Gradient Flows and Optimal Transport in Discrete and Quantum Systems

Jan Maas (IST Austria)

Geometric Methods in Optimization and Sampling Boot Camp

Simons Institute

1 September 2021



Starting point:

Diffusion equations and Ricci curvature via optimal transport





• Let μ, ν be probability measures on a metric space $(\mathcal{X}, \mathsf{d})$.



- Let μ, ν be probability measures on a metric space $(\mathcal{X}, \mathsf{d})$.
- A transport plan (or coupling) between μ and ν is a probability measure γ ∈ P(X × X) s.t.

$$\gamma({\mathsf A} imes {\mathcal X})=\mu({\mathsf A}) \quad ext{and} \quad \gamma({\mathcal X} imes {\mathsf A})=
u({\mathsf A}) \quad orall {\mathsf A}\subseteq {\mathcal X} \; .$$



- Let μ, ν be probability measures on a metric space (X, d).
- A transport plan (or coupling) between μ and ν is a probability measure γ ∈ P(X × X) s.t.

$$\gamma({old imes} {\mathcal X}) = \mu({old A}) \hspace{0.3cm} ext{and} \hspace{0.3cm} \gamma({\mathcal X} imes {old A}) =
u({old A}) \hspace{0.3cm} orall {old A} \subseteq {\mathcal X} \hspace{0.3cm} .$$

The Monge-Kantorovich problem (1781, 1942) Minimize $\gamma \mapsto \int_{\mathcal{X} \times \mathcal{X}} c(x, y) \, d\gamma(x, y)$ among all $\gamma \in Cpl(\mu, \nu)$.

Jordan-Kinderlehrer-Otto '98: Beautiful connection between

• the 2-Kantorovich metric on the space of probability measures

$$W_2(\mu, \nu) = \inf_{\gamma \in \mathsf{Cpl}(\mu, \nu)} \sqrt{\int_{\mathsf{R}^n imes \mathsf{R}^n} |x - y|^2 \, \mathrm{d}\gamma(x, y)}$$

Jordan-Kinderlehrer-Otto '98: Beautiful connection between

• the 2-Kantorovich metric on the space of probability measures

$$W_2(\mu,\nu) = \inf_{\gamma \in \mathsf{Cpl}(\mu,\nu)} \sqrt{\int_{\mathsf{R}^n \times \mathsf{R}^n} |x-y|^2 \, \mathrm{d}\gamma(x,y)}$$

• the (negative of the) Boltzmann-Shannon entropy

$$\operatorname{Ent}(\mu) = \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \rho(x) \log \rho(x) \, \mathrm{d}x, \quad \text{if} \quad \mathrm{d}\mu(x) = \rho(x) \, \mathrm{d}x$$

Jordan-Kinderlehrer-Otto '98: Beautiful connection between

• the 2-Kantorovich metric on the space of probability measures

$$W_2(\mu,\nu) = \inf_{\gamma \in \mathsf{Cpl}(\mu,\nu)} \sqrt{\int_{\mathsf{R}^n \times \mathsf{R}^n} |x-y|^2 \, \mathrm{d}\gamma(x,y)}$$

• the (negative of the) Boltzmann-Shannon entropy

$$\operatorname{Ent}(\mu) = \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \rho(x) \log \rho(x) \, \mathrm{d}x, \quad \text{if} \quad \mathrm{d}\mu(x) = \rho(x) \, \mathrm{d}x$$

the heat equation

$$\partial_t \mu = \Delta \mu$$

Jordan-Kinderlehrer-Otto '98: Beautiful connection between

• the 2-Kantorovich metric on the space of probability measures

$$W_2(\mu,\nu) = \inf_{\gamma \in \mathsf{Cpl}(\mu,\nu)} \sqrt{\int_{\mathsf{R}^n \times \mathsf{R}^n} |x-y|^2 \, \mathrm{d}\gamma(x,y)}$$

• the (negative of the) Boltzmann-Shannon entropy

$$\operatorname{Ent}(\mu) = \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \rho(x) \log \rho(x) \, \mathrm{d}x, \quad \text{if} \quad \mathrm{d}\mu(x) = \rho(x) \, \mathrm{d}x$$

the heat equation

$$\partial_t \mu = \Delta \mu$$

Theorem (J-K-O '98)

The heat flow is the gradient flow of the entropy w.r.t W_2 .

Ent(
$$\rho$$
) = $\int \rho(x) \log \rho(x) dx$
(Prob(\mathbf{R}^n), W_2)

Theorem (JORDAN-KINDERLEHRER-OTTO '98)

The heat flow is the gradient flow of the entropy w.r.t W_2

Theorem (JORDAN-KINDERLEHRER-OTTO '98)

The heat flow is the gradient flow of the entropy w.r.t W_2

How to make sense of gradient flows in metric spaces?

Theorem (JORDAN-KINDERLEHRER-OTTO '98)

The heat flow is the gradient flow of the entropy w.r.t W_2

How to make sense of gradient flows in metric spaces?

Gradient flows in R^n

Let $\varphi : \mathbb{R}^n \to \mathbb{R}$ smooth and convex. For $u : \mathbb{R}_+ \to \mathbb{R}^n$ TFAE:

1. *u* solves the gradient flow equation $u'(t) = -\nabla \varphi(u(t))$.

Theorem (JORDAN-KINDERLEHRER-OTTO '98)

The heat flow is the gradient flow of the entropy w.r.t W_2

How to make sense of gradient flows in metric spaces?

Gradient flows in R^n

Let $\varphi : \mathbb{R}^n \to \mathbb{R}$ smooth and convex. For $u : \mathbb{R}_+ \to \mathbb{R}^n$ TFAE:

1. *u* solves the gradient flow equation $u'(t) = -\nabla \varphi(u(t))$.

2. *u* satisfies

$$(u(t) - y) \cdot u'(t) \leq \varphi(y) - \varphi(u(t)) \qquad \forall y \; .$$

Theorem (JORDAN-KINDERLEHRER-OTTO '98)

The heat flow is the gradient flow of the entropy w.r.t W_2

How to make sense of gradient flows in metric spaces?

Gradient flows in R^n

Let $\varphi : \mathbb{R}^n \to \mathbb{R}$ smooth and convex. For $u : \mathbb{R}_+ \to \mathbb{R}^n$ TFAE:

- 1. *u* solves the gradient flow equation $u'(t) = -\nabla \varphi(u(t))$.
- 2. u satisfies the evolution variational inequality $\frac{1}{2} \frac{d}{dt} |u(t) - y|^2 = (u(t) - y) \cdot u'(t) \le \varphi(y) - \varphi(u(t)) \quad \forall y .$ (De Giorgi '93, Ambrosio-Gigli-Savaré '05)

Theorem (JORDAN-KINDERLEHRER-OTTO '98)

The heat flow is the gradient flow of the entropy w.r.t W_2 , i.e., $\partial_t \mu = \Delta \mu \iff \frac{1}{2} \frac{\mathrm{d}}{\mathrm{d}t} W_2(\mu_t, \nu)^2 \leq \mathrm{Ent}(\nu) - \mathrm{Ent}(\mu_t) \quad \forall \nu$.

How to make sense of gradient flows in metric spaces?

Gradient flows in Rⁿ

Let $\varphi : \mathbb{R}^n \to \mathbb{R}$ smooth and convex. For $u : \mathbb{R}_+ \to \mathbb{R}^n$ TFAE:

- 1. *u* solves the gradient flow equation $u'(t) = -\nabla \varphi(u(t))$.
- 2. *u* satisfies the evolution variational inequality $\frac{1}{2} \frac{d}{dt} |u(t) - y|^2 = (u(t) - y) \cdot u'(t) \le \varphi(y) - \varphi(u(t)) \qquad \forall y .$ (DE GIORGI '93, AMBROSIO-GIGLI-SAVARÉ '05)

Many extensions have been proved:

Many extensions have been proved:

- Rⁿ
- Riemannian manifolds
- Hilbert spaces
- Finsler spaces
- Wiener space
- Heisenberg group
- Alexandrov spaces
- Metric measures spaces

Jordan–Kinderlehrer–Otto Villani, Erbar Ambrosio–Savaré–Zambotti Ohta–Sturm Fang–Shao–Sturm Juillet Gigli–Kuwada–Ohta Ambrosio–Gigli–Savaré

Advantages: The optimal transport approach to diffusion equations

• applies to a large class of dissipative equations (Fokker-Planck, porous medium, McKean–Vlasov equations, ...)

- applies to a large class of dissipative equations (Fokker-Planck, porous medium, McKean–Vlasov equations, ...)
- is physically appealing

- applies to a large class of dissipative equations (Fokker-Planck, porous medium, McKean–Vlasov equations, ...)
- is physically appealing
- comes with time-discrete approximation schemes

- applies to a large class of dissipative equations (Fokker-Planck, porous medium, McKean–Vlasov equations, ...)
- is physically appealing
- comes with time-discrete approximation schemes
- applies to non-smooth problems

- applies to a large class of dissipative equations (Fokker-Planck, porous medium, McKean–Vlasov equations, ...)
- is physically appealing
- comes with time-discrete approximation schemes
- applies to non-smooth problems
- yields functional inequalities and equilibration rates

- applies to a large class of dissipative equations (Fokker-Planck, porous medium, McKean–Vlasov equations, ...)
- is physically appealing
- comes with time-discrete approximation schemes
- applies to non-smooth problems
- yields functional inequalities and equilibration rates
- is closely connected to geometry (Ricci curvature)

Optimal transport and curvature

The "lazy gas experiment" (see VILLANI '09)



Theorem (McCann '94)

The entropy is convex along geodesics in $(\mathcal{P}(\mathbb{R}^n), W_2)$.

Theorem (McCann '94)

The entropy is convex along geodesics in $(\mathcal{P}(\mathbb{R}^n), W_2)$.

Theorem (Otto-Villani '00, Cordero-McCann-Schmuckenschläger '01, von Renesse-Sturm '05)

For a Riemannian manifold \mathcal{M} , TFAE:

1. Ric $\geq \kappa$ everywhere on \mathcal{M} ;

Theorem (McCann '94)

The entropy is convex along geodesics in $(\mathcal{P}(\mathbb{R}^n), W_2)$.

Theorem (Otto-Villani '00, Cordero-McCann-Schmuckenschläger '01, von Renesse-Sturm '05)

For a Riemannian manifold \mathcal{M} , TFAE:

- 1. Ric $\geq \kappa$ everywhere on \mathcal{M} ;
- 2. Displacement κ -convexity of the entropy:

 $\mathsf{Ent}(\mu_t) \leq (1-t)\mathsf{Ent}(\mu_0) + t\mathsf{Ent}(\mu_1) \ - rac{\kappa}{2}t(1-t)W_2^2(\mu_0,\mu_1)$

for all W_2 -geodesics $(\mu_t)_{t \in [0,1]}$ in $\mathcal{P}(\mathcal{M})$.



Theorem (McCann '94)

The entropy is convex along geodesics in $(\mathcal{P}(\mathbb{R}^n), W_2)$.

Theorem (Otto-Villani '00, Cordero-McCann-Schmuckenschläger '01, von Renesse-Sturm '05)

For a Riemannian manifold \mathcal{M} , TFAE:

- 1. Ric $\geq \kappa$ everywhere on \mathcal{M} ;
- 2. Displacement κ -convexity of the entropy:

 $\mathsf{Ent}(\mu_t) \leq (1-t)\mathsf{Ent}(\mu_0) + t\mathsf{Ent}(\mu_1) \ - rac{\kappa}{2}t(1-t)W_2^2(\mu_0,\mu_1)$



Entropy

for all W_2 -geodesics $(\mu_t)_{t \in [0,1]}$ in $\mathcal{P}(\mathcal{M})$.

→ Ricci curvature in metric measure spaces (Lott-Sturm-Villani)

Definition (STURM '06, LOTT-VILLANI '09)

A metric measure space (\mathcal{X}, d, m) satisfies $\operatorname{Ric}(\mathcal{X}) \geq \kappa$ if

$$\operatorname{Ent}(\mu_t) \leq (1-t)\operatorname{Ent}(\mu_0) + t\operatorname{Ent}(\mu_1) - rac{\kappa}{2}t(1-t)W_2^2(\mu_0,\mu_1) \ .$$

along all W_2 -geodesics $(\mu_t)_{t \in [0,1]}$ in $\mathcal{P}(\mathcal{X})$.

Definition (STURM '06, LOTT-VILLANI '09)

A metric measure space (\mathcal{X}, d, m) satisfies $\operatorname{Ric}(\mathcal{X}) \geq \kappa$ if

$$\operatorname{Ent}(\mu_t) \leq (1-t)\operatorname{Ent}(\mu_0) + t\operatorname{Ent}(\mu_1) - \frac{\kappa}{2}t(1-t)W_2^2(\mu_0,\mu_1).$$

along all W_2 -geodesics $(\mu_t)_{t \in [0,1]}$ in $\mathcal{P}(\mathcal{X})$.

Crucial features

Definition (STURM '06, LOTT-VILLANI '09)

A metric measure space (\mathcal{X}, d, m) satisfies $\operatorname{Ric}(\mathcal{X}) \geq \kappa$ if

$$\operatorname{Ent}(\mu_t) \leq (1-t)\operatorname{Ent}(\mu_0) + t\operatorname{Ent}(\mu_1) - \frac{\kappa}{2}t(1-t)W_2^2(\mu_0,\mu_1) \ .$$

along all W_2 -geodesics $(\mu_t)_{t \in [0,1]}$ in $\mathcal{P}(\mathcal{X})$.

Crucial features

• Applicable to a wide class of metric measure spaces

Definition (STURM '06, LOTT-VILLANI '09)

A metric measure space (\mathcal{X}, d, m) satisfies $\mathsf{Ric}(\mathcal{X}) \geq \kappa$ if

$$\operatorname{Ent}(\mu_t) \leq (1-t)\operatorname{Ent}(\mu_0) + t\operatorname{Ent}(\mu_1) - \frac{\kappa}{2}t(1-t)W_2^2(\mu_0,\mu_1) \ .$$

along all W_2 -geodesics $(\mu_t)_{t \in [0,1]}$ in $\mathcal{P}(\mathcal{X})$.

Crucial features

- Applicable to a wide class of metric measure spaces
- Many geometric, analytic and probabilistic consequences

 — logarithmic Sobolev, Talagrand, Poincaré inequalities; concentration of measure.

Definition (STURM '06, LOTT-VILLANI '09)

A metric measure space (\mathcal{X}, d, m) satisfies $\operatorname{Ric}(\mathcal{X}) \geq \kappa$ if

$$\operatorname{Ent}(\mu_t) \leq (1-t)\operatorname{Ent}(\mu_0) + t\operatorname{Ent}(\mu_1) - rac{\kappa}{2}t(1-t)W_2^2(\mu_0,\mu_1) \ .$$

along all W_2 -geodesics $(\mu_t)_{t \in [0,1]}$ in $\mathcal{P}(\mathcal{X})$.

Crucial features

- Applicable to a wide class of metric measure spaces
- Many geometric, analytic and probabilistic consequences

 —> logarithmic Sobolev, Talagrand, Poincaré inequalities; concentration of measure.
- Stability under measured Gromov-Hausdorff convergence

\rightsquigarrow rich theory, very active research area

What about discrete spaces?

What about discrete spaces?

Example: 2-point space $\mathcal{X} = \{0, 1\}$.
Example: 2-point space $\mathcal{X} = \{0, 1\}$.

• Set $\mu_{\alpha} := (1 - \alpha)\delta_0 + \alpha\delta_1$ for $\alpha \in [0, 1]$.

Example: 2-point space $\mathcal{X} = \{0, 1\}$.

• Set $\mu_{\alpha} := (1 - \alpha)\delta_0 + \alpha\delta_1$ for $\alpha \in [0, 1]$. Then:

$$W_2(\mu_lpha,\mu_eta)=\sqrt{|lpha-eta|}$$
 .

Example: 2-point space $\mathcal{X} = \{0, 1\}$.

• Set $\mu_{\alpha} := (1 - \alpha)\delta_0 + \alpha\delta_1$ for $\alpha \in [0, 1]$. Then:

$$W_2(\mu_lpha,\mu_eta)=\sqrt{|lpha-eta|}$$
 .

• Suppose that $(\mu_{\alpha(t)})$ is a constant speed geodesic.

Example: 2-point space $\mathcal{X} = \{0, 1\}$.

• Set $\mu_{\alpha} := (1 - \alpha)\delta_0 + \alpha\delta_1$ for $\alpha \in [0, 1]$. Then:

$$W_2(\mu_{lpha},\mu_{eta})=\sqrt{|lpha-eta|}$$
 .

• Suppose that $(\mu_{\alpha(t)})$ is a constant speed geodesic. Then:

$$W_2(\mu_{\alpha(t)},\mu_{\alpha(s)})=c|t-s|$$
.

Example: 2-point space $\mathcal{X} = \{0, 1\}$.

• Set $\mu_{\alpha} := (1 - \alpha)\delta_0 + \alpha\delta_1$ for $\alpha \in [0, 1]$. Then:

$$W_2(\mu_{lpha},\mu_{eta})=\sqrt{|lpha-eta|}$$
 .

• Suppose that $(\mu_{\alpha(t)})$ is a constant speed geodesic. Then:

$$\sqrt{|lpha(t)-lpha(s)|} = W_2(\mu_{lpha(t)},\mu_{lpha(s)}) = c|t-s| \; .$$

Example: 2-point space $\mathcal{X} = \{0, 1\}$.

• Set $\mu_{\alpha} := (1 - \alpha)\delta_0 + \alpha\delta_1$ for $\alpha \in [0, 1]$. Then:

$$W_2(\mu_{lpha},\mu_{eta})=\sqrt{|lpha-eta|}$$
 .

• Suppose that $(\mu_{\alpha(t)})$ is a constant speed geodesic. Then:

$$\sqrt{|lpha(t)-lpha(s)|}=W_2(\mu_{lpha(t)},\mu_{lpha(s)})=c|t-s|\;.$$

Thus: $t \mapsto \alpha(t)$ is 2-Hölder, hence constant.

Example: 2-point space $\mathcal{X} = \{0, 1\}$.

• Set $\mu_{\alpha} := (1 - \alpha)\delta_0 + \alpha\delta_1$ for $\alpha \in [0, 1]$. Then:

$$W_2(\mu_{lpha},\mu_{eta})=\sqrt{|lpha-eta|}$$
 .

• Suppose that $(\mu_{\alpha(t)})$ is a constant speed geodesic. Then:

$$\sqrt{|lpha(t)-lpha(s)|} = W_2(\mu_{lpha(t)},\mu_{lpha(s)}) = c|t-s| \; .$$

Thus: $t \mapsto \alpha(t)$ is 2-Hölder, hence constant.

• Conclusion: there are no non-trivial W₂-geodesics. In fact:

Example: 2-point space $\mathcal{X} = \{0, 1\}$.

• Set $\mu_{\alpha} := (1 - \alpha)\delta_0 + \alpha\delta_1$ for $\alpha \in [0, 1]$. Then:

$$W_2(\mu_{lpha},\mu_{eta})=\sqrt{|lpha-eta|}$$
 .

• Suppose that $(\mu_{\alpha(t)})$ is a constant speed geodesic. Then:

$$\sqrt{|lpha(t)-lpha(s)|} = W_2(\mu_{lpha(t)},\mu_{lpha(s)}) = c|t-s| \; .$$

Thus: $t \mapsto \alpha(t)$ is 2-Hölder, hence constant.

• Conclusion: there are no non-trivial W₂-geodesics. In fact:

 $(\mathcal{P}(\mathcal{X}), W_2)$ is a geodesic space $\Leftrightarrow (\mathcal{X}, d)$ is a geodesic space.

Example: 2-point space $\mathcal{X} = \{0, 1\}$.

• Set $\mu_{\alpha} := (1 - \alpha)\delta_0 + \alpha\delta_1$ for $\alpha \in [0, 1]$. Then:

$$W_2(\mu_{lpha},\mu_{eta})=\sqrt{|lpha-eta|}$$
 .

• Suppose that $(\mu_{\alpha(t)})$ is a constant speed geodesic. Then:

$$\sqrt{|lpha(t)-lpha(s)|} = W_2(\mu_{lpha(t)},\mu_{lpha(s)}) = c|t-s| \; .$$

Thus: $t \mapsto \alpha(t)$ is 2-Hölder, hence constant.

• Conclusion: there are no non-trivial W₂-geodesics. In fact:

 $(\mathcal{P}(\mathcal{X}), W_2)$ is a geodesic space $\Leftrightarrow (\mathcal{X}, d)$ is a geodesic space.

Moreover: no curves of finite length \rightsquigarrow no gradient flows.

Example: 2-point space $\mathcal{X} = \{0, 1\}$.

• Set $\mu_{\alpha} := (1 - \alpha)\delta_0 + \alpha\delta_1$ for $\alpha \in [0, 1]$. Then:

$$W_2(\mu_{lpha},\mu_{eta})=\sqrt{|lpha-eta|}$$
 .

• Suppose that $(\mu_{\alpha(t)})$ is a constant speed geodesic. Then:

$$\sqrt{|lpha(t)-lpha(s)|} = W_2(\mu_{lpha(t)},\mu_{lpha(s)}) = c|t-s| \; .$$

Thus: $t \mapsto \alpha(t)$ is 2-Hölder, hence constant.

• Conclusion: there are no non-trivial W₂-geodesics. In fact:

 $(\mathcal{P}(\mathcal{X}), W_2)$ is a geodesic space $\Leftrightarrow (\mathcal{X}, d)$ is a geodesic space.

Moreover: no curves of finite length \rightsquigarrow no gradient flows.

Let \mathcal{L} be generator of a reversible Markov chain on a finite set \mathcal{X} :

$$\mathcal{L}\psi(x) = \sum_{y} Q(x, y)(\psi(y) - \psi(x))$$

Let \mathcal{L} be generator of a reversible Markov chain on a finite set \mathcal{X} :

$$\mathcal{L}\psi(x) = \sum_{y} Q(x, y)(\psi(y) - \psi(x))$$

Let π be its invariant measure, and consider the relative entropy

$$\mathsf{Ent}_\pi(\mu) := \sum_{x \in \mathcal{X}} \mu(x) \log rac{\mu(x)}{\pi(x)} \;, \qquad \mu \in \mathcal{P}(\mathcal{X}) \;.$$

Let \mathcal{L} be generator of a reversible Markov chain on a finite set \mathcal{X} :

$$\mathcal{L}\psi(x) = \sum_{y} Q(x, y)(\psi(y) - \psi(x))$$

Let π be its invariant measure, and consider the relative entropy

$$\mathsf{Ent}_{\pi}(\mu) := \sum_{x \in \mathcal{X}} \mu(x) \log rac{\mu(x)}{\pi(x)} \ , \qquad \mu \in \mathcal{P}(\mathcal{X}) \ .$$

Question: Is the Kolmogorov forward equation $\partial_t \mu = \mathcal{L}^{\dagger} \mu$ the gradient flow of Ent_{π} w.r.t. a suitable metric on $\mathcal{P}(\mathcal{X})$?

Let \mathcal{L} be generator of a reversible Markov chain on a finite set \mathcal{X} :

$$\mathcal{L}\psi(x) = \sum_{y} Q(x, y)(\psi(y) - \psi(x))$$

Let π be its invariant measure, and consider the relative entropy

$$\mathsf{Ent}_{\pi}(\mu) := \sum_{x \in \mathcal{X}} \mu(x) \log rac{\mu(x)}{\pi(x)} \ , \qquad \mu \in \mathcal{P}(\mathcal{X}) \ .$$

Question: Is the Kolmogorov forward equation $\partial_t \mu = \mathcal{L}^{\dagger} \mu$ the gradient flow of Ent_{π} w.r.t. a suitable metric on $\mathcal{P}(\mathcal{X})$?

Teaser: On the two point space: Yes!

$$\mathcal{W}(\mu_{lpha},\mu_{eta}) = \int_{lpha}^{eta} \sqrt{rac{\operatorname{\mathsf{arctanh}}(2r-1)}{2r-1}} \, \mathrm{d}r, \qquad 0 \leq lpha \leq eta \leq 1.$$

Back to \mathbb{R}^n : dynamical characterisation of W_2

Back to \mathbb{R}^n : dynamical characterisation of W_2



Back to R^n : dynamical characterisation of W_2

Benamou-Brenier formula in \mathbb{R}^n

$$W_{2}(\rho_{0},\rho_{1})^{2} = \inf_{(\rho_{t},\Psi_{t})_{t}} \left\{ \int_{0}^{1} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{n}} |\Psi_{t}(x)|^{2} \rho_{t}(x) \, \mathrm{d}x \, \mathrm{d}t : \\ \partial_{t}\rho + \nabla \cdot (\rho\Psi) = 0 , \\ \rho|_{t=0} = \rho_{0} , \quad \rho|_{t=1} = \rho_{1} \right\}.$$



Back to R^n : dynamical characterisation of W_2

Benamou-Brenier formula in \mathbb{R}^n

$$W_2(\rho_0,\rho_1)^2 = \inf_{(\rho_t,\psi_t)_t} \left\{ \int_0^1 \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} |\nabla \psi_t(x)|^2 \rho_t(x) \, \mathrm{d}x \, \mathrm{d}t : \\ \partial_t \rho + \nabla \cdot (\rho \nabla \psi) = 0 , \\ \rho|_{t=0} = \rho_0 , \quad \rho|_{t=1} = \rho_1 \right\}.$$



Benamou–Brenier formula in \mathbb{R}^n

$$\begin{aligned} W_2^2(\rho_0,\rho_1) &= \inf_{\rho,\psi} \left\{ \int_0^1 \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} |\nabla \psi_t(x)|^2 \,\rho_t(x) \,\mathrm{d}x \,\mathrm{d}t \right\} \\ \text{s.t.} \quad \partial_t \rho + \mathsf{div}(\rho \nabla \psi) = 0 \text{ and } \rho_{t=0} = \rho_0, \ \rho_{t=1} = \rho_1 \ . \end{aligned}$$

Benamou-Brenier formula in Rⁿ

$$\begin{aligned} W_2^2(\rho_0,\rho_1) &= \inf_{\rho,\psi} \left\{ \int_0^1 \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} |\nabla \psi_t(x)|^2 \,\rho_t(x) \,\mathrm{d}x \,\mathrm{d}t \right\} \\ \text{s.t.} \quad \partial_t \rho + \mathsf{div}(\rho \nabla \psi) = 0 \text{ and } \rho_{t=0} = \rho_0, \ \rho_{t=1} = \rho_1 \ . \end{aligned}$$



s.t.

Benamou-Brenier formula in Rⁿ

$$\begin{split} W_2^2(\rho_0,\rho_1) &= \inf_{\rho,\psi} \left\{ \int_0^1 \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} |\nabla \psi_t(x)|^2 \,\rho_t(x) \,\mathrm{d}x \,\mathrm{d}t \right\} \\ \text{s.t.} \quad \partial_t \rho + \mathsf{div}(\rho \nabla \psi) = 0 \text{ and } \rho_{t=0} = \rho_0, \ \rho_{t=1} = \rho_1 \;. \end{split}$$



s.t.

Problem: μ is defined on vertices, $\nabla \psi$ is defined on edges.

Benamou-Brenier formula in Rⁿ

$$\begin{split} W_2^2(\rho_0,\rho_1) &= \inf_{\rho,\psi} \left\{ \int_0^1 \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} |\nabla \psi_t(x)|^2 \,\rho_t(x) \,\mathrm{d}x \,\mathrm{d}t \right\} \\ \text{s.t.} \quad \partial_t \rho + \mathsf{div}(\rho \nabla \psi) = 0 \text{ and } \rho_{t=0} = \rho_0, \ \rho_{t=1} = \rho_1 \;. \end{split}$$



Use the logarithmic mean of the densities to define the mobility!

$$\Lambda(a,b) := \int_0^1 a^{1-p} b^p \,\mathrm{d}p \;.$$

Benamou–Brenier formula in R^n

$$\begin{split} W_2^2(\rho_0,\rho_1) &= \inf_{\rho,\psi} \left\{ \int_0^1 \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} |\nabla \psi_t(x)|^2 \,\rho_t(x) \,\mathrm{d}x \,\mathrm{d}t \right\} \\ \text{s.t.} \quad \partial_t \rho + \mathsf{div}(\rho \nabla \psi) = 0 \text{ and } \rho_{t=0} = \rho_0, \ \rho_{t=1} = \rho_1 \;. \end{split}$$

Definition in the discrete case (write
$$\omega(x, y) = Q(x, y)\pi(x)$$
)
 $\mathcal{W}(\mu_0, \mu_1)^2$
:= $\inf_{\mu, \psi} \left\{ \int_0^1 \sum_{x, y} (\psi_t(x) - \psi_t(y))^2 \Lambda\left(\frac{\mu_t(x)}{\pi(x)}, \frac{\mu_t(y)}{\pi(y)}\right) \omega(x, y) \, \mathrm{d}t \right\}$
s.t. $\partial_t \mu(x) + \sum_y \Lambda\left(\frac{\mu(x)}{\pi(x)}, \frac{\mu(y)}{\pi(y)}\right) (\psi(x) - \psi(y)) \omega(x, y) = 0 \quad \forall x$

Use the logarithmic mean of the densities to define the mobility!

$$\Lambda(a,b) := \int_0^1 a^{1-p} b^p \,\mathrm{d}p \;.$$

• \mathcal{W} is induced by a Riemannian metric on $\mathcal{P}(\mathcal{X})$.

• \mathcal{W} is induced by a Riemannian metric on $\mathcal{P}(\mathcal{X})$.

Discrete JKO-Theorem (M. , MIELKE, CHOW-HUANG-LI-ZHOU '11) The heat flow is the gradient flow of the entropy w.r.t. W.

• \mathcal{W} is induced by a Riemannian metric on $\mathcal{P}(\mathcal{X})$.

Discrete JKO-Theorem (M. , MIELKE, CHOW-HUANG-LI-ZHOU '11) The heat flow is the gradient flow of the entropy w.r.t. W.

Why the logarithmic mean?

• \mathcal{W} is induced by a Riemannian metric on $\mathcal{P}(\mathcal{X})$.

Discrete JKO-Theorem (M. , MIELKE, CHOW-HUANG-LI-ZHOU '11) The heat flow is the gradient flow of the entropy w.r.t. W.

Why the logarithmic mean?

• Represent heat equation as continuity equation:

$$\partial_t \rho = \Delta \rho \quad \iff \quad \left\{ \begin{array}{l} \partial_t \rho + \operatorname{div}(\rho \Psi) = 0\\ \Psi = -\nabla \log \rho \end{array} \right.$$

• \mathcal{W} is induced by a Riemannian metric on $\mathcal{P}(\mathcal{X})$.

Discrete JKO-Theorem (M. , MIELKE, CHOW-HUANG-LI-ZHOU '11) The heat flow is the gradient flow of the entropy w.r.t. W.

Why the logarithmic mean?

Represent heat equation as continuity equation:

$$\partial_t \rho = \Delta \rho \quad \iff \quad \left\{ \begin{array}{l} \partial_t \rho + \operatorname{div}(\rho \Psi) = 0\\ \Psi = -\nabla \log \rho \end{array} \right.$$

Log-mean compensates for the lack of discrete chain rule:

$$\Lambda(\rho(x), \rho(y)) = \int_0^1 \rho(x)^{1-p} \rho(y)^p \, \mathrm{d}p = \frac{\rho(x) - \rho(y)}{\log \rho(x) - \log \rho(y)}$$

Ricci curvature of Markov chains

Definition (à la Lott-Sturm-Villani) (ERBAR, M.)

A Markov chain (\mathcal{X}, Q, π) is said to have Ricci curvature bounded from below by $\kappa \in \mathbb{R}$ if the relative entropy Ent_{π} is κ -convex along \mathcal{W} -geodesics.

Ricci curvature of Markov chains

Definition (à la Lott-Sturm-Villani) (ERBAR, M.)

A Markov chain (\mathcal{X}, Q, π) is said to have Ricci curvature bounded from below by $\kappa \in \mathbb{R}$ if the relative entropy Ent_{π} is κ -convex along \mathcal{W} -geodesics.

Simple examples with positive curvature

- discrete hypercube $\{-1,1\}^n$:
- Bernoulli-Laplace model (with *k* particles on *n* sites):

 $\frac{n+2}{(n-k)}$

- random transposition model on S_n :
- zero-range processes on complete graph

Ricci curvature of Markov chains

Definition (à la Lott-Sturm-Villani) (ERBAR, M.)

A Markov chain (\mathcal{X}, Q, π) is said to have Ricci curvature bounded from below by $\kappa \in \mathbb{R}$ if the relative entropy Ent_{π} is κ -convex along \mathcal{W} -geodesics.

Simple examples with positive curvature

• discrete hypercube $\{-1,1\}^n$: $\frac{2}{n}$

 $\frac{4}{n(n-1)}$

- Bernoulli-Laplace model (with k particles on n sites): $\frac{n+2}{k(n-k)}$
- random transposition model on S_n :
- zero-range processes on complete graph

Remark Many other notions of discrete Ricci curvature exist, e.g.:

- Ollivier's course Ricci curvature
- Bakry-Émery curvature (in various versions).

Consequences: Sharp functional inequalities

Bakry-Émery Theorem (ERBAR, M.) Let (\mathcal{X}, Q, π) be a reversible Markov chain. Let $\kappa > 0$. If Ric $(\kappa) \geq \kappa$, then the logarithmic Sobolev inequality holds:

$$\mathsf{Ent}_{\pi}(
ho\pi) \leq rac{1}{2\kappa} \mathcal{E}(
ho, \log
ho) \; ,$$

where $\mathcal{E}(\varphi, \psi) = -\langle \mathcal{L}\varphi, \psi \rangle_{L^2(\pi)}$ is the Dirichlet form.

This implies exponential decay of the relative entropy:

$$\mathsf{Ent}_{\pi}(e^{tL^{\dagger}}\mu) \leq e^{-2\kappa t} \, \mathsf{Ent}_{\pi}(\mu) \qquad orall \mu \in \mathcal{P}(\mathcal{X}) \; .$$

Closely related gradient flow structures have been discovered for

Closely related gradient flow structures have been discovered for

• Jump processes on general state spaces (Erbar) e.g., geodesic convexity of the entropy for Lévy processes

Closely related gradient flow structures have been discovered for

- Jump processes on general state spaces (Erbar) e.g., geodesic convexity of the entropy for Lévy processes
- Nonlocal-interaction equations on graphs (Esposito-Patacchini-Schlichting-Slepčev)

Closely related gradient flow structures have been discovered for

- Jump processes on general state spaces (Erbar) e.g., geodesic convexity of the entropy for Lévy processes
- Nonlocal-interaction equations on graphs (Esposito-Patacchini-Schlichting-Slepčev)
- Discrete porous medium equations (Erbar-M.) allows for structure-preserving discretisations of PDEs
Related gradient flow structures

Closely related gradient flow structures have been discovered for

- Jump processes on general state spaces (Erbar) e.g., geodesic convexity of the entropy for Lévy processes
- Nonlocal-interaction equations on graphs (Esposito-Patacchini-Schlichting-Slepčev)
- Discrete porous medium equations (Erbar-M.) allows for structure-preserving discretisations of PDEs
- Chemical reaction networks (Mielke) gradient flow structure for chemical master equation and Liouville equation

Related gradient flow structures

Closely related gradient flow structures have been discovered for

- Jump processes on general state spaces (Erbar) e.g., geodesic convexity of the entropy for Lévy processes
- Nonlocal-interaction equations on graphs (Esposito-Patacchini-Schlichting-Slepčev)
- Discrete porous medium equations (Erbar-M.) allows for structure-preserving discretisations of PDEs
- Chemical reaction networks (Mielke) gradient flow structure for chemical master equation and Liouville equation
- Dissipative quantum mechanics (Carlen-M., Mielke-Mittnenzweig, Chen-Gangbo-Georgiou-Tannenbaum) non-commutative analogue of W for density matrices

Is there a JKO theorem for dissipative quantum systems?

Dynamics of open quantum systems

Dynamics of open quantum systems

• Let \mathfrak{H} be a (finite-dimensional) Hilbert space

Dynamics of open quantum systems

- Let \mathfrak{H} be a (finite-dimensional) Hilbert space
- Let $\mathfrak{P}(\mathfrak{H}) = \{ \rho \in B(\mathfrak{H}) : \rho = \rho^* \ge 0 , \operatorname{Tr}[\rho] = 1 \}$ be the set of density matrices

Dynamics of open quantum systems

- Let \mathfrak{H} be a (finite-dimensional) Hilbert space
- Let $\mathfrak{P}(\mathfrak{H}) = \{ \rho \in B(\mathfrak{H}) : \rho = \rho^* \ge 0 , \operatorname{Tr}[\rho] = 1 \}$ be the set of density matrices
- Let \$\mathcal{P}_t = e^{t\mathcal{L}}\$ be a trace preserving and completely positive semigroup acting on \$\mathcal{P}(\vec{n})\$.

Dynamics of open quantum systems

- Let \mathfrak{H} be a (finite-dimensional) Hilbert space
- Let $\mathfrak{P}(\mathfrak{H}) = \{ \rho \in B(\mathfrak{H}) : \rho = \rho^* \ge 0 , \operatorname{Tr}[\rho] = 1 \}$ be the set of density matrices
- Let \$\mathcal{P}_t = e^{t\mathcal{L}}\$ be a trace preserving and completely positive semigroup acting on \$\mathcal{P}(\vec{n})\$.
- Then, $\mathcal L$ can be written in Lindblad form

$$\mathcal{L}\rho = -i[H,\rho] + \sum_j \left[V_j,\rho V_j^*\right] + \left[V_j\rho,V_j^*\right],$$

where the Hamiltonian H is self-adjoint, and $V_j \in B(\mathfrak{H})$. [GORINI/KOSSAKOWSKI/SUDARSHAN, LINDBLAD '76]

Dynamics of open quantum systems

- Let \mathfrak{H} be a (finite-dimensional) Hilbert space
- Let $\mathfrak{P}(\mathfrak{H}) = \{ \rho \in B(\mathfrak{H}) : \rho = \rho^* \ge 0 , \operatorname{Tr}[\rho] = 1 \}$ be the set of density matrices
- Let \$\mathcal{P}_t = e^{t\mathcal{L}}\$ be a trace preserving and completely positive semigroup acting on \$\mathcal{P}(\vec{n})\$.
- Then, $\mathcal L$ can be written in Lindblad form

$$\mathcal{L}\rho = -i[H,\rho] + \sum_j \left[V_j, \rho V_j^*\right] + \left[V_j\rho, V_j^*\right],$$

where the Hamiltonian H is self-adjoint, and $V_j \in B(\mathfrak{H})$. [GORINI/KOSSAKOWSKI/SUDARSHAN, LINDBLAD '76]

 Let σ ∈ 𝔅(𝔅) be a stationary state, i.e., Lσ = 0. Consider the quantum relative entropy Ent(ρ|σ) = Tr[ρ(log ρ − log σ)].

Dynamics of open quantum systems

- Let \mathfrak{H} be a (finite-dimensional) Hilbert space
- Let $\mathfrak{P}(\mathfrak{H}) = \{ \rho \in B(\mathfrak{H}) : \rho = \rho^* \ge 0 , \operatorname{Tr}[\rho] = 1 \}$ be the set of density matrices
- Let \$\mathcal{P}_t = e^{t\mathcal{L}}\$ be a trace preserving and completely positive semigroup acting on \$\mathcal{P}(\vec{n})\$.
- Then, $\mathcal L$ can be written in Lindblad form

 $\mathcal{L}\rho = -i[H,\rho] + \sum_{j} \left[V_{j},\rho V_{j}^{*}\right] + \left[V_{j}\rho,V_{j}^{*}\right],$

where the Hamiltonian H is self-adjoint, and $V_j \in B(\mathfrak{H})$. [GORINI/KOSSAKOWSKI/SUDARSHAN, LINDBLAD '76]

- Let σ ∈ 𝔅(𝔅) be a stationary state, i.e., Lσ = 0. Consider the quantum relative entropy Ent(ρ|σ) = Tr[ρ(log ρ − log σ)].
- *H*-Theorem [SPOHN '78]: $t \mapsto \text{Ent}(\mathcal{P}_t \rho | \sigma)$ is decreasing.

• Can we formulate the Lindblad equation $\partial_t \rho = \mathcal{L} \rho$ as gradient flow of the relative entropy?

- Can we formulate the Lindblad equation $\partial_t \rho = \mathcal{L} \rho$ as gradient flow of the relative entropy?
- \bullet Assume first: ${\cal L}$ is self-adjoint w.r.t. the scalar product

$$\langle A,B\rangle = \operatorname{Tr}[A^*B] \quad \text{ on } B(\mathfrak{H}) \ .$$

- Can we formulate the Lindblad equation $\partial_t \rho = \mathcal{L} \rho$ as gradient flow of the relative entropy?
- \bullet Assume first: ${\cal L}$ is self-adjoint w.r.t. the scalar product

$$\langle A,B
angle = \operatorname{Tr}[A^*B] \quad ext{ on } B(\mathfrak{H}) \;.$$

 $\bullet\,$ Then: ${\cal L}$ has the divergence form representation

$$\mathcal{L}A = -\sum_{j} \partial_{j}^{\dagger} \partial_{j} A$$
 where $\partial_{j}A = [V_{j}, A]$

- Can we formulate the Lindblad equation ∂_tρ = Lρ as gradient flow of the relative entropy?
- \bullet Assume first: ${\cal L}$ is self-adjoint w.r.t. the scalar product

$$\langle A,B
angle = \operatorname{Tr}[A^*B] \quad ext{ on } B(\mathfrak{H}) \;.$$

• Then: ${\mathcal L}$ has the divergence form representation

$$\mathcal{L} A = -\sum_{j} \partial_{j}^{\dagger} \partial_{j} A$$
 where $\partial_{j} A = [V_{j}, A]$

• Ansatz: define a distance $\mathcal W$ on $\mathfrak P(\mathfrak H)$ by

$$\mathcal{W}(\rho_0, \rho_1)^2 = \inf_{\rho, A} \left\{ \int_0^1 \sum_j \operatorname{Tr}[(\partial_j A)^* \rho \bullet \partial_j A] \, \mathrm{d}t \right\}$$

s.t. $\partial_t \rho + \sum_j \partial_j^{\dagger}(\rho \bullet \partial_j A) = 0, \quad \rho : \rho_0 \rightsquigarrow \rho_1.$

- Can we formulate the Lindblad equation $\partial_t \rho = \mathcal{L} \rho$ as gradient flow of the relative entropy?
- Assume first: \mathcal{L} is self-adjoint w.r.t. the scalar product

$$\langle A,B
angle = \operatorname{Tr}[A^*B] \quad ext{ on } B(\mathfrak{H}) \;.$$

• Then: ${\mathcal L}$ has the divergence form representation

$$\mathcal{L} \mathcal{A} = -\sum_{j} \partial_{j}^{\dagger} \partial_{j} \mathcal{A} \quad ext{ where } \partial_{j} \mathcal{A} = [V_{j}, \mathcal{A}]$$

• Ansatz: define a distance $\mathcal W$ on $\mathfrak P(\mathfrak H)$ by

$$\mathcal{W}(\rho_0, \rho_1)^2 = \inf_{\rho, A} \left\{ \int_0^1 \sum_j \operatorname{Tr}[(\partial_j A)^* \rho \bullet \partial_j A] \, \mathrm{d}t \right\}$$

s.t. $\partial_t \rho + \sum_j \partial_j^\dagger (\rho \bullet \partial_j A) = 0, \quad \rho : \rho_0 \rightsquigarrow \rho_1.$

How to define the product

 ?

 Need: non-commutative version of the classical chain rule

$$\nabla \rho = \rho \, \nabla \log \rho \ ?$$

Is there a non-commutative chain rule " $\partial_j \rho = \rho \bullet \partial_j \log \rho$ "?

Is there a non-commutative chain rule " $\partial_i \rho = \rho \bullet \partial_i \log \rho$ "?

• Recall that $\partial_j A = [V_j, A]$

Is there a non-commutative chain rule " $\partial_j \rho = \rho \bullet \partial_j \log \rho$ "?

- Recall that $\partial_j A = [V_j, A]$
- Observe: $\partial_j(AB) = (\partial_j A)B + A \partial_j B$

Is there a non-commutative chain rule " $\partial_j \rho = \rho \bullet \partial_j \log \rho$ "?

- Recall that $\partial_j A = [V_j, A]$
- Observe: $\partial_j(AB) = (\partial_j A)B + A \partial_j B$
- Consequently:

$$\partial_j(A^n) = \sum_{k=0}^{n-1} A^k (\partial_j A) A^{n-k-1}$$

Is there a non-commutative chain rule " $\partial_j \rho = \rho \bullet \partial_j \log \rho$ "?

- Recall that $\partial_j A = [V_j, A]$
- Observe: $\partial_j(AB) = (\partial_j A)B + A \partial_j B$
- Consequently:

$$\partial_j(A^n) = \sum_{k=0}^{n-1} A^k (\partial_j A) A^{n-k-1}$$

• Set $A = \rho^{1/n}$. Then:

$$\partial_j \rho = \sum_{k=0}^{n-1} \rho^{k/n} (\partial_j \rho^{1/n}) \rho^{1-(k+1)/n}$$

Is there a non-commutative chain rule " $\partial_j \rho = \rho \bullet \partial_j \log \rho$ "?

- Recall that $\partial_j A = [V_j, A]$
- Observe: $\partial_j(AB) = (\partial_j A)B + A \partial_j B$
- Consequently:

$$\partial_j(A^n) = \sum_{k=0}^{n-1} A^k (\partial_j A) A^{n-k-1}$$

• Set $A = \rho^{1/n}$. Then:

$$\partial_j \rho = \sum_{k=0}^{n-1} \rho^{k/n} (\partial_j \rho^{1/n}) \rho^{1-(k+1)/n}$$

$$n \to \infty$$
: $\partial_j \rho = \int_0^1 \rho^s (\partial_j \log \rho) \rho^{1-s} \, \mathrm{d}s$

Let \mathcal{L} be a Lindblad operator given by $\mathcal{L}\rho = \sum_{j} [V_{j}, \rho V_{j}^{*}] + [V_{j}\rho, V_{j}^{*}]$ with $(V_{j})_{j} \subset B(\mathfrak{H})$.

Let \mathcal{L} be a Lindblad operator given by $\mathcal{L}\rho = \sum_{j} [V_{j}, \rho V_{j}^{*}] + [V_{j}\rho, V_{j}^{*}]$ with $(V_{j})_{j} \subset B(\mathfrak{H})$.

Definition (Quantum transport metric)

For density matrices $ho_0,
ho_1\in\mathfrak{P}(\mathfrak{H})$ we set

$$\mathcal{W}^{2}(\rho_{0},\rho_{1}) = \inf_{\rho,A} \left\{ \int_{0}^{1} \sum_{j} \operatorname{Tr}[(\partial_{j}A)^{*}\rho \bullet \partial_{j}A] \, \mathrm{d}t : \\ \partial_{t}\rho + \sum_{j} \partial_{j}^{\dagger}(\rho \bullet \partial_{j}A) = 0, \quad \rho : \rho_{0} \rightsquigarrow \rho_{1} \right\}$$

where $\partial_{j}A = [V_{j},A]$ and $\rho \bullet A := \int_{0}^{1} \rho^{s} A \rho^{1-s} \, \mathrm{d}s$.

Let \mathcal{L} be a Lindblad operator given by $\mathcal{L}\rho = \sum_{j} [V_{j}, \rho V_{j}^{*}] + [V_{j}\rho, V_{j}^{*}]$ with $(V_{j})_{j} \subset B(\mathfrak{H})$.

Definition (Quantum transport metric)

For density matrices $ho_0,
ho_1\in\mathfrak{P}(\mathfrak{H})$ we set

$$\mathcal{W}^{2}(\rho_{0},\rho_{1}) = \inf_{\rho,A} \left\{ \int_{0}^{1} \sum_{j} \operatorname{Tr}[(\partial_{j}A)^{*}\rho \bullet \partial_{j}A] \, \mathrm{d}t : \\ \partial_{t}\rho + \sum_{j} \partial_{j}^{\dagger}(\rho \bullet \partial_{j}A) = 0, \quad \rho : \rho_{0} \rightsquigarrow \rho_{1} \right\}$$

where $\partial_{j}A = [V_{j},A]$ and $\rho \bullet A := \int_{0}^{1} \rho^{s} A \rho^{1-s} \, \mathrm{d}s$.

Quantum JKO-Theorem I (CARLEN-M. '17)

If \mathcal{L} is self-adjoint w.r.t. trace duality, then the Lindblad equation $\partial_t \rho = \mathcal{L}\rho$ is the gradient flow of the von Neumann entropy $\operatorname{Ent}(\rho) = \operatorname{Tr}[\rho \log \rho]$ w.r.t \mathcal{W} .

Let \mathcal{L} be a Lindblad operator given by $\mathcal{L}\rho = \sum_{j} [V_{j}, \rho V_{j}^{*}] + [V_{j}\rho, V_{j}^{*}]$ with $(V_{j})_{j} \subset B(\mathfrak{H})$.

Definition (Quantum transport metric)

For density matrices $ho_0,
ho_1\in\mathfrak{P}(\mathfrak{H})$ we set

$$\mathcal{W}^{2}(\rho_{0},\rho_{1}) = \inf_{\rho,A} \left\{ \int_{0}^{1} \sum_{j} \operatorname{Tr}[(\partial_{j}A)^{*}\rho \bullet \partial_{j}A] \, \mathrm{d}t : \\ \partial_{t}\rho + \sum_{j} \partial_{j}^{\dagger}(\rho \bullet \partial_{j}A) = 0, \quad \rho : \rho_{0} \rightsquigarrow \rho_{1} \right\}$$

where $\partial_{j}A = [V_{j},A]$ and $\rho \bullet A := \int_{0}^{1} \rho^{s} A \rho^{1-s} \, \mathrm{d}s$.

Quantum JKO-Theorem I (CARLEN-M. '17)

If \mathcal{L} is self-adjoint w.r.t. trace duality, then the Lindblad equation $\partial_t \rho = \mathcal{L}\rho$ is the gradient flow of the von Neumann entropy $\operatorname{Ent}(\rho) = \operatorname{Tr}[\rho \log \rho]$ w.r.t \mathcal{W} .

 Assume: *L* satisfies detailed balance with respect to σ ∈ 𝔅(𝔅), i.e., *L* is selfadjoint w.r.t. (*A*, *B*)_σ = Tr[σ*A***B*].

- Assume: *L* satisfies detailed balance with respect to *σ* ∈ 𝔅(𝔅), i.e., *L* is selfadjoint w.r.t. ⟨*A*, *B*⟩_σ = Tr[σ*A***B*].
- Then: $\mathcal{L} = \sum_{j} e^{\omega_j/2} \mathcal{L}_j$, $\mathcal{L}_j \rho = [V_j, \rho V_j^*] + [V_j \rho, V_j^*]$, where $\{V_j\} = \{V_j^*\}$ and $[V_j, \log \sigma] = -\omega_j V_j$ for some $\omega_j \in \mathbb{R}$.

- Assume: *L* satisfies detailed balance with respect to *σ* ∈ 𝔅(𝔅), i.e., *L* is selfadjoint w.r.t. ⟨*A*, *B*⟩_σ = Tr[σ*A***B*].
- Then: $\mathcal{L} = \sum_{j} e^{\omega_j/2} \mathcal{L}_j$, $\mathcal{L}_j \rho = [V_j, \rho V_j^*] + [V_j \rho, V_j^*]$, where $\{V_j\} = \{V_j^*\}$ and $[V_j, \log \sigma] = -\omega_j V_j$ for some $\omega_j \in \mathbb{R}$.
- Need: a non-commutative chain rule of the form $\sigma \nabla (\rho/\sigma) = \rho \nabla (\log \rho \log \sigma)$

- Assume: *L* satisfies detailed balance with respect to *σ* ∈ 𝔅(𝔅), i.e., *L* is selfadjoint w.r.t. ⟨*A*, *B*⟩_σ = Tr[σ*A***B*].
- Then: $\mathcal{L} = \sum_{j} e^{\omega_j/2} \mathcal{L}_j$, $\mathcal{L}_j \rho = [V_j, \rho V_j^*] + [V_j \rho, V_j^*]$, where $\{V_j\} = \{V_j^*\}$ and $[V_j, \log \sigma] = -\omega_j V_j$ for some $\omega_j \in \mathbb{R}$.
- Need: a non-commutative chain rule of the form $\sigma \nabla (\rho/\sigma) = \rho \nabla (\log \rho \log \sigma)$
- Key identity:

$$\sigma^{1/2}\partial_j \big(\sigma^{-1/2}\rho\sigma^{-1/2}\big)\sigma^{1/2} = \rho \bullet_j \big(\partial_j (\log \rho - \log \sigma)\big) \ ,$$

- Assume: *L* satisfies detailed balance with respect to *σ* ∈ 𝔅(𝔅), i.e., *L* is selfadjoint w.r.t. ⟨*A*, *B*⟩_σ = Tr[σ*A***B*].
- Then: $\mathcal{L} = \sum_{j} e^{\omega_j/2} \mathcal{L}_j$, $\mathcal{L}_j \rho = [V_j, \rho V_j^*] + [V_j \rho, V_j^*]$, where $\{V_j\} = \{V_j^*\}$ and $[V_j, \log \sigma] = -\omega_j V_j$ for some $\omega_j \in \mathbb{R}$.
- Need: a non-commutative chain rule of the form $\sigma \nabla (\rho/\sigma) = \rho \nabla (\log \rho \log \sigma)$
- Key identity:

$$\sigma^{1/2}\partial_j \left(\sigma^{-1/2}\rho\sigma^{-1/2}\right)\sigma^{1/2} = \rho \bullet_j \left(\partial_j (\log \rho - \log \sigma)\right) ,$$

where $\rho \bullet_j A = \int_0^1 \left(e^{-\omega_j/2}\rho\right)^{1-s} A \left(e^{\omega_j/2}\rho\right)^s \mathrm{d}s$

- Assume: L satisfies detailed balance with respect to σ ∈ 𝔅(𝔅), i.e., L is selfadjoint w.r.t. (A, B)_σ = Tr[σA*B].
- Then: $\mathcal{L} = \sum_{j} e^{\omega_j/2} \mathcal{L}_j$, $\mathcal{L}_j \rho = [V_j, \rho V_j^*] + [V_j \rho, V_j^*]$, where $\{V_j\} = \{V_j^*\}$ and $[V_j, \log \sigma] = -\omega_j V_j$ for some $\omega_j \in \mathbb{R}$.
- Need: a non-commutative chain rule of the form $\sigma \nabla(\rho/\sigma) = \rho \nabla(\log \rho \log \sigma)$
- Key identity:

$$\sigma^{1/2}\partial_j \left(\sigma^{-1/2}\rho\sigma^{-1/2}\right)\sigma^{1/2} = \rho \bullet_j \left(\partial_j (\log \rho - \log \sigma)\right) ,$$

where $\rho \bullet_j A = \int_0^1 \left(e^{-\omega_j/2}\rho\right)^{1-s} A \left(e^{\omega_j/2}\rho\right)^s \mathrm{d}s$

Quantum JKO-Theorem II (Carlen-M., Mielke-Mittnenzweig 2017)

If \mathcal{L} satisfies detailed balance w.r.t. a state σ , then the Lindblad equation $\partial_t \rho = \mathcal{L}\rho$ is the gradient flow of the quantum relative entropy $\operatorname{Ent}(\rho|\sigma) = \operatorname{Tr}[\rho(\log \rho - \log \sigma)]$ w.r.t \mathcal{W} .

Example: the quantum Ornstein-Uhlenbeck semigroup

Example: the quantum Ornstein-Uhlenbeck semigroup

• Let *a* be an operator satisfying the canonical commutation relation $[a, a^*] = I$

Example: the quantum Ornstein-Uhlenbeck semigroup

- Let *a* be an operator satisfying the canonical commutation relation $[a, a^*] = I$
- Concrete realisation: $\mathfrak{H} = L^2(\mathbb{R}, \gamma)$, γ Gaussian measure,

$$a = \partial_x$$
, $a^* = x - \partial_x$
Example: the quantum Ornstein-Uhlenbeck semigroup

- Let *a* be an operator satisfying the canonical commutation relation $[a, a^*] = I$
- Concrete realisation: $\mathfrak{H}=L^2(\mathsf{R},\gamma)\text{, }\gamma$ Gaussian measure,

$$a = \partial_x$$
, $a^* = x - \partial_x$

• For $\beta > 0$, consider the quantum OU-operator

$$\mathcal{L}_{\beta}\rho = \frac{1}{2}e^{\beta/2}\underbrace{\left([a,\rho a^*] + [a\rho,a^*]\right)}_{\text{eterminant}} + \frac{1}{2}e^{-\beta/2}\underbrace{\left([a^*,\rho a] + [a^*\rho,a]\right)}_{\text{eterminant}}$$

attenuator

amplifier

Example: the quantum Ornstein-Uhlenbeck semigroup

- Let *a* be an operator satisfying the canonical commutation relation $[a, a^*] = I$
- Concrete realisation: $\mathfrak{H} = L^2(\mathsf{R}, \gamma)$, γ Gaussian measure,

$$a=\partial_x, \quad a^*=x-\partial_x$$

• For $\beta > 0$, consider the quantum OU-operator

$$\mathcal{L}_{\beta}\rho = \frac{1}{2}e^{\beta/2}\underbrace{\left([a,\rho a^*] + [a\rho,a^*]\right)}_{attenuator} + \frac{1}{2}e^{-\beta/2}\underbrace{\left([a^*,\rho a] + [a^*\rho,a]\right)}_{amplifier}$$

• $\exists !$ (Gaussian) stationary state: $\sigma_eta = Z^{-1} e^{-eta H}$, $H = a^* a$

Theorem: [CARLEN/M. '17]

$$\mathsf{Ent}(e^{t\mathcal{L}_{eta}}
ho|\sigma_{eta}) \leq e^{-2\lambda_{eta}t}\,\mathsf{Ent}(
ho|\sigma_{eta}) \quad ext{where} \quad \lambda_{eta} = \sinh(eta/2) \;.$$

Geodesic convexity of the quantum entropy

Key ingredients of the proof:

Geodesic convexity of the quantum entropy

Key ingredients of the proof:

• Intertwining relations:

$$\partial_j \circ \mathcal{P}_t = e^{-\lambda_\beta t} \mathcal{P}_t \circ \partial_j$$

where $\lambda_{\beta} = \sinh(\beta/2)$

Geodesic convexity of the quantum entropy

Key ingredients of the proof:

• Intertwining relations:

$$\partial_j \circ \mathcal{P}_t = e^{-\lambda_\beta t} \mathcal{P}_t \circ \partial_j$$

where $\lambda_{\beta} = \sinh(\beta/2)$

• Matrix convexity inequalities:

$$(R,A)\mapsto \operatorname{Tr}\left[\int_0^\infty (tI+e^{-\omega/2}R)^{-1}A^*(tI+e^{\omega/2}R)^{-1}A\,\mathrm{d}t
ight]$$

is jointly convex on $\mathcal{M}_n^+ \times \mathcal{M}_n$ for all $\omega \in \mathsf{R}$.

Thank you!