Subexponential parameterized complexity of completion problems Survey of the upper bounds

Marcin Pilipczuk

University of Warsaw

4th Nov 2015



• An input graph: a result of an experiment.



- An input graph: a result of an experiment.
- Theory: result should have some property.



- An input graph: a result of an experiment.
- Theory: result should have some property.
- Modification: error in our measurments.



- An input graph: a result of an experiment.
- Theory: result should have some property.
- Modification: error in our measurments.
- A few errors  $\Rightarrow$  #modifications as a parameter.



#### completion = only edge insertions are allowed



#### completion = only edge insertions are allowed

 $\mathcal{G} \ \ COMPLETION \\ \text{obtain a graph} \in \mathcal{G}$ 



 ${\rm completion} = {\rm only} \; {\rm edge} \; {\rm insertions} \; {\rm are} \; {\rm allowed} \;$ 

 ${\mathcal G}$  COMPLETION obtain a graph  $\in {\mathcal G}$ 

 $\label{eq:F-COMPLETION} \mathcal{F}\text{-}\mathrm{COMPLETION}$  kill all induced subgraphs  $\in \mathcal{F}$ 



completion = only edge insertions are allowed

 $\mathcal{G}$  COMPLETION obtain a graph  $\in \mathcal{G}$ 

 $\label{eq:F-COMPLETION} \begin{aligned} \mathcal{F}\text{-}\mathrm{COMPLETION} \\ \text{kill all induced subgraphs} \in \mathcal{F} \end{aligned}$ 

Side note: no known P vs NP dichotomy for completion problems.

## Interesting classes for completion



measure(G)  $\simeq \min\{\omega(H) : H \in \mathcal{G} \text{ and } H \text{ is a completion of } G\}.$ 

## In this talk we mostly focus on f(k)in the FPT running time $f(k)n^{\mathcal{O}(1)}$ .

We denote  $\mathcal{O}^*(f(k)) = f(k)n^{\mathcal{O}(1)}$ .



#### Split Completion

 $\{2K_2, C_4, C_5\}$ -Completion



## Split Completion

 $\{2K_2, C_4, C_5\}$ -Completion

#### Theorem (Cai, IPL'96)

A simple branching strategy  $\Rightarrow \mathcal{O}^*(c^k)$  FPT algorithm



Split Completion

 $\{2K_2, C_4, C_5\}$ -Completion

#### Theorem (Cai, IPL'96)

A simple branching strategy  $\Rightarrow \mathcal{O}^*(c^k)$  FPT algorithm

Works also for: CO-CLUSTER, COGRAPH, THRESHOLD, PSEUDOSPLIT, ...

CHORDAL COMPLETION

CHORDAL COMPLETION



CHORDAL COMPLETION



CHORDAL COMPLETION



### CHORDAL COMPLETION

 $\{C_4, C_5, C_6, \ldots\}$ -Completion



#### Theorem (Kaplan, Shamir, Tarjan, SICOMP'99)

Large hole

 $\Rightarrow$  many options but big cost (Catalan number  $C_{\ell-2}$  for  $\ell-3$  edges)

 $\Rightarrow \mathcal{O}^*(4^k)$  branching.

## CHORDAL COMPLETION

 $\{C_4, C_5, C_6, \ldots\}$ -Completion



#### Theorem (Kaplan, Shamir, Tarjan, SICOMP'99)

Large hole

 $\Rightarrow$  many options but big cost (Catalan number  $C_{\ell-2}$  for  $\ell-3$  edges)  $\Rightarrow \mathcal{O}^*(4^k)$  branching.

Gives also  $\mathcal{O}^*(c^k)$  FPT algorithm for PROPER INTERVAL COMPLETION, as CHORDAL  $\longrightarrow$  PROPER INTERVAL means killing  $\{S_3, \text{claw}, \text{net}\}$ .

INTERVAL COMPLETION

 $\{ holes, ATs \}\text{-}COMPLETION$ 

INTERVAL COMPLETION

 ${\rm holes, ATs}$ -Completion







Long-standing open problem for more than a decade.



Long-standing open problem for more than a decade.

#### Theorem (Villanger, Heggernes, Paul, Telle, SICOMP'09)

Branching still doable! An  $\mathcal{O}^*(k^{2k})$  FPT algorithm.

#### Theorem (Cao, SODA'16)

Can be solved in  $\mathcal{O}(c^k(n+m))$  time.

### Theorem (Kaplan, Shamir, Tarjan, SICOMP'99)

CHORDAL COMPLETION admits a polynomial kernel.

### Theorem (Kaplan, Shamir, Tarjan, SICOMP'99)

CHORDAL COMPLETION admits a polynomial kernel.

## Theorem (Guo, ISAAC'07)

CHAIN, SPLIT, THRESHOLD and TRIVIALLY PERFECT COMPLETION admit polynomial kernels.

#### Theorem (Kaplan, Shamir, Tarjan, SICOMP'99)

CHORDAL COMPLETION admits a polynomial kernel.

## Theorem (Guo, ISAAC'07)

CHAIN, SPLIT, THRESHOLD and TRIVIALLY PERFECT COMPLETION admit polynomial kernels.

#### Theorem (Kratsch, Wahlström, IWPEC'09)

There is a finite  $\mathcal{F}$  such that  $\mathcal{F}$ -COMPLETION does not admit a polynomial kernel unless  $NP \subseteq coNP/poly$ .

### Theorem (Kaplan, Shamir, Tarjan, SICOMP'99)

CHORDAL COMPLETION admits a polynomial kernel.

## Theorem (Guo, ISAAC'07)

CHAIN, SPLIT, THRESHOLD and TRIVIALLY PERFECT COMPLETION admit polynomial kernels.

#### Theorem (Kratsch, Wahlström, IWPEC'09)

There is a finite  $\mathcal{F}$  such that  $\mathcal{F}$ -COMPLETION does not admit a polynomial kernel unless  $NP \subseteq coNP/poly$ .

Theorem (Guillemot, Havet, Paul, Perez, Algorithmica'13)

COGRAPH COMPLETION admits a polynomial kernel.

## Theorem (Bessy, Perez, Inf. Comp.'13)

PROPER INTERVAL COMPLETION admits a polynomial kernel.

## ${\mathcal G}$ Completion

#### $\mathcal{F} ext{-}\mathrm{COMPLETION}$

 ${\cal G}$  Completion

 $\mathcal{F} ext{-}\mathrm{COMPLETION}$ 

## Fomin, Villanger, SODA'12: think positively!

 $\mathcal{G}$  Completion

 $\mathcal{F} ext{-}\mathrm{COMPLETION}$ 

## Fomin, Villanger, SODA'12: think positively!

Theorem (Fomin, Villanger, SODA'12)

CHORDAL COMPLETION can be solved in time  $\mathcal{O}^*(k^{\mathcal{O}(\sqrt{k})})$ .

# Fomin, Villanger, SODA'12: think positively!

# Fomin, Villanger, SODA'12: think positively!

Build the structure of (G + completion) by dynamic programming.

# Fomin, Villanger, SODA'12: think positively!

Build the structure of (G + completion) by dynamic programming.

Key observation: there are  $2^{o(k)}$  reasonable 'partial structures', and hence  $2^{o(k)}$  reasonable DP states.

## Fomin, Villanger, SODA'12: think positively!

Build the structure of (G + completion) by dynamic programming.

Key observation: there are  $2^{o(k)}$  reasonable 'partial structures', and hence  $2^{o(k)}$  reasonable DP states.

Strategy:

## Fomin, Villanger, SODA'12: think positively!

Build the structure of (G + completion) by dynamic programming.

Key observation: there are  $2^{o(k)}$  reasonable 'partial structures', and hence  $2^{o(k)}$  reasonable DP states.

Strategy:

Apply known polynomial kernel.
#### Fomin, Villanger, SODA'12: think positively!

Build the structure of (G + completion) by dynamic programming.

Key observation: there are  $2^{o(k)}$  reasonable 'partial structures', and hence  $2^{o(k)}$  reasonable DP states.

- Apply known polynomial kernel.
- **2** Identify and enumerate  $2^{o(k)}$  candidates for crucial structures in G.

# Fomin, Villanger, SODA'12: think positively!

Build the structure of (G + completion) by dynamic programming.

Key observation: there are  $2^{o(k)}$  reasonable 'partial structures', and hence  $2^{o(k)}$  reasonable DP states.

- Apply known polynomial kernel.
- **2** Identify and enumerate  $2^{o(k)}$  candidates for crucial structures in G.
  - crucial structure  $\simeq$  a maximal clique;

# Fomin, Villanger, SODA'12: think positively!

Build the structure of (G + completion) by dynamic programming.

Key observation: there are  $2^{o(k)}$  reasonable 'partial structures', and hence  $2^{o(k)}$  reasonable DP states.

- Apply known polynomial kernel.
- **2** Identify and enumerate  $2^{o(k)}$  candidates for crucial structures in G.
  - crucial structure  $\simeq$  a maximal clique;
  - often  $n^{\mathcal{O}(\sqrt{k})}$  candidate structures; with poly kernel  $\Rightarrow 2^{o(k)}$  bound

# Fomin, Villanger, SODA'12: think positively!

Build the structure of (G + completion) by dynamic programming.

Key observation: there are  $2^{o(k)}$  reasonable 'partial structures', and hence  $2^{o(k)}$  reasonable DP states.

- Apply known polynomial kernel.
- **2** Identify and enumerate  $2^{o(k)}$  candidates for crucial structures in *G*.
  - crucial structure  $\simeq$  a maximal clique;
  - often  $n^{\mathcal{O}(\sqrt{k})}$  candidate structures; with poly kernel  $\Rightarrow 2^{o(k)}$  bound

## Look at the bright side!



## Look at the bright side!







crucial structure = maximal clique



crucial structure = maximal clique / minimal clique separator



crucial structure = maximal clique / minimal clique separator

#### Theorem (Fomin, Villanger, SODA'12)

One can either enumerate  $k^{\mathcal{O}(\sqrt{k})}$  candidate maximal cliques and candidate clique separators, or perform a  $k^{\mathcal{O}(\sqrt{k})}$  branching.



crucial structure = maximal clique / minimal clique separator

#### Theorem (Fomin, Villanger, SODA'12)

One can either enumerate  $k^{O(\sqrt{k})}$  candidate maximal cliques and candidate clique separators, or perform a  $k^{O(\sqrt{k})}$  branching.

DP state = clique separator  $\Omega$  + one connected component C of  $G \setminus \Omega$ , value = minimum completion of  $G[C \cup \Omega]$  that cliquifies  $\Omega$ .



crucial structure = maximal clique / minimal clique separator

#### Theorem (Fomin, Villanger, SODA'12)

One can either enumerate  $k^{O(\sqrt{k})}$  candidate maximal cliques and candidate clique separators, or perform a  $k^{O(\sqrt{k})}$  branching.

DP state = clique separator  $\Omega$  +

one connected component C of  $G \setminus \Omega$ ,

value = minimum completion of  $G[\mathcal{C} \cup \Omega]$  that cliquifies  $\Omega$ .

**Corollary**: CHAIN COMPLETION in  $\mathcal{O}^*(k^{\mathcal{O}(\sqrt{k})})$  time.

One can either enumerate  $k^{\mathcal{O}(\sqrt{k})}$  candidate maximal cliques and candidate clique separators, or perform a  $k^{\mathcal{O}(\sqrt{k})}$  branching.

One can either enumerate  $k^{\mathcal{O}(\sqrt{k})}$  candidate maximal cliques and candidate clique separators, or perform a  $k^{\mathcal{O}(\sqrt{k})}$  branching.

• Multiple-step, involved combinatorial analysis.

One can either enumerate  $k^{\mathcal{O}(\sqrt{k})}$  candidate maximal cliques and candidate clique separators, or perform a  $k^{\mathcal{O}(\sqrt{k})}$  branching.

- Multiple-step, involved combinatorial analysis.
- Will give a flavour on the INTERVAL COMPLETION case.

One can either enumerate  $k^{\mathcal{O}(\sqrt{k})}$  candidate maximal cliques and candidate clique separators, or perform a  $k^{\mathcal{O}(\sqrt{k})}$  branching.

- Multiple-step, involved combinatorial analysis.
- Will give a flavour on the INTERVAL COMPLETION case.

#### Theorem (Bliznets, Fomin, P., Pilipczuk, 2014)

There are  $n^{\mathcal{O}(\sqrt{k})}$  reasonable candidates for maximal cliques in the INTERVAL COMPLETION case.

Marcin Pilipczuk Subexponential parameterized complexity of completion problems





**cheap**  $v = \text{at most } \sqrt{k}$  incident solution edges.  $c_1 := \text{last ending cheap before } \Omega$  $c_2 := \text{first starting cheap after } \Omega$ 



- **cheap**  $v = \text{at most } \sqrt{k}$  incident solution edges.
- $c_1 := last ending cheap before <math>\Omega$
- $c_2 :=$  first starting cheap after  $\Omega$



**cheap**  $v = \text{at most } \sqrt{k}$  incident solution edges.

- $c_1 :=$  last ending cheap before  $\Omega$
- $c_2 :=$  first starting cheap after  $\Omega$

Why  $x \in \Omega$ ?

x has left end before  $\Omega$  because some  $y \in N_G(x)$  has right end before  $\Omega$ .



**cheap** v = at most  $\sqrt{k}$  incident solution edges.

 $c_1 :=$  last ending cheap before  $\Omega$ 

 $c_2 :=$  first starting cheap after  $\Omega$ 

Why  $x \in \Omega$ ?

x has left end before  $\Omega$  because some  $y \in N_G(x)$  has right end before  $\Omega$ .

 $y \in N_G(x)$  for some y with right end before  $\Omega \Rightarrow x \in N_G(v_1) \cup N_G(\$_1) \cup N_{G+F}(c_1)$ .



#### Lemma

 $\Omega = (N_G[v_1] \cup N_G(\$_1) \cup N_{G+F}(c_1)) \cap (N_G[v_2] \cup N_G(\$_2) \cup N_{G+F}(c_2)).$ 



#### Lemma

 $\Omega = (N_G[v_1] \cup N_G(\$_1) \cup N_{G+F}(c_1)) \cap (N_G[v_2] \cup N_G(\$_2) \cup N_{G+F}(c_2)).$ 

There are  $n^{\mathcal{O}(\sqrt{k})}$  choices for:

- *v*<sub>1</sub>, *v*<sub>2</sub>, *c*<sub>1</sub>, *c*<sub>2</sub>;
- $1_1$  and  $1_1$ , as there are of size  $\mathcal{O}(\sqrt{k})$ ;
- solution edges incident to  $c_1$  and  $c_2$ , as both  $c_1$  and  $c_2$  are cheap.





Theorem (Bliznets, Fomin, P., Pilipczuk, 2014)

There are  $n^{\mathcal{O}(\sqrt{k})}$  reasonable candidates for maximal cliques.



Theorem (Bliznets, Fomin, P., Pilipczuk, 2014)

There are  $n^{\mathcal{O}(\sqrt{k})}$  reasonable candidates for maximal cliques.

#### Theorem (Bliznets, Fomin, P., Pilipczuk, 2014)

For any vertex v, there are  $k^{\mathcal{O}(t+\sqrt{k})}n^{\mathcal{O}(1)}$  reasonable ways to choose completion edges incident to v, as long as there are at most t of them<sup>\*</sup>.



Theorem (Bliznets, Fomin, P., Pilipczuk, 2014)

There are  $n^{\mathcal{O}(\sqrt{k})}$  reasonable candidates for maximal cliques.

#### Theorem (Bliznets, Fomin, P., Pilipczuk, 2014)

For any vertex v, there are  $k^{\mathcal{O}(t+\sqrt{k})}n^{\mathcal{O}(1)}$  reasonable ways to choose completion edges incident to v, as long as there are at most t of them<sup>\*</sup>.

#### Theorem (Bliznets, Fomin, P., Pilipczuk, 2014)

There are  $k^{\mathcal{O}(\sqrt{k})}n^8$  reasonable candidates for maximal cliques<sup>\*</sup>.

\* or we can reduce something.













#### Problem 2: history is hard to deduce!

Solution: make much more complicated DP states.
Theorem (Ghosh, Kolay, Kumar, Misra, Panolan, Rai, Ramanujan, SWAT'12)

An  $\mathcal{O}^*(k^{\mathcal{O}(\sqrt{k})})$  algorithm for Split Completion via chromatic coding.

## Theorem (Ghosh, Kolay, Kumar, Misra, Panolan, Rai, Ramanujan, SWAT'12)

An  $\mathcal{O}^*(k^{\mathcal{O}(\sqrt{k})})$  algorithm for SPLIT COMPLETION via chromatic coding.

## Theorem (Drange, Fomin, Pilipczuk, Villanger, STACS'14)

 $\mathcal{O}^*(k^{\mathcal{O}(\sqrt{k})})$  algorithms for:

- TRIVIALLY PERFECT COMPLETION,
- THRESHOLD COMPLETION
  via chromatic coding + reduction to CHAIN COMPLETION,
- PSEUDOSPLIT COMPLETION similarly as SPLIT COMPLETION.

CHORDAL CHAIN SPLIT TRIVIALLY PERFECT THRESHOLD PSEUDOSPLIT INTERVAL PROPER INTERVAL  $\begin{array}{l} \mathcal{O}^*(k^{\mathcal{O}(\sqrt{k})})\\ \mathcal{O}^*(k^{\mathcal{O}(\sqrt{k})})\\ \mathcal{O}^*(k^{\mathcal{O}(\sqrt{k})})\\ \mathcal{O}^*(k^{\mathcal{O}(\sqrt{k})})\\ \mathcal{O}^*(k^{\mathcal{O}(\sqrt{k})})\\ \mathcal{O}^*(k^{\mathcal{O}(\sqrt{k})})\\ \mathcal{O}^*(k^{\mathcal{O}(\sqrt{k})})\\ \mathcal{O}^*(k^{\mathcal{O}(\sqrt{k})})\\ \mathcal{O}^*(k^{\mathcal{O}(k^{2/3})}) \end{array}$ 

[FV, SODA'12] [FV, SODA'12] [GKKMPRR, SWAT'12] [DMPV, STACS'14] [DMPV, STACS'14] [DMPV, STACS'14] [BFPP, SODA'16] [BFPP, ESA'14]

Chordal	$\mathcal{O}^*(k^{\mathcal{O}(\sqrt{k})})$	[FV, SODA'12]
Chain	$\mathcal{O}^*(k^{\mathcal{O}(\sqrt{k})})$	[FV, SODA'12]
Split	$\mathcal{O}^*(k^{\mathcal{O}(\sqrt{k})})$	[GKKMPRR, SWAT'12]
TRIVIALLY PERFECT	$\mathcal{O}^*(k^{\mathcal{O}(\sqrt{k})})$	[DMPV, STACS'14]
Threshold	$\mathcal{O}^*(k^{\mathcal{O}(\sqrt{k})})$	[DMPV, STACS'14]
Pseudosplit	$\mathcal{O}^*(k^{\mathcal{O}(\sqrt{k})})$	[DMPV, STACS'14]
INTERVAL	$\mathcal{O}^*(k^{\mathcal{O}(\sqrt{k})})$	[BFPP, SODA'16]
Proper Interval	$\mathcal{O}^*(k^{\mathcal{O}(k^{2/3})})$	[BFPP, ESA'14]
Co-cluster	ETH-hard	[KU, DAM'12]
Cograph	ETH-hard	[DMPV, STACS'14]
Co-Trivially Perfect	ETH-hard	[DMPV, STACS'14]

## • Can you get time $\mathcal{O}^*(k^{\mathcal{O}(\sqrt{k})})$ for PROPER INTERVAL COMPLETION?

- Can you get time  $\mathcal{O}^*(k^{\mathcal{O}(\sqrt{k})})$  for PROPER INTERVAL COMPLETION?
- Does INTERVAL COMPLETION admit a polynomial kernel?

- Can you get time  $\mathcal{O}^*(k^{\mathcal{O}(\sqrt{k})})$  for PROPER INTERVAL COMPLETION?
- Does INTERVAL COMPLETION admit a polynomial kernel?
- Can you provide  $2^{\Omega(\sqrt{k})}$  lower bounds under ETH?
  - An  $\mathcal{O}^*(2^{\mathcal{O}(\sqrt{k})})$ -time algorithm for one of the problems?
  - An  $\mathcal{O}^*(2^{o(\sqrt{k})})$ -time algorithm seems hard, as it gives  $2^{o(n)}$  bound.

- Can you get time  $\mathcal{O}^*(k^{\mathcal{O}(\sqrt{k})})$  for PROPER INTERVAL COMPLETION?
- Does INTERVAL COMPLETION admit a polynomial kernel?
- Can you provide  $2^{\Omega(\sqrt{k})}$  lower bounds under ETH?
  - An  $\mathcal{O}^*(2^{\mathcal{O}(\sqrt{k})})$ -time algorithm for one of the problems?
  - An  $\mathcal{O}^*(2^{o(\sqrt{k})})$ -time algorithm seems hard, as it gives  $2^{o(n)}$  bound.
- Is there any meta-explanation why there are subexponential algorithms for this family of problems?

## Summary diagram

