## <span id="page-0-0"></span>Analysis of Probabilistic Systems Bootcamp Lecture 2: Measure and Integration

Prakash Panangaden<sup>1</sup>

<sup>1</sup>School of Computer Science McGill University

Fall 2016, Simons Institute











- <span id="page-2-0"></span>We want to assign a "size" to sets so that we can use it for quantitative purposes, like integration or probability.
- We could count the number of points, but this is useless for a continuous space.
- We want to generalize the notion of "length" or "area."
- What is the "length" of the rational numbers between 0 and 1?
- We want a consistent way of assigning sizes to these and (all?) other sets.
- Alas! Not all sets can be given a sensible notion of size that generalizes the notion of length of an interval.
- We take a family of sets satisfying "reasonable" axioms and deem them to be "measurable."
- **Countable** unions are the key.

A **measurable space**  $(X, \Sigma)$  is a set *X* together with a family  $\Sigma$  of subsets of *X*, called a  $\sigma$ -algebra or  $\sigma$ -field, satisfying the following axioms:

- $\bullet \emptyset \in \Sigma$ .
- $A \in \Sigma$  implies that  $A^c \in \Sigma$ , and
- $\bullet$  if {*A*<sub>*i*</sub> ∈  $\Sigma$ |*i* ∈ *I*} is a *countable* family then  $\cup_{i \in I} A_i$  ∈  $\Sigma$ .
- **•** The intersection of any collection of  $\sigma$ -algebras on a set is another  $\sigma$ -algebra.
- **•** Thus, given any family of sets  $\mathcal F$  there is a least  $\sigma$ -algebra containing F: the  $\sigma$ -algebra *generated* by F; written  $\sigma(F)$ .
- Measurable sets are complicated beasts, we often want to work with the sets of family of simpler sets that generate the  $\sigma$ -algebra.
- $\bullet$  *X* a set,  $\Sigma = \{X, \emptyset\}$
- $\sigma = \mathcal{P}(X)$ , the power set.
- **•** The *σ*-algebra generated by intervals in R is called the *Borel* algebra. For any topological space the  $\sigma$ -algebra generated by the opens (or the closed sets) is called its Borel algebra.
- **•** There is a larger  $\sigma$ -algebra containing the Borel sets called the Lebesgue  $\sigma$ -algebra; more later.
- Fix a finite set  $A: A^\infty =$  finite and infinite sequences of elements from *A*. Define, for  $x \in A^*$ ,  $x \uparrow = \{y : x \le y\}$ . The  $\sigma$ -algebra generated by the  $x \uparrow$  is very commonly used to study discrete-step processes.
- $\operatorname{\sf Notation:}\nolimits$  If  $A_1\subseteq A_2\subseteq\ldots\subseteq A_n\ldots\text{\sf and}\n A=\bigcup A_n$  we write  $A_n\uparrow A;$ *n* similarly  $A_n \downarrow A$ .
- $\bullet$  If M is a collection of sets and is closed under up and down arrows it is called a **monotone class**.
- Arbitrary intersections of monotone classes form a monotone class; hence we have the monotone class *generated* by a family of sets.
- A collection of sets closed under complements and finite unions is called a **field** of sets.
- Any  $\sigma$ -algebra is a monotone class and if a monotone class is also a field it is a  $\sigma$ -algebra.
- **Theorem:** If F is a field of sets then the monotone class that it generates is the same as the  $\sigma$ -algebra that it generates.
- $\bullet$  A  $\pi$ -system is a family of sets closed under finite intersections.
- The open intervals of **R** form a  $\pi$ -system. It generates the Borel sets.
- Slogan:  $\pi$ -systems are usually easy to describe but they can generate complicated  $\sigma$ -algebras. Try to use a generating  $\pi$ -system.
- A λ-**system** over *X* is a family of subsets of *X* containing *X*, closed under complements and closed under countable unions of pairwise disjoint sets.
- **Prop**: If  $\Omega$  is a  $\pi$ -system and a  $\lambda$ -system it is a  $\sigma$ -algebra.
- **If**  $\Omega$  is a  $\pi$ -system and  $\Lambda$  is a  $\lambda$ -system and  $\Omega \subseteq \Lambda$  then  $\sigma(\Omega) \subseteq \Lambda$ . Dynkin's  $\lambda - \pi$  theorem.
- $f:(X,\Sigma)\to (Y,\Omega)$  is *measurable* if for every  $B\in \Omega, f^{-1}(B)\in \Sigma.$
- Just like the definition of continuous in topology.
- Why is this the definition? Why backwards?
- *x* ∈ *f*<sup>-1</sup>(*B*) if and only if *f*(*x*) ∈ *B*.
- No such statement for the forward image.
- Exactly the same reason why we give the Hoare triple for the assignment statement in terms of preconditions.
- Older books (Halmos) give a more general definition that is not compositional.
- Measurable spaces and measurable functions form a category.
- $\bullet$  If *A* ⊂ *X* is a measurable set,  $\mathbf{1}_A(x) = 1$  if  $x \in A$  and 0 otherwise is called the *indicator* or *characteristic* function of *A* and is measurable.
- The sum and product of real-valued measurable functions is measurable.
- If we take *finite* linear combinations of indicators we get *simple* functions: measurable functions with finite range.
- If  $\left\{f_i: \mathbf{R} \to \mathbf{R} \right\}_{i \in \mathbf{N}}$  converges pointwise to  $f$  and all the  $f_i$  are measurable then so is *f* .
- Stark difference with continuity.
- $\bullet$  If  $f : (X, \Sigma) \to (\mathbb{R}, \mathcal{B})$  is non-negative and measurable then there is a sequence of non-negative *simple* functions *s<sup>i</sup>* such that  $s_i \leq s_{i+1} \leq f$  and the  $s_i$  converge pointwise to *f*.
- The secret of integration.

<span id="page-12-0"></span>A **measure** (**probability measure**)  $\mu$  on a measurable space  $(X, \Sigma)$  is a function from  $\Sigma$  (a set function) to  $[0,\infty]$   $([0,1])$ , such that if  $\{A_i|i\in I\}$ is a countable family of pairwise disjoint sets then

$$
\mu(\bigcup_{i\in I} A_i)=\sum_{i\in I}\mu(A_i).
$$

In particular if *I* is empty we have

$$
\mu(\emptyset)=0.
$$

A set equipped with a  $\sigma$ -algebra and a measure defined on it is called a **measure space**.

Fix a set *X* and a point *x* of *X*. We define a measure, in fact a probability measure, on the  $\sigma$ -algebra of all subsets of *X* as follows. We use the slightly peculiar notation  $\delta(x, A)$  to emphasize that x is a parameter in the definition.

$$
\delta(x, A) = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } x \in A, \\ 0 & \text{if } x \notin A. \end{cases}
$$

This measure is called the *Dirac delta measure*. Note that we can fix the set *A* and view this as the definition of a (measurable) function on *X*. What we get is the characteristic function of the set *A*, χ*A*.

- Fix  $(X, \Sigma, \mu)$ ; write  $A, B, C, \ldots$  for sets in  $\Sigma$ .
- $\bullet$  If *A* ⊆ *B* then  $\mu(A)$  ≤  $\mu(B)$ .
- If  $A_n \uparrow A$  then  $\lim_{n \to \infty} \mu(A_n) = \mu(A)$ .
- If  $A_n \downarrow A$  and  $\mu(A_1)$  is finite then  $\lim_{n \to \infty} \mu(A_n) = \mu(A)$ .
- **Consider combining probability and nondeterminism.**
- Given  $(X,\Sigma)$ , suppose we have a family of measures  $\mu_i.$  Define  $c(A) := \sup_i \mu_i(A)$ . Is it a measure?
- No! It is not additive, not even finitely.
- But, it does satisfy monotonicity and *both* continuity properties.
- Such a thing is called a "Choquet capacity."
- Not all capacities arise in this way.
- For any subset A of  $\mathbb R$  we define the outer measure of A,  $\mu^*(A)$ , as the infimum of the total length of any family of intervals covering *A*.
- **The rationals have outer measure zero.**
- $\mu^*$  is not additive so it does not give a measure defined on all sets.
- It does however satisfy countable subadditivity:  $\mu^*(\cup A_i) \leq \sum_i \mu^*(A_i).$
- We define an outer measure to be a set function satisfying monotonicity and countable subadditivity and defined on *all* sets.
- Let  $X$  be a set and  $\mu^*$  an other measure defined on it.
- There are some sets that "split all other sets nicely."
- For some sets  $A, \forall E \subseteq X, \mu^*(E) = \mu^*(A \cap E) + \mu^*(A^c \cap E)$ . Call the collection of all such sets  $\Sigma$ .
- Define  $\mu(A) = \mu^*(A)$  for  $A \in \Sigma$ .
- $\bullet$   $(X, \Sigma, \mu)$  is a measure space.
- The proof uses the  $\lambda \pi$  theorem.
- Applied to  $\mathbb R$  with the outer measure above we get the Lebesgue measure on the Borel sets.
- Want to define measures on "nice" sets and *extend* to all the sets in the generated  $\sigma$ -algebra.
- A family of sets  $F$  is called a *semi-ring* if:
	- $\bullet \emptyset \in \mathcal{F}$
	- $A, B \in \mathcal{F}$  implies  $A \cap B \in \mathcal{F}$
	- *A* ⊂ *B* implies there are finitely many pairwise disjoint sets  $C_1, \ldots, C_k$ , all in  $\mathcal{F}$ , such that  $B \setminus A = \cup_{i=1}^k C_i$ .
- If  $\mu$  defined on a semi-ring satisfies:
	- $\bullet \mu(\emptyset) = 0,$
	- $\bullet$   $\mu$  is finitely additive and
	- $\bullet$   $\mu$  is countably subadditive,
- then  $\mu$  extends uniquely to a measure on  $\sigma(\mathcal{F})$ .
- Given two measures,  $\mu_1, \mu_2$  on  $(X, \Sigma)$ ; are they the same?
- Suppose that  $\Sigma = \sigma(\mathcal{P})$  where  $\mathcal P$  is a  $\pi$ -system.
- Then if  $\mu_1, \mu_2$  agree on P they will agree on  $\Sigma$ .
- We need to know in advance that  $\mu_1, \mu_2$  are measures.
- Recall: *A* a finite set, *A*<sup>∞</sup> = finite and infinite sequences of elements from *A*. Define, for  $x \in A^*$ ,  $x \uparrow = \{y : x \le y\}$ . Here  $A = \{H, T\}.$
- We have  $Pr(H)$ ,  $Pr(T)$ . Want to define a measure on sets of  $H T$ (possibly infinite) sequences.
- $\bullet$  Define,  $Pr(a_1 \ldots a_n) = \prod_i Pr(a_i)$ .
- The sets of the form *x* ↑ form a semi-ring so Pr extends to a measure on the generated  $\sigma$ -algebra.

## <span id="page-21-0"></span>Lebesgue integration

- Proceed by working up from "simple" functions.
- Fix  $(X, \Sigma, \mu)$ . If  $A \in \Sigma$  define  $\chi_A : X \to \mathbb{R}$  by  $\chi_A(x) = 1$  if  $x \in A$  else  $\Omega$ .
- Natural definition:  $\int \chi_A \mathrm{d}\mu = \mu(A)$
- Define *simple* functions as finite linear combinations of  $\mathsf{characteristic}$  functions:  $s = \sum_i r_i \chi_{A_i}; \, r_i \in \mathbb{R}, A_i \in \Sigma.$
- $\int s d\mu = \sum_i r_i \mu(A_i).$
- Need to verify that the integral of *s* does not depend on how it is represented.
- Fact: every positive measurable function is the pointwise limit of a sequence of simple functions.
- For a positive measurable function  $f$  we define  $\int f\mathrm{d}\mu=\bigvee_{s\leq f}\int s\mathrm{d}\mu.$
- For a general measurable function we split it into positive and negative parts and compute the integrals separately.
- I have skated over some issues about integrability.
- Suppose that *f<sup>n</sup>* : *X* −→ R is a sequence of measurable functions such that
- $\bullet \forall x \in X, 0 \leq f_1(x) \leq f_2(x) \leq \ldots \leq f_n(x) \leq \ldots < \infty$  and
- $∀x ∈ X, √<sub>n</sub>f<sub>n</sub>(x) = f(x)$  then
- *f* is measurable and

• 
$$
\int f d\mu = \bigvee_n \int f_n d\mu
$$
.

• One uses this theorem to prove that the integral is linear.

- Let  $T: (X, \Sigma, \mu) \to (Y, \Omega, \nu)$  be measurable.
- Let  $f: Y \to \mathbb{R}$  be measurable.
- Suppose that  $\nu=\mu\circ T^{-1}$  then for any  $B\in\Omega,$

$$
\bullet \ \int_{T^{-1}(B)} f \circ T d\mu = \int_B f d\nu.
$$

- $\bullet$  Easy to check that the equation holds for  $f = \chi_A$ .
- Hence true for *f* a simple function by linearity of integration.
- Hence true for any positive measurable function by the monotone convergence theorem.
- Hence true for any measurable function by splitting.

## <span id="page-24-0"></span>The Radon-Nikodym theorem

- **•** Fix a measurable space  $(X, \Sigma)$  and two measures  $\mu, \nu$ .
- $\bullet$  We say  $\mu, \nu$  are *mutually singular* if there are disjoint measurable sets *A*, *B* with  $\mu(X \setminus A) = 0 = \nu(X \setminus B)$ . We write  $\mu \perp \nu$ .
- We say  $\nu$  is absolutely continuous with respect to  $\mu$ , written  $\nu << \mu$ , if  $\mu(A) = 0$  implies  $\nu(A) = 0$ .
- If we define  $\nu$  by  $\nu(A) = \int_A f \, \mathrm{d}\mu$  for some positive measurable function we will have  $\nu << \mu$ .
- **If both**  $\mu, \nu$  **are (** $\sigma$ **-) finite measures, then**  $\nu$  **can be decomposed** into  $\nu = \nu_a + \nu_s$  with  $\nu_a << \mu$  and  $s \perp \mu$ .
- There is a non-negative measurable function *h* such that  $\nu_a(A) = \int_A h \, \mathrm{d}\mu.$
- If  $h'$  satisfies the same property as  $h$  then  $h, h'$  differ at most on a set of  $\mu$ -measure 0.
- *h* is called the Radon-Nikodym derivative of  $\nu_a$  with respect to  $\mu$ and is sometimes written as  $\frac{\mathrm{d}\nu_a}{\mathrm{d}\mu}$ .
- Product space  $X \times Y$ , joint probability measure P on  $X \times Y$ ; marginals  $P_X, P_Y$ .
- Suppose I know that the *X* coordinate is *x*, how do I revise my estimate of the probability distribution over *Y*?
- Fix a measurable subset *A* ⊆ *X*, there is a measurable function  $P_A: Y \to [0, 1]$  which satisfies:  $\forall B \subseteq Y, P(A \times B) = \int_B P_A(y) \, dP_Y$ .
- $\bullet$  Similarly there is a function  $P_B$  such that  $\forall A \in \Sigma_X, P(A \times B) = \int P_B(x) dP_X.$
- How do we know such things exist? Radon-Nikodym!  $P(A \times \cdot) \ll P(X \times \cdot).$
- I will write  $P(x, B)$  and  $P(y, A)$ .
- We have a probability space  $(X, \Sigma, P)$ .
- **•** Suppose we have  $\Lambda \subset \Sigma$ . I tell you for every  $B \in \Lambda$  whether the result is in *B* or not. How do we now estimate probabilities?
- **•** For any  $A \in \Sigma$ , there is a  $\Lambda$ -measurable function, written  $P[A||\Lambda](\cdot)$ such that for any  $B \in \Lambda$  we have:

$$
\bullet \ \ P(A \cap B) = \int_B P[A||\Lambda](x) \mathrm{d}P.
$$

## <span id="page-27-0"></span>**Disintegration**

- Back to the product case: I wrote  $P(x, B)$ .
- For fixed *x* it is a probability measure. For fixed *B* it is a measurable function.
- Not quite! For a fixed countable family of measurable sets we get countable additivity *almost everywhere*.
- But there are lots of countable families; we could end up with something that is not a proper measure anywhere!
- We want something stronger than what RNT promises: regular conditional probabilities or *disintegrations*.
- For disintegrations the statements of (2) are true everywhere.
- How do we construct disintegrations? They can be constructed on spaces that are equipped with *metric* structure.
- A Polish space is the topological space underlying a complete separable metric space. On Polish spaces disintegrations can always be constructed.