# Logic and Databases

#### Phokion G. Kolaitis

UC Santa Cruz & IBM Research - Almaden

#### Lecture 4 - Part 2





◆□ > ◆□ > ◆臣 > ◆臣 > 善臣 - のへで

## Alternative Semantics of Queries

#### Bag Semantics

We focused on the containment problem for conjunctive queries under bag semantics.

Next, we will discuss:

- Probabilistic Databases
- Inconsistent Databases

The focus will be on the data complexity of conjunctive queries in these two frameworks.

### **Probabilistic Databases**

- So far, data stored in a database have been assumed to exist with certainty
- However, in modern applications, data may be uncertain: noisy, fuzzy, corrupted, or even missing.
  - Such applications include social media, information integration, scientific data management, ...
- Probabilistic Databases provide a framework for modeling and managing uncertain data.
  - Probabilistic Databases extend relational databases with probabilities.
  - Both the data and their probabilities are stored as "standard" relations, but the semantics of query answering takes probabilities into account.

# **Probabilistic Databases**

#### Definition A probabilistic database is a pair $\mathbf{W} = (\mathbf{D}, P)$ such that

- ► D = {D<sub>1</sub>,..., D<sub>k</sub>} is a finite set of databases D<sub>i</sub> over the same schema.
- $P : \mathbf{D} \to [0, 1]$  is a function such that  $\sum_{i=1}^{k} P(D_k) = 1$ .

#### Intuition

- A probabilistic database can be in one of finitely many possible states, each with some probability.
- D is a set of possible worlds representing the possible states of the probabilistic database.

## **Marginal Probabilities**

Definition Let  $\mathbf{W} = (\mathbf{D}, P)$  be a probabilistic database.

► Let q be a k-ary query,  $k \ge 1$ , and let **a** be a k-tuple. The marginal probability  $Pr(q, \mathbf{a}, \mathbf{W})$  of **a** is

$$Pr(q, \mathbf{a}, \mathbf{W}) = \sum_{\mathbf{a} \in q(D_i)} P(D_i).$$

Let q be a Boolean query. The marginal probability Pr(q, W) of q is

$$Pr(q, \mathbf{W}) = \sum_{D_i \models q} P(D_i).$$

Query Evaluation over Probabilistic Databases

- Query Evaluation over probabilistic databases:
  Given a *k*-ary query *q*, a *k*-tuple **a**, and a probabilistic database **W**, compute the marginal probability *Pr(q, a, W).*
- Note that this is a combined complexity problem. Here, we will focus on the data complexity of Boolean conjunctive queries over probabilistic databases.
- Fix a Boolean conjunctive query q.
  Then Pr[q] is the following algorithmic problem:
  Given a probabilistic database W, compute the marginal probability Pr(q, W).

# **Representations of Probabilistic Databases**

- A probabilistic database may have an arbitrarily large number of possible worlds, which implies that listing all these possible worlds may be infeasible.
- For this reason, several different compact representations of probabilistic databases have been introduced and investigated.
- Here, we will focus on tuple-independent databases, which is arguably the simplest model for probabilistic database design.
- Intuitively, in a tuple-independent database all tuples are independent probabilistic events.

# **Tuple-Independent Databases**

► A tuple-independent relation is a relation R(A<sub>1</sub>,..., A<sub>m</sub>, P) in which tuples (a<sub>1</sub>,..., a<sub>m</sub>) are independent events and the values of P are numbers in the interval [0, 1] denoting the marginal tuple probabilities of the tuples.

Company	Product	Р	
Apple	iphone 6	0.95	
Samsung	Galaxy 7	0.96	
Apple	iphone 7	0.75	
Microsoft	Lumia 640	0.85	

- This table is a compact representation of 16 possible tables.
- For example, the table consisting of the first, the second, and the fourth tuple has probability 0.95 · 0.96 · 0.25 · 0.85.
- A tuple-independent database is a database consisting of tuple-independent relations.

#### Fix a Boolean query q.

Pr[q] is the following problem: Given a tuple-independent database **W**, compute the marginal probability  $Pr(q, \mathbf{W})$ .

- This is a data complexity problem because the query is fixed and the input is a tuple-independent database W.
- Recall that the data complexity of unions of conjunctive queries on (deterministic) databases is in LOGSPACE.

#### Dichotomy Theorem (Dalvi and Suciu - 2012)

If q is a union of Boolean conjunctive queries, then Pr[q] is in P or Pr[q] is #P-complete.

#### Dichotomy Theorem (Dalvi and Suciu - 2012)

If q is a union of Boolean conjunctive queries, then Pr[q] is in P or Pr[q] is #P-complete.

Note

- #P is the class of counting problems associated with decision problems in NP.
- The prototypical #P-complete problem is #SAT: Given a CNF-formula φ, compute the number of its satisfying assignments.
- Valiant (1979) also showed that #POSITIVE 2SAT is #P-complete.

("easy" decision - "hard" counting phenomenon)

# **Hierarchical Queries**

### Definition

- A self-join free conjunctive query is a conjunctive query in which no relation symbol appears more than once.
- Let q be a self-join free conjunctive query.
  - If x is a variable of q, then at(x) is the set of all atoms of x in which x appears.
  - We say that q is hierarchical if for every two variables x and y of q, one of the following holds:

 $at(x) \subseteq at(y), \quad at(y) \subseteq at(x), \quad at(x) \cap at(y) = \emptyset.$ 

#### Example

- The query  $\exists x \exists y (R(x) \land S(x, y))$  is hierarchical.
- The query  $\exists x \exists y (R(x) \land S(x, y) \land T(y))$  is not hierarchical.

The Little Dichotomy Theorem (Dalvi and Suciu - 2004) Let q be a Boolean self-join free conjunctive query.

- If q is hierarchical, then Pr[q] is in P.
- ▶ If *q* is not hierarchical, then Pr[q] is #P-complete.

The Little Dichotomy Theorem (Dalvi and Suciu - 2004) Let q be a Boolean self-join free conjunctive query.

- If q is hierarchical, then Pr[q] is in P.
- ▶ If q is not hierarchical, then Pr[q] is #P-complete.

Proof Idea

- Hierarchical queries admit safe evaluation plans.
- Non-hierarchical queries:
  - Show that  $Pr[\exists x \exists y (R(x) \land S(x, y) \land T(y))]$  is #P-complete.
  - Show that if q is not hierarchical, then  $Pr[\exists x \exists y (R(x) \land S(x, y) \land T(y))]$  is reducible to Pr[q].

### **Hierarchical Queries**

Let *q* be the hierarchical query  $\exists x \exists y (R(x) \land S(x, y))$  and let **W** be a tuple-independent database.

- First, write q as  $\exists x(R(x) \land \exists yS(x, y))$ .
- Then, using tuple-independence repeatedly, we have that:

$$Pr[q] = 1 - \prod_{a \in adom(W)} (1 - P((R(a) \land \exists y S(a, y))))$$
  
=  $1 - \prod_{a \in adom(W)} (1 - P((R(a)) \cdot P(\exists y S(a, y))))$   
=  $1 - \prod_{a \in adom(W)} (1 - P((R(a)) \cdot (1 - \prod_{b \in adom(W)} (1 - P(S(a, b)))))))$ 

• The last expression has size  $O(n^2)$ , where  $n = |adom(\mathbf{W})|$ .

### Non-Hierarchical Queries

 A positive partitioned 2DNF formula (PP2DNF) is a DNF-formula of the form

 $x_{i_1}y_{j_1} \vee \cdots \vee x_{i_k}y_{j_k}$ , where the  $x_i$ 's and the  $y_i$ 's form disjoint sets of variables.

- Theorem (Provan and Ball 1982) #PP2DNF is #P-complete.
- ► Theorem (Dalvi and Suciu 2004) There is a counting reduction from #PP2DNF to Pr[∃x∃y(R(x) ∧ S(x, y) ∧ T(y))].

# Non-Hierarchical Queries

Counting reduction from

#PP2DNF to  $Pr[\exists x \exists y (R(x) \land S(x, y) \land T(y))].$ 

- Suppose  $\varphi$  is the formula  $x_1y_1 \lor x_1y_2 \lor x_2y_1$
- Let  $\mathbf{W}_{\varphi}$  be the tuple-independence database

R	X	P	S	X	Y	Ρ	T	Y	Ρ
	<i>x</i> <sub>1</sub>	0.5		<i>x</i> <sub>1</sub>	<b>Y</b> 1	1		<i>Y</i> 1	0.5
	<i>x</i> <sub>2</sub>	0.5		<i>x</i> <sub>1</sub>	<b>y</b> 2	1		<i>y</i> <sub>2</sub>	0.5
				<i>x</i> <sub>2</sub>	<b>Y</b> 1	1			

- There is a 1-1 correspondence between truth assignments for φ and possible worlds for W<sub>φ</sub>.
- It is easy to see that

 $\#\varphi = 2^n Pr(\exists x \exists y (R(x) \land S(x, y) \land T(y)), \mathbf{W}_{\varphi}),$ where *n* is the number of variables of  $\varphi$ .

### Non-Hierarchical Queries

Let *q* be a Boolean conjunctive query that is **not** hierarchical.

- By definition, there are variables x and y of q such that at(x) ⊈ at(y), at(y) ⊈ at(x), at(x) ∩ at(y) ≠ Ø.
- Since at(x) ⊈ at(y), there is an atom R'(x,...) in which y does not appear.
- Since at(y) ⊈ at(x), there is an atom T'(y,...) in which x does not appear.
- Since  $at(x) \cap at(y) \neq \emptyset$ , there is an atom T'(x, y, ...) in which both x and y appear.
- ► These atoms can be used to obtain a counting reduction from  $Pr[\exists x \exists y (R(x) \land S(x, y) \land T(y))]$  to Pr[q].

The Little Dichotomy Theorem (Dalvi and Suciu - 2004) Let q be a Boolean self-join free conjunctive query.

- If q is hierarchical, then Pr[q] is in P.
- ▶ If q is not hierarchical, then Pr[q] is #P-complete.

The Little Dichotomy Theorem (Dalvi and Suciu - 2004) Let q be a Boolean self-join free conjunctive query.

- If q is hierarchical, then Pr[q] is in P.
- ▶ If q is not hierarchical, then Pr[q] is #P-complete.

**Open Problems:** 

- Dichotomy Theorem for arbitrary conjunctive queries on the block-independent-disjoint model.
  - Dichotomy known for self-join free conjunctive queries.
- Dichotomy Theorem for arbitrary conjunctive queries on the tuple-independent model in the presence of functional dependencies.
  - Dichotomy known for self-join free conjunctive queries.