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## Familiar Puzzle: Missing Number

- $A$ shows $B$ numbers $1, \ldots, n$ but in a permuted order and peaves out one of the whonbers.
- B has to determine the missing number.
- Key: $B$ has only $O(\log n)$ bits.


## Familiar Puzzle: Missing Number

- $A$ shows $B$ numbers $1, \ldots, n$ but in a permuted order and leaves out one of the thembers.
- B has to determine the missing number.
- Key: $B$ has only $O(\log n)$ bits.
- Solution: $B$ maintains the running sum $s$ of numbers seen. Missing number is $\frac{n(n+1)}{2}-s$.
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## A New Puzzle: One Word Median

- $A$ sees items $i_{1}, i_{2}, \ldots$ arrive in a stream.
- Ahas to maintain the median $m_{j}$ of the items $i_{1}, \ldots, i_{j}$.
- Key: $A$ is allowed to store only one word of memory (of $\log n$ bits).
- Each $i_{j}$ generated independently and randomly from some unknown distribution $\mathcal{D}$ over integers $[1, n]$.
- Solution. Wińntain $\mu_{j}$.

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \text { If } i_{j+1}>\mu_{j}, \mu_{j+1} \leftarrow \mu_{j}+1 \\
& \text { If } i_{j+1} \nless \mu_{j}, \mu_{j+1} \leftarrow \mu_{j}-1
\end{aligned}
$$

## This Talk

- Two basic primitives and applications with data stream algorithms.


## This Talk

- Two basic primitives and applications with data stream algorithms.
- Count-Min sketch, applications to compressed sensing
- $L_{0}$ sampling, applications to graph problems
- Some topics:
- $L_{2}$ sketches and applications
- Nonstreaming applications of streaming results
- Distributed streaming
- Pan-privacy
- Cryptography


## A Basic Problem: Indexing

- Imagine a virtual array $F[1 \cdots n]$
$\rightarrow$ Updates: $F[i]++, F[i]--$
$\rightarrow$ Assume $F[i] \geq 0$ at all times
- Query: $F[i]=$ ?
- Key: Use $o(n)$ space, may be $O(\log n)$ space


## Coûnt-Min Sketch

- For each update $F[i]++$,
- for each $j=1, \ldots, \log (1 / \delta)$, update $c m\left[h_{j}(i)\right]++$.

Estimate $\tilde{F}(i)=\min _{j=1, \ldots, \log (1 / \delta)} \mathrm{cm}\left[h_{j}(i)\right]$.
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- $F[i] \leq \tilde{F}[i]$. With probability at least $1-\delta$,
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## Count-Min Sketch Analysis

- $F[i] \leq \tilde{F}[i]$. With probability at least $1-\delta$,

$$
\tilde{F}[i] \leq F[i]+\varepsilon \sum_{j \neq i} F[j] .
$$

$\checkmark X_{i, j}$ is the expected contribution of $F[j]$ to the bucket containing $i$, for any $h$.

$$
E\left(X_{i, j}\right)=\frac{\varepsilon}{e} \sum_{j \neq i} F[j]
$$

- Consider $\operatorname{Pr}\left(\tilde{F}[i]>F[i]+\varepsilon \sum_{j \neq i} F[j]\right)$ :

$$
\begin{aligned}
\operatorname{Pr}() & =\operatorname{Pr}\left(\forall j, F[i]+X_{i, j}>F[i]+\varepsilon \sum_{j \neq i} F[j]\right) \\
= & = \\
& =\operatorname{Pr}\left(\forall j, X_{i, j} \geq e E\left(X_{i, j}\right)\right)<e^{-\log (1 / \delta)}=\delta
\end{aligned}
$$

## Coûnt-Min Sketch

- Claim: $F[i] \leq \tilde{F}[i]$. With probability at least $1-\delta$,

$$
\tilde{F}[i] \leq F[i]+\varepsilon \sum_{j \neq i} F[j]
$$

- Space used is $O\left(\frac{1}{\varepsilon} \log \frac{1}{\delta}\right)$.
- Time per update is $O\left(\log \frac{1}{\delta}\right)$. Indep of $n$.
G. Cormode and S. Muthukrishnan: An improved data stream summary: count-min sketch and its applications. Journal of Algorithms, 55(1): 58-75 (2005).


## Improve Count-Min Sketch?

- Index Problem:
- ALICE has $n$ long bitstring and sends messages to BOB who wishes to compute the $i$ th bit.
- Needs $\Omega(n)$ bits of communication.
- Reduction of estimating $F[i]$ in data stream model.
- $I[1 \cdots 1 /(2 \varepsilon)]$ such that
- $I[i]=1 \rightarrow F[i]=2$
- $I[i]=0 \rightarrow F[i]=0 ; F[0] \leftarrow F[0]+2$
- Observe that $\|F\|=\sum_{i} F[i]=1 / \varepsilon$


## Improve Count-Min Sketch?

- Index Problem:
- ALICE has $n$ long bitstring and sends messages to BOB who wishes to compute the $i$ th bit.
- Needs $\Omega(n)$ bits of communication.
- Reduction of estimating $F[i]$ in data stream model.
- $I[1 \cdots 1 /(2 \varepsilon)]$ such that
- $I[i]=1 \rightarrow F[i]=2$
- $I[i]=0 \rightarrow F[i]=0 ; F[0] \leftarrow F[0]+2$
- Observe that $\|F\|=\sum_{i} F[i]=1 / \varepsilon$
- Estimating $F[i] \leq \tilde{F}[i] \leq F[i]+\varepsilon\|F\|$ implies,
- $I[i]=0 \rightarrow F[i]=0 \rightarrow 0 \leq \tilde{F}[i] \leq 1$
- $I[i]=1 \rightarrow F[i]=2 \rightarrow 2 \leq \tilde{F}[i] \leq 3$ and reveals $I[i]$.
Therefore, $\Omega(1 / \varepsilon)$ space lower bound for index problem.
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- Not all projections, dimensionality reduction are the same:
- All prior work $\Omega\left(1 / \varepsilon^{2}\right)$ space, via Johnson-Lindenstrauss
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## Coûnt-Min Sketch, The Challenges

- Not all projections, dimensionality reduction are the same:
- All prior work $\Omega\left(1 / \varepsilon^{2}\right)$ space, via Johnson-Lindenstrauss
- Not all hashing algorithms are the same:
- Pairwise independence
$\downarrow$ Not all approximations are sampling.
- Recovering $F[i]$ to $\pm 0.1|F|$ accuracy will retrieve each item precisely.

1000000 items
inserted

999996 items deleted

Summary

## Using Count-Min Sketch

- For each $i$, determine $\tilde{F}[i]$
- Keep the set $S$ of heavy hitters $(\tilde{F}[i] \geq 2 \varepsilon\|F\|)$.
- Guaranteed that $S$ contains $i$ such that $F[i] \geq 2 \varepsilon\|F\|$ and no $F[i] \leq \varepsilon\|F\|$
- Extra $\log n$ factor space for $n$ queries

Problem is of database interest.
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- For each $i$, determine $\tilde{F}[i]$
- Keep the set $S$ of heavy hitters $(\tilde{F}[i] \geq 2 \varepsilon\|F\|)$.
- Guaranteed that $S$ contains $i$ such that $F[i] \geq 2 \varepsilon\|F\|$ and no $F[i] \leq \varepsilon\|F\|$
- Extra $\log n$ factor space for $n$ queries

Problem is of database interest.

- Faster recovery: In each bucket, recover majority $i$ $\left(F[i]>\sum_{j}\right.$ same bucket as $\left.i F[j] / 2\right)$
- Takes $O(\log n)$ extra time, space Gives compressed sensing in $L_{1}$ :

$$
\left\|F-\tilde{F}_{k}\right\|_{1} \leq\left\|F-F_{k}^{*}\right\|_{1}+\varepsilon\|F\|_{1}
$$

Sparse recovery experiments: http://groups.csail.mit.edu/toc/sparse/ wiki/index.php?title=Sparse_Recovery_Experiments

## Count-Min Sketch: Summary

- Solves many problems:
- Heavy hitters, compressed sensing, inner products, ...
- Applications to other CS/EE areas:
- NLP, ML, Password checking.
- Systems, code, hardware.
- Gigascope, CMON, Sawzall, MillWheel, ...

Wiki: http://sites.google.com/site/countminsketch/

## $L_{0}$ Sampling

- Imagine a virtual array $F[1 \cdots n]$
- Updates: $F[i]++, F[i]$
- Assume $F[i] \geq 0$ at all times
- Query: inverse sample?

Return $i, F[i] \neq 0$ with prob $\frac{1}{|\{i \mid F[i] \geq 0\}|}$

- Key: Use $o(n)$ space, may be $O(\log n)$ space
- Solutions use $O\left(1 / \varepsilon^{2}\right)$ space.


## Application of $L_{0}$ Sampling

- Graph Sketch: For node $i$, let $a_{i}$ be vector indexed by node pairs. $a_{i}[i, j]=1$ if $j>i$ and $a_{i}[i, j]=-1$ if $j<i$.
- For any subset $S \subset V$, support $\left(\sum_{i \in S} a_{i}\right)=E(S, V-S)$


## Application of $L_{0}$ Sampling

- Graph Sketch: For node $i$, let $a_{i}$ be vector indexed by node pairs. $a_{i}[i, j]=1$ if $j>i$ and $a_{i}[i, j]=-1$ if $j<i$.
- For any subset $S \subset V$, support $\left(\sum_{i \in S} a_{i}\right)=E(S, V-S)$
- Prob: Is $G$ connected?
- Algorithm (Spanning Forest):
- For each node, select an incident edge
- Contract selected edges. Repeat until no edges
- Data structure: $L_{0}$ sketch $C$ for each $a_{j}$.
- Use $C a_{j}$ to get incident edge. Then, run algorithm above. Observe:

$$
\sum_{j \in S} C a_{j}=C\left(\sum_{j \in S} a_{j}\right) \rightarrow e \in \operatorname{support}\left(\sum_{j \in S} E(S, V-S)\right)
$$

Ahn, Guha, McGregor: Analyzing graph structure via linear measurements. SODA12.
Dynamic graph connectivity in polylogarithmic worst case time. B. Kapron, V. King, B. Mountjoy. SODA13

## Topics: $L_{2}$ approximation

- $L_{2}$ estimate: $\|F\|_{2}=\sum_{i} F[i]^{2}$
$Y_{j}=\sum_{i=1, \ldots, w / 2}\left(c m_{F}\left[h_{j}(2 i-1)\right]-c m_{F}\left[h_{j}(2 i)\right]\right)^{2}$
Gives $\widetilde{\|F\|_{2}} \leq(1+\varepsilon)\|F\|_{2}$
Space $O\left(1 / \varepsilon^{2}\right)$, update time is $O(\log 1 / \delta)$


## Topics: $L_{2}$ approximation

- $L_{2}$ estimate: $\|F\|_{2}=\sum_{i} F[i]^{2}$
$Y_{j}=\sum_{i=1, \ldots, w / 2}\left(c m_{F}\left[h_{j}(2 i-1)\right]-c m_{F}\left[h_{j}(2 i)\right]\right)^{2}$
Gives $\widetilde{\|F\|_{2}} \leq(1+\varepsilon)\|F\|_{2}$
Space $O\left(1 / \varepsilon^{2}\right)$, update time is $O(\log 1 / \delta)$
- Ex: Least squares approximation

Problem: Given matrix $A \in R^{n \times d}$ and a vector $b \in R^{n}$,
find $x \in R^{d}$ such that $z=\|A x-b\|_{2}$ is minimized.
Result: Can find $y$ such that $\|A y-b\|_{2} \leq(1+\epsilon) z$ in $O(n d \log d)$ randomized time.
Solution: Consider $T A$ and $T b$, where $T \in R^{O(d / \epsilon \times d)}$

- Extends to low rank matrix approx, classification,...

Low Rank Approximation and Regression in Input Sparsity Time. K. Clarkson, D. Woodruff, STOC 13

## Topics: Nonstreaming Problems

- Compute the Discrete Fourier Transform of signal of size $n$
- Classical: $O(n \log n)$ time.
- Redent result: There exists a randomized $O(k \log n)$ time algorithm for $k$-sparse case.

Nearly Optimal Sparse Fourier Transform H. Hassanieh, P. Indyk, D. Katabi, E. Price. STOC 12

## Topics: Distributed Learning

- Alice has data $D_{A}$ and Bob has $D_{B}$, and learn linear classifier. Minimize communication. $h^{*}$ is optimal.
- $E_{D}(h)$ is the number of points misclassified by $h$ on $D$.
- $g$ has $\varepsilon$ - error if $E_{D}(g)-E_{D}\left(h^{*}\right) \leq \varepsilon|D|$.
- There is a $O(\log 1 / \varepsilon)$ round two way communication protocol with $O(1)$ bits per round and $\varepsilon$-error.

Efficient Protocols for Distributed Classification and Optimization. H. Daume, J. Phillips, A. Saha, S. Venkatasubramanian. ALT12
Distributed Learning, Communication Complexity and Privacy. N. Balcan, A. Blum, S. Fine, Y. Mansour. ICML12

## Topics: Pan Privacy

- Well known notion of differential privacy (DP). What if the internal state is breached?
- Pan-Privacy. For every two neighboring streams, at any time, internal state and final output should be DP.
- Use count-min and $L_{0}$ sketches to get approximate pan-private algorithms.

Pan-private algorithms via statistics on sketches. D. Mir, S. Muthukrishnan, A. Nikolov and R. Wright, PODS11.

## Topics: Streaming Cryptography

- Cryptography against polynomial time adversaries using a streaming algorithm?
- Recent result: Streaming algorithms for one-way functions and pseudorandom generators with $O(1)$ passes over two read-write tapes, under suitable assumptions.

Cryptography with streaming algorithms P. Papakonstantinou, G. Yang. Manuscript, 13.

## Conclusions

- Two basic primitives and applications with data stream algorithms.

Count-Min sketch, applications to compressed sensing $L_{0}$ sampling, applications to graph problems

- Some topics:
$L_{2}$ sketches and applications
Nonstreaming applications of streaming results
- Distributed streaming

Pan-privacy

- Cryptography

Area continues to grow tentacles

