^{1 / \varepsilon}\right)$ for parameter estimation holds if we draw samples from selected powers.
- If $p_{i}$ 's are well-separated, we can learn them exactly by sampling from powers.


## Lower Bound on PBD Power Learning

- PBD defined by $\boldsymbol{p}$ with $n /(\ln n)^{4}$ groups of size $(\ln n)^{4}$ each. Group $i$ has $p_{i}=1-\frac{a_{i}}{(\ln n)^{4}}, a_{i} \in\{1, \ldots, \ln n\}$.
- Given $\left(Y^{(1)}, \ldots, Y^{(k)}, \ldots\right)$ that is $\varepsilon$-close to $\left(X^{(1)}, \ldots, X^{(k)}, \ldots\right)$, we can find (e.g., by exhaustive search) $\left(Z^{(1)}, \ldots, Z^{(k)}, \ldots\right)$ where $q_{i}=1-\frac{b_{i}}{(\ln n)^{4 i}}$ and $\varepsilon$-close to $\left(X^{(1)}, \ldots, X^{(k)}, \ldots\right)$.
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- By sampling appropriate powers, we learn $a_{i}$ exactly: $\Omega\left(n \ln \ln n /(\ln n)^{4}\right)$ samples.


## Parameter Learning through Newton Identities

$$
\left(\begin{array}{ccccc}
1 & & & & \\
\mu_{1} & 2 & & & \\
\mu_{2} & \mu_{1} & 3 & & \\
\vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \ddots & \\
\mu_{n-1} & \mu_{n-2} & \ldots & \mu_{1} & n
\end{array}\right)\left(\begin{array}{c}
c_{n-1} \\
c_{n-2} \\
c_{n-3} \\
\vdots \\
c_{0}
\end{array}\right)=\left(\begin{array}{c}
-\mu_{1} \\
-\mu_{2} \\
-\mu_{3} \\
\vdots \\
-\mu_{n}
\end{array}\right) \Leftrightarrow \boldsymbol{M} \boldsymbol{c}=-\boldsymbol{\mu},
$$

where $\mu_{k}=\sum_{i=1}^{n} p_{i}^{k}$ and $c_{k}$ are the coefficients of $p(x)=\prod_{i=1}^{n}\left(x-p_{i}\right)=x^{n}+c_{n-1} x^{n-1}+\ldots+c_{0}$.
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- Learn (approximately) $\mu_{k}$ 's by sampling from the first $n$ powers.
- Solve system $\boldsymbol{M c}=-\mu$ to obtain $\hat{\boldsymbol{c}}$ : amplifies error by $O\left(n^{3 / 2} 2^{n}\right)$
- Use Pan's root finding algorithm to compute $\left|\hat{p}_{i}-p_{i}\right| \leqslant \varepsilon$ : requires $\operatorname{accuracy} 2^{O(-n \max \{\ln (1 / \varepsilon), \ln n\})}$ in $\hat{c}$.
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- Learn (approximately) $\mu_{k}$ 's by sampling from the first $n$ powers.
- Solve system $\boldsymbol{M c}=-\mu$ to obtain $\hat{\boldsymbol{c}}$ : amplifies error by $O\left(n^{3 / 2} 2^{n}\right)$
- Use Pan's root finding algorithm to compute $\left|\hat{p}_{i}-p_{i}\right| \leqslant \varepsilon$ : requires accuracy $2^{O(-n \max \{\ln (1 / \varepsilon), \ln n\})}$ in $\hat{c}$.
- $\#$ samples $=2^{O(n \max \{\ln (1 / \varepsilon), \ln n\})}$


## Some Open Questions

- Class of PBDs where learning powers is easy but parameter learning is hard?
- If all $p_{i} \leqslant 1-\frac{\varepsilon^{2}}{n}$, can we learn all powers with $o\left(n / \varepsilon^{2}\right)$ samples?
- If $O(1)$ different values in $\boldsymbol{p}$, can we learn all powers with $O\left(1 / \varepsilon^{2}\right)$ samples?


## Graph Binomial Distributions

- Each $X_{i}$ is an independent $0 / 1$ Bernoulli trials with $\mathbb{E}\left[X_{i}\right]=p_{i}$.
- Graph $G(V, E)$ where vertex $v_{i}$ is active iff $X_{i}=1$.
- Given $G$, learn distribution of \# edges in subgraph induced by active vertices, i.e., $X_{G}=\sum_{\left\{v_{i}, v_{j}\right\} \in E} X_{i} X_{j}$
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- Each $X_{i}$ is an independent $0 / 1$ Bernoulli trials with $\mathbb{E}\left[X_{i}\right]=p_{i}$.
- Graph $G(V, E)$ where vertex $v_{i}$ is active iff $X_{i}=1$.
- Given $G$, learn distribution of \# edges in subgraph induced by active vertices, i.e., $X_{G}=\sum_{\left\{v_{i}, v_{j}\right\} \in E} X_{i} X_{j}$
- $G$ clique: learn \# active vertices $k$ (\# edges is $\frac{k(k-1)}{2}$ ).
- $G$ collection of disjoint stars $K_{1, j}, j=2, \ldots, \Theta(\sqrt{n})$ with $p_{i}=1$ if $v_{i}$ is leaf: $\Omega(\sqrt{n})$ samples are required.


## Some Observations for Single $p$

- If $p$ small and $G$ is almost regular with small degree, $X$ is close to Poisson distribution with $\lambda=m p^{2}$.
- Estimating $p$ as $\hat{p}=\sqrt{\left(\sum_{i=1}^{N} s_{i}\right) /(N m)}$ gives $\varepsilon$-close approximation if $G$ is almost regular, i.e., if $\sum_{v} \operatorname{deg}_{v}^{2}=O\left(\mathrm{~m}^{2} / n\right)$.
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- If $p$ small and $G$ is almost regular with small degree, $X$ is close to Poisson distribution with $\lambda=m p^{2}$.
- Estimating $p$ as $\hat{p}=\sqrt{\left(\sum_{i=1}^{N} s_{i}\right) /(N m)}$ gives $\varepsilon$-close approximation if $G$ is almost regular, i.e., if $\sum_{v} \operatorname{deg}_{v}^{2}=O\left(\mathrm{~m}^{2} / \mathrm{n}\right)$.
- Nevertheless, characterizing structure of $X_{G}$ is wide open:



Thank you!

