### **Overview of Quantum Algorithmic Tools**

András Gilyén

Institute for Quantum Information and Matter



Quantum Cryptanalysis of Post-Quantum Cryptography Berkeley, 22nd February 2020

# **Block-encodings and**

# **Quantum Singular Value Transformation**

A way to represent large matrices on a quantum computer efficiently

$$U = \begin{bmatrix} A & . \\ . & . \end{bmatrix} \quad \Longleftrightarrow \quad A = (\langle 0 |^a \otimes I ) U (|0\rangle^b \otimes I ).$$

A way to represent large matrices on a quantum computer efficiently

$$U = \begin{bmatrix} A & . \\ . & . \end{bmatrix} \quad \Longleftrightarrow \quad A = (\langle 0 |^a \otimes I ) U (| 0 \rangle^b \otimes I ).$$

A way to represent large matrices on a quantum computer efficiently

$$U = \begin{bmatrix} A & . \\ . & . \end{bmatrix} \quad \Longleftrightarrow \quad A = (\langle 0 |^a \otimes I ) U (|0\rangle^b \otimes I ).$$

One can efficiently construct block-encodings of

• an efficiently implementable unitary U,

A way to represent large matrices on a quantum computer efficiently

$$U = \begin{bmatrix} A & . \\ . & . \end{bmatrix} \quad \Longleftrightarrow \quad A = (\langle 0 |^a \otimes I ) U (|0\rangle^b \otimes I ).$$

- an efficiently implementable unitary U,
- ► a sparse matrix with efficiently computable elements,

A way to represent large matrices on a quantum computer efficiently

$$U = \begin{bmatrix} A & . \\ . & . \end{bmatrix} \quad \Longleftrightarrow \quad A = (\langle 0 |^a \otimes I ) U (|0\rangle^b \otimes I ).$$

- an efficiently implementable unitary U,
- a sparse matrix with efficiently computable elements,
- a matrix stored in a clever data-structure in a QRAM,

A way to represent large matrices on a quantum computer efficiently

$$U = \begin{bmatrix} A & . \\ . & . \end{bmatrix} \quad \Longleftrightarrow \quad A = (\langle 0 |^a \otimes I ) U (|0\rangle^b \otimes I ).$$

- an efficiently implementable unitary U,
- a sparse matrix with efficiently computable elements,
- a matrix stored in a clever data-structure in a QRAM,
- a density operator  $\rho$  given a unitary preparing its purification.

A way to represent large matrices on a quantum computer efficiently

$$U = \begin{bmatrix} A & . \\ . & . \end{bmatrix} \quad \Longleftrightarrow \quad A = (\langle 0 |^a \otimes I ) U (|0\rangle^b \otimes I ).$$

- an efficiently implementable unitary U,
- a sparse matrix with efficiently computable elements,
- a matrix stored in a clever data-structure in a QRAM,
- a density operator  $\rho$  given a unitary preparing its purification.
- a POVM operator *M* given we can sample from the rand.var.:  $Tr(\rho M)$ ,

A way to represent large matrices on a quantum computer efficiently

$$U = \begin{bmatrix} A & . \\ . & . \end{bmatrix} \quad \Longleftrightarrow \quad A = (\langle 0 |^a \otimes I ) U (|0\rangle^b \otimes I ).$$

#### One can efficiently construct block-encodings of

- an efficiently implementable unitary U,
- a sparse matrix with efficiently computable elements,
- a matrix stored in a clever data-structure in a QRAM,
- a density operator  $\rho$  given a unitary preparing its purification.
- ▶ a POVM operator *M* given we can sample from the rand.var.:  $Tr(\rho M)$ ,

#### Implementing arithmetic operations on block-encoded matrices

- Given block-encodings  $A_i$  we can implement convex combinations.
- Given block-encodings A, B we can implement block-encoding of AB.

#### Main theorem about QSVT (G, Su, Low, Wiebe 2018)

Let  $P: [-1, 1] \rightarrow [-1, 1]$  be a degree-*d* odd polynomial map.

#### Main theorem about QSVT (G, Su, Low, Wiebe 2018)

Let  $P: [-1, 1] \rightarrow [-1, 1]$  be a degree-*d* odd polynomial map. Suppose that

$$U = \begin{bmatrix} A & . \\ . & . \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} \sum_{i \in i} |w_i \rangle \langle v_i| & . \\ . & . \end{bmatrix}$$

### Main theorem about QSVT (G, Su, Low, Wiebe 2018)

Let  $P: [-1, 1] \rightarrow [-1, 1]$  be a degree-*d* odd polynomial map. Suppose that

$$U = \begin{bmatrix} A & . \\ . & . \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} \sum_{i \leq i} |w_i \rangle \langle v_i| & . \\ . & . \end{bmatrix} \Longrightarrow U_{\Phi} = \begin{bmatrix} \sum_{i \geq i} P(\varsigma_i) |w_i \rangle \langle v_i| & . \\ . & . \end{bmatrix},$$

#### Main theorem about QSVT (G, Su, Low, Wiebe 2018)

Let  $P: [-1, 1] \rightarrow [-1, 1]$  be a degree-*d* odd polynomial map. Suppose that

$$U = \begin{bmatrix} A & . \\ . & . \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} \sum_{i \in j} |w_i \rangle \langle v_i| & . \\ . & . \end{bmatrix} \Longrightarrow U_{\Phi} = \begin{bmatrix} \sum_{i \in P(S_i)} |w_i \rangle \langle v_i| & . \\ . & . \end{bmatrix},$$

where  $\Phi(P) \in \mathbb{R}^d$  is efficiently computable and  $U_{\Phi}$  is the following circuit:

#### Main theorem about QSVT (G, Su, Low, Wiebe 2018)

Let  $P: [-1, 1] \rightarrow [-1, 1]$  be a degree-*d* odd polynomial map. Suppose that

$$U = \begin{bmatrix} A & . \\ . & . \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} \sum_{i \in \mathcal{G}_i} |w_i| \langle v_i| & . \\ . & . \end{bmatrix} \Longrightarrow U_{\Phi} = \begin{bmatrix} \sum_{i \in \mathcal{P}(\mathcal{G}_i)} |w_i| \langle v_i| & . \\ . & . \end{bmatrix},$$

where  $\Phi(P) \in \mathbb{R}^d$  is efficiently computable and  $U_{\Phi}$  is the following circuit:

### Alternating phase modulation sequence $U_{\Phi} :=$



#### Main theorem about QSVT (G, Su, Low, Wiebe 2018)

Let  $P: [-1, 1] \rightarrow [-1, 1]$  be a degree-*d* odd polynomial map. Suppose that

$$U = \begin{bmatrix} A & . \\ . & . \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} \sum_{i \in \mathcal{G}_i} |w_i| \langle v_i| & . \\ . & . \end{bmatrix} \Longrightarrow U_{\Phi} = \begin{bmatrix} \sum_{i \in \mathcal{P}(\mathcal{G}_i)} |w_i| \langle v_i| & . \\ . & . \end{bmatrix},$$

where  $\Phi(P) \in \mathbb{R}^d$  is efficiently computable and  $U_{\Phi}$  is the following circuit:

### Alternating phase modulation sequence $U_{\Phi} :=$



### Simmilar result holds for even polynomials.

 Amplitude amplification and estimation

 Fixed-point amplitude ampl. (Yoder, Low, Chuang 2014)

 Amplitude amplification problem: Given U such that

 $U|ar{0}
angle = \sqrt{p}|0
angle|\psi_{ ext{good}}
angle + \sqrt{1-p}|1
angle|\psi_{ ext{bad}}
angle, ext{ prepare }|\psi_{ ext{good}}
angle.$ 

Amplitude amplification and estimation Fixed-point amplitude ampl. (Yoder, Low, Chuang 2014) Amplitude amplification problem: Given *U* such that  $U|\bar{0}\rangle = \sqrt{p}|0\rangle|\psi_{qood}\rangle + \sqrt{1-p}|1\rangle|\psi_{bad}\rangle$ , prepare  $|\psi_{qood}\rangle$ .



**Amplitude amplification and estimation Fixed-point amplitude ampl. (Yoder, Low, Chuang 2014)** Amplitude amplification problem: Given *U* such that  $U|\overline{0}\rangle = \sqrt{p}|0\rangle|\psi_{qood}\rangle + \sqrt{1-p}|1\rangle|\psi_{bad}\rangle, \quad \text{prepare }|\psi_{qood}\rangle.$ 



Note that  $(|0\rangle\langle 0| \otimes I)U(|\overline{0}\rangle\langle \overline{0}|) = \sqrt{p}|0, \psi_{good}\rangle\langle \overline{0}|$ ; we can apply QSVT.

**Amplitude amplification and estimation Fixed-point amplitude ampl. (Yoder, Low, Chuang 2014)** Amplitude amplification problem: Given *U* such that  $U|\overline{0}\rangle = \sqrt{p}|0\rangle|\psi_{accd}\rangle + \sqrt{1-p}|1\rangle|\psi_{bad}\rangle, \quad \text{prepare }|\psi_{accd}\rangle.$ 

# Amplification using QSVT (degree $\approx \log(1/\varepsilon)/\sqrt{p}$ ) Ideal Apx. polynomial $\sqrt{p}$ 0.2 0.4 0.6 0.8

## Detecting a bias in a quantum sampler

### Suppose we are given U such that

 $|U|\bar{0}
angle = \sqrt{p'}|0
angle|\psi_{good}
angle + \sqrt{1-p'}|1
angle|\psi_{bad}
angle$ , distinguish  $p' \leq p - \delta$  vs.  $p + \delta \leq p'$ .

## Detecting a bias in a quantum sampler

#### Suppose we are given U such that

 $|U|\bar{0}
angle = \sqrt{p'}|0
angle|\psi_{good}
angle + \sqrt{1-p'}|1
angle|\psi_{bad}
angle$ , distinguish  $p' \leq p - \delta$  vs.  $p + \delta \leq p'$ .

Can be solved by QSVT (or using amplitude estimation).

### Detecting a bias in a quantum sampler

#### Suppose we are given U such that

 $|U|\bar{0}
angle = \sqrt{p'}|0
angle |\psi_{good}
angle + \sqrt{1-p'}|1
angle |\psi_{bad}
angle$ , distinguish  $p' \leq p - \delta$  vs.  $p + \delta \leq p'$ .

Can be solved by QSVT (or using amplitude estimation).

Bias detection using QSVT (degree  $\approx \log(1/\varepsilon)/\delta$ )



### Sampling algorithm

Suppose we have a sampling algorithm sampling from a random variable X.

### Sampling algorithm

Suppose we have a sampling algorithm sampling from a random variable X.

### **Classical complexity of estimation**

We can estimate  $\mathbb{E}[X]$  with  $\varepsilon$  precision using  $\approx \frac{\sigma^2}{\varepsilon^2}$  samples.

### Sampling algorithm

Suppose we have a sampling algorithm sampling from a random variable X.

### **Classical complexity of estimation**

We can estimate  $\mathbb{E}[X]$  with  $\varepsilon$  precision using  $\approx \frac{\sigma^2}{\varepsilon^2}$  samples.

#### Quantum complexity of estimation

We can estimate  $\mathbb{E}[X]$  with  $\varepsilon$  precision using  $\approx \frac{\sigma}{\varepsilon}$  samples.

### Sampling algorithm

Suppose we have a sampling algorithm sampling from a random variable X.

### **Classical complexity of estimation**

We can estimate  $\mathbb{E}[X]$  with  $\varepsilon$  precision using  $\approx \frac{\sigma^2}{\varepsilon^2}$  samples.

#### **Quantum complexity of estimation**

We can estimate  $\mathbb{E}[X]$  with  $\varepsilon$  precision using  $\approx \frac{\sigma}{\varepsilon}$  samples.

- Implement sampler as a quantum circuit
- Replace random input seed with Hadamard gates
- Apply amplitude estimation + combine with other tricks

# **Quantum walks**

#### Discrete-time random walk on a weighted graph

Let G = (V, E) be a finite simple graph, with non-negative edge-weights  $w : E \to \mathbb{R}_+$ . Transition probability in one step (stochastic matrix)

$$P_{vu} = \mathsf{Pr}(\mathsf{step to } v | \mathsf{being at } u) = rac{w_{vu}}{\sum_{v' \in U} w_{v'}}$$

#### Discrete-time random walk on a weighted graph

Let G = (V, E) be a finite simple graph, with non-negative edge-weights  $w : E \to \mathbb{R}_+$ . Transition probability in one step (stochastic matrix)

$${\sf P}_{{\sf v}u}={\sf Pr}({\sf step} \ {\sf to} \ {\sf v}$$
 | being at  $u)=rac{{\sf W}_{{\sf v}u}}{\sum_{{\sf v}'\in U}{\sf W}_{{\sf v}'u}}$ 

#### **Basic primitives – classical**

(Setup) *S*: sample *v* with probability  $\sigma_v$ (Update) *U*: given *u* sample *v* with probability  $P_{vu}$ (Check) *C*: given *v* check if it is marked, i.e.,  $v \in M$ ?

#### Discrete-time random walk on a weighted graph

Let G = (V, E) be a finite simple graph, with non-negative edge-weights  $w : E \to \mathbb{R}_+$ . Transition probability in one step (stochastic matrix)

$${\sf P}_{{\sf v}u}={\sf Pr}({\sf step} \ {\sf to} \ {\sf v}\,|\, {\sf being} \ {\sf at} \ u)=rac{{\sf W}_{{\sf v}u}}{\sum_{{\sf v}'\in U}{\sf W}_{{\sf v}'u}}$$

#### **Basic primitives – quantum**

(Setup) 
$$S : |0\rangle \mapsto \sum_{v \in V} \sqrt{\sigma_v} |v\rangle$$
  
(Update)  $U : |0\rangle |u\rangle \mapsto \sum_{v \in V} \sqrt{P_{vu}} |v\rangle |u\rangle$   
(Check)  $C : |0\rangle |v\rangle \mapsto |v \in M\rangle |v\rangle$ 

#### Discrete-time random walk on a weighted graph

Let G = (V, E) be a finite simple graph, with non-negative edge-weights  $w : E \to \mathbb{R}_+$ . Transition probability in one step (stochastic matrix)

$${\sf P}_{{\sf v}{\sf u}}={\sf Pr}({\sf step} \ {\sf to} \ {\sf v}\,|\, {\sf being} \ {\sf at} \ {\sf u})=rac{{\sf W}_{{\sf v}{\sf u}}}{\sum_{{\sf v}'\in {\sf U}}{\sf W}_{{\sf v}'{\sf u}}}$$

#### **Basic primitives – quantum**

(Setup) 
$$S: |0\rangle \mapsto \sum_{v \in V} \sqrt{\sigma_v} |v\rangle$$
  
(Update)  $U: |0\rangle |u\rangle \mapsto \sum_{v \in V} \sqrt{P_{vu}} |v\rangle |u\rangle$   
(Check)  $C: |0\rangle |v\rangle \mapsto |v \in M\rangle |v\rangle$   
Walk operator)  $W: U^{\dagger} \cdot \text{SWAP} \cdot U = \begin{bmatrix} P & . \\ . & . \end{bmatrix}$ 

## High-level explanation of quadratic speed-ups

#### Quantum fast-forwarding (Apers & Sarlette 2018)

We can implement a unitary V such that

 $(\langle 0|\otimes I)V(|0\rangle\otimes I)\stackrel{\varepsilon}{\approx}P^{t}$ 

with using only  $O\!\left(\sqrt{t \log(1/\varepsilon)}\right)$  quantum walk steps.

## High-level explanation of quadratic speed-ups

#### Quantum fast-forwarding (Apers & Sarlette 2018)

We can implement a unitary V such that

 $(\langle 0|\otimes I)V(|0\rangle\otimes I)\stackrel{\varepsilon}{\approx}P^{t}$ 

with using only  $O\!\left(\sqrt{t \log(1/\varepsilon)}\right)$  quantum walk steps.

Proof:

$$x^t \approx \sum_{k=\sqrt{t}}^{\sqrt{t}} \binom{2t}{t+k} T_k(x)$$

### Szegedy quantum walk based search

Suppose we have some unknown marked vertices  $M \subset V$ .

#### **Quadratically faster hitting**

Hitting time: expected time to hit a marked vertex starting from the stationary distr. Starting from the quantum state  $\sum_{v \in V} \sqrt{\pi_v} |v\rangle$  we can

- detect the presence of marked vertices  $(M \neq 0)$  in time  $O(\sqrt{HT})$  (Szegedy 2004)
- ▶ find a marked vertex in time  $O\left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{\delta \varepsilon}}\right)$  (Magniez, Nayak, Roland, Sántha 2006)
- Find a marked vertex in time  $\widetilde{O}(\sqrt{HT})$  (Ambainis, **G**, Jeffery, Kokainis 2019)

### Szegedy quantum walk based search

Suppose we have some unknown marked vertices  $M \subset V$ .

#### **Quadratically faster hitting**

Hitting time: expected time to hit a marked vertex starting from the stationary distr. Starting from the quantum state  $\sum_{v \in V} \sqrt{\pi_v} |v\rangle$  we can

- detect the presence of marked vertices  $(M \neq 0)$  in time  $O(\sqrt{HT})$  (Szegedy 2004)
- ▶ find a marked vertex in time  $O\left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{\delta c}}\right)$  (Magniez, Nayak, Roland, Sántha 2006)
- Find a marked vertex in time  $\widetilde{O}(\sqrt{HT})$  (Ambainis, **G**, Jeffery, Kokainis 2019)

#### Starting from arbitrary distributions

Starting from distribution  $\sigma$  on some vertices we can

- detect marked vertices in square-root commute time  $O(\sqrt{C_{\sigma,M}})$  (Belovs 2013)
- Find a marked vertex in time  $\widetilde{O}(\sqrt{C_{\sigma,M}})$  (Piddock; Apers, **G**, Jeffery 2019)
#### **Element Distinctness**

- Black box: Computes f on inputs corresponding to elements of [n]
- Question: Are there any  $i \neq j \in [n] \times [n]$  such that f(i) = f(j)?
- Query complexity:  $O(n^{2/3})$  (Ambainis 2003)  $\Omega(n^{2/3})$  (Aaronson & Shi 2001)

#### **Element Distinctness**

- Black box: Computes f on inputs corresponding to elements of [n]
- Question: Are there any  $i \neq j \in [n] \times [n]$  such that f(i) = f(j)?
- Query complexity:  $O(n^{2/3})$  (Ambainis 2003)  $\Omega(n^{2/3})$  (Aaronson & Shi 2001)

#### **Triangle Finding**

#### [(2014) non-walk algorithm by Le Gall: $\widetilde{O}(n^{5/4})$ ]

- ▶ Black box: For any pair  $u, v \in V \times V$  tells whether there is an edge uv
- Question: Is there any triangle in G?
- Query complexity: O(n<sup>13/10</sup>) (Magniez, Sántha, Szegedy 2003)

#### **Element Distinctness**

- Black box: Computes f on inputs corresponding to elements of [n]
- Question: Are there any  $i \neq j \in [n] \times [n]$  such that f(i) = f(j)?
- Query complexity:  $O(n^{2/3})$  (Ambainis 2003)  $\Omega(n^{2/3})$  (Aaronson & Shi 2001)

#### **Triangle Finding**

#### [(2014) non-walk algorithm by Le Gall: $\widetilde{O}(n^{5/4})$ ]

- ▶ Black box: For any pair  $u, v \in V \times V$  tells whether there is an edge uv
- Question: Is there any triangle in G?
- Query complexity: O(n<sup>13/10</sup>) (Magniez, Sántha, Szegedy 2003)

#### **Matrix Product Verification**

- Black box: Tells any entry of the  $n \times n$  matrices A, B or C.
- Question: Does AB = C hold?
- Query complexity:  $O(n^{5/3})$  (Buhrman, Špalek 2004)

Are there k distinct elements mapped to the same image?

Are there k distinct elements mapped to the same image?

**Quantum algorithms (using QRAM)** 

Ambainis (2003) – Johnson graph based quantum walk

 $O(n^{k/(k+1)})$ 

Are there k distinct elements mapped to the same image?

#### Quantum algorithms (using QRAM)

Ambainis (2003) – Johnson graph based quantum walk

 $O(n^{k/(k+1)})$ 

Belovs et al. (2013) – 3-distinctness – Electric network based analysis

 $O(n^{5/7})$ 

Are there k distinct elements mapped to the same image?

#### Quantum algorithms (using QRAM)

Ambainis (2003) – Johnson graph based quantum walk

 $O(n^{k/(k+1)})$ 

- ▶ Belovs et al. (2013) 3-distinctness Electric network based analysis  $O(n^{5/7})$
- ► Belovs (2012) Learning graph based algorithm (time-complexity???)  $O(n^{1-2^{k-2}/(2^{k}-1)})$

Are there k distinct elements mapped to the same image?

#### Quantum algorithms (using QRAM)

Ambainis (2003) – Johnson graph based quantum walk

 $O(n^{k/(k+1)})$ 

Belovs et al. (2013) – 3-distinctness – Electric network based analysis

 $O(n^{5/7})$ 

► Belovs (2012) – Learning graph based algorithm (time-complexity???)  $O(n^{1-2^{k-2}/(2^{k}-1)})$ 

#### Quantum time-space trade-offs?

Hamoudi & Magniez (arXiv: yesterday) Progress towards conjectured

 $T^2 S \geq \widetilde{\Omega}(n^2)$ 

# Quantum linear equation solving (HHL)

Ax = b: solve the regression problem in a quantum sense – output  $|x\rangle \propto A^+|b\rangle$ 

Ax = b: solve the regression problem in a quantum sense – output  $|x\rangle \propto A^+|b\rangle$ 

#### Singular value decomposition and pseudoinverse

Suppose  $A = \sum_{i \leq i} |w_i \rangle \langle v_i| \in \mathbb{C}^{n \times m}$  is a singular value decomposition. Then the pseudoinverse of A is  $A^+ = \sum_i 1/s_i |v_i \rangle \langle w_i|$ 

Ax = b: solve the regression problem in a quantum sense – output  $|x\rangle \propto A^+|b\rangle$ Singular value decomposition and pseudoinverse

Suppose  $A = \sum_{i \leq i} |w_i \rangle \langle v_i| \in \mathbb{C}^{n \times m}$  is a singular value decomposition. Then the pseudoinverse of A is  $A^+ = \sum_i \frac{1}{|S_i|} |v_i \rangle \langle w_i|$  (note  $A^{\dagger} = \sum_i \frac{1}{|S_i|} |v_i \rangle \langle w_i|$ ).



Ax = b: solve the regression problem in a quantum sense – output  $|x\rangle \propto A^+|b\rangle$ Singular value decomposition and pseudoinverse

Suppose  $A = \sum_{i \leq i} |w_i \rangle \langle v_i| \in \mathbb{C}^{n \times m}$  is a singular value decomposition. Then the pseudoinverse of A is  $A^+ = \sum_i \frac{1}{S_i} |v_i \rangle \langle w_i|$  (note  $A^{\dagger} = \sum_i \frac{1}{S_i} |v_i \rangle \langle w_i|$ ).



Ax = b: solve the regression problem in a quantum sense – output  $|x\rangle \propto A^+|b\rangle$ Singular value decomposition and pseudoinverse

Suppose  $A = \sum_{i \leq i} |w_i \rangle \langle v_i| \in \mathbb{C}^{n \times m}$  is a singular value decomposition. Then the pseudoinverse of A is  $A^+ = \sum_i \frac{1}{|S_i|} |v_i \rangle \langle w_i|$  (note  $A^{\dagger} = \sum_i \frac{1}{|S_i|} |v_i \rangle \langle w_i|$ ).



Ax = b: solve the regression problem in a quantum sense – output  $|x\rangle \propto A^+|b\rangle$ 

#### Singular value decomposition and pseudoinverse

Suppose  $A = \sum_{i \leq i} |w_i \rangle \langle v_i| \in \mathbb{C}^{n \times m}$  is a singular value decomposition. Then the pseudoinverse of A is  $A^+ = \sum_i \frac{1}{S_i} |v_i \rangle \langle w_i|$  (note  $A^{\dagger} = \sum_i \frac{1}{S_i} |v_i \rangle \langle w_i|$ ).

Implementation cost (s = sparsity,  $\kappa$  =condition number,  $\varepsilon$  = precision)

 $O(T \cdot s \cdot \kappa \cdot \operatorname{polylog}(nm\kappa/\varepsilon)),$ 

assuming in time T we can

• prepare  $|b\rangle$ ,

find and compute non-zero elements in a row / column of A.
Potentially exponential speed-ups?

 $f_i$  multi-linear polynomials in  $\mathbb{Z}_2[x_1, \ldots, x_n]$ , solve the system  $f_i(x_1, \ldots, x_n) = 0$ :  $i \in [c]$ 

 $f_i$  multi-linear polynomials in  $\mathbb{Z}_2[x_1, ..., x_n]$ , solve the system  $f_i(x_1, ..., x_n) = 0$ :  $i \in [c]$ Convert to a complex linear equation system (rough sketch)

▶ Set  $D > \max_i \deg(f_i)$ , and denote by  $m_D$  be the set of degree  $\leq D$  monomials

 $f_i$  multi-linear polynomials in  $\mathbb{Z}_2[x_1, ..., x_n]$ , solve the system  $f_i(x_1, ..., x_n) = 0$ :  $i \in [c]$ Convert to a complex linear equation system (rough sketch)

- ▶ Set  $D > \max_i \deg(f_i)$ , and denote by  $m_D$  be the set of degree  $\leq D$  monomials
- ▶ Add trivial constraints  $x_i^2 x_i = 0$  & handle mod 2 freedom by  $f \leftarrow f + \sum_j 2^j y_j^{(f)}$

 $f_i$  multi-linear polynomials in  $\mathbb{Z}_2[x_1, ..., x_n]$ , solve the system  $f_i(x_1, ..., x_n) = 0$ :  $i \in [c]$ Convert to a complex linear equation system (rough sketch)

- ▶ Set  $D > \max_i \deg(f_i)$ , and denote by  $m_D$  be the set of degree  $\leq D$  monomials
- ▶ Add trivial constraints  $x_i^2 x_i = 0$  & handle mod 2 freedom by  $f \leftarrow f + \sum_j 2^j y_i^{(f)}$
- For every constraint *f* include all degree  $\leq D$  polynomial from  $f \cdot m_D$

 $f_i$  multi-linear polynomials in  $\mathbb{Z}_2[x_1, ..., x_n]$ , solve the system  $f_i(x_1, ..., x_n) = 0$ :  $i \in [c]$ Convert to a complex linear equation system (rough sketch)

- ▶ Set  $D > \max_i \deg(f_i)$ , and denote by  $m_D$  be the set of degree  $\leq D$  monomials
- ▶ Add trivial constraints  $x_i^2 x_i = 0$  & handle mod 2 freedom by  $f \leftarrow f + \sum_j 2^j y_i^{(f)}$
- For every constraint f include all degree  $\leq D$  polynomial from  $f \cdot m_D$
- ▶ Treat each monomial in  $m_D$  as a variable and solve the linear system over  $\mathbb{C}$

 $f_i$  multi-linear polynomials in  $\mathbb{Z}_2[x_1, ..., x_n]$ , solve the system  $f_i(x_1, ..., x_n) = 0$ :  $i \in [c]$ Convert to a complex linear equation system (rough sketch)

- ▶ Set  $D > \max_i \deg(f_i)$ , and denote by  $m_D$  be the set of degree  $\leq D$  monomials
- ▶ Add trivial constraints  $x_i^2 x_i = 0$  & handle mod 2 freedom by  $f \leftarrow f + \sum_j 2^j y_i^{(f)}$
- For every constraint f include all degree  $\leq D$  polynomial from  $f \cdot m_D$
- ▶ Treat each monomial in  $m_D$  as a variable and solve the linear system over  $\mathbb{C}$

#### Suppose there is a unique Boolean solution – good news

For large enough *D* there is a unique solution over  $\mathbb{C}$ 

 $f_i$  multi-linear polynomials in  $\mathbb{Z}_2[x_1, ..., x_n]$ , solve the system  $f_i(x_1, ..., x_n) = 0$ :  $i \in [c]$ Convert to a complex linear equation system (rough sketch)

- ▶ Set  $D > \max_i \deg(f_i)$ , and denote by  $m_D$  be the set of degree  $\leq D$  monomials
- ▶ Add trivial constraints  $x_i^2 x_i = 0$  & handle mod 2 freedom by  $f \leftarrow f + \sum_j 2^j y_i^{(f)}$
- For every constraint f include all degree  $\leq D$  polynomial from  $f \cdot m_D$
- ▶ Treat each monomial in  $m_D$  as a variable and solve the linear system over  $\mathbb{C}$

#### Suppose there is a unique Boolean solution – good news

- ▶ For large enough *D* there is a unique solution over ℂ
- b contains at most c non-zero (1) elements (coming from constants in original f<sub>i</sub>-s)

 $f_i$  multi-linear polynomials in  $\mathbb{Z}_2[x_1, ..., x_n]$ , solve the system  $f_i(x_1, ..., x_n) = 0$ :  $i \in [c]$ Convert to a complex linear equation system (rough sketch)

- ▶ Set  $D > \max_i \deg(f_i)$ , and denote by  $m_D$  be the set of degree  $\leq D$  monomials
- ▶ Add trivial constraints  $x_i^2 x_i = 0$  & handle mod 2 freedom by  $f \leftarrow f + \sum_j 2^j y_i^{(f)}$
- For every constraint f include all degree  $\leq D$  polynomial from  $f \cdot m_D$
- ▶ Treat each monomial in  $m_D$  as a variable and solve the linear system over  $\mathbb{C}$

#### Suppose there is a unique Boolean solution - good news

- For large enough *D* there is a unique solution over  $\mathbb{C}$
- **b** contains at most *c* non-zero (1) elements (coming from constants in original  $f_i$ -s)
- $x = A^+ b$  is the indicator of monomials evaluating to 1 for the Boolean solution

 $f_i$  multi-linear polynomials in  $\mathbb{Z}_2[x_1, ..., x_n]$ , solve the system  $f_i(x_1, ..., x_n) = 0$ :  $i \in [c]$ Convert to a complex linear equation system (rough sketch)

- ▶ Set  $D > \max_i \deg(f_i)$ , and denote by  $m_D$  be the set of degree  $\leq D$  monomials
- ▶ Add trivial constraints  $x_i^2 x_i = 0$  & handle mod 2 freedom by  $f \leftarrow f + \sum_j 2^j y_i^{(f)}$
- For every constraint *f* include all degree  $\leq D$  polynomial from  $f \cdot m_D$
- ▶ Treat each monomial in  $m_D$  as a variable and solve the linear system over  $\mathbb{C}$

#### Suppose there is a unique Boolean solution – good news

- ▶ For large enough *D* there is a unique solution over ℂ
- **b** contains at most *c* non-zero (1) elements (coming from constants in original  $f_i$ -s)
- $x = A^+ b$  is the indicator of monomials evaluating to 1 for the Boolean solution

Bad news:  $\kappa^2 \ge ||x||^2 / ||b||^2 \ge \frac{\#\{\text{non-zero variables}\}}{c} = \frac{\binom{k+D-1}{k-1}}{c} \approx \left(\frac{eD}{k}\right)^k$ Classical brute-force:  $\sum_{j=0}^k \binom{n}{k} \approx \left(\frac{en}{k}\right)^k \implies \text{Does not seem to be useful if } D \ge n$ 

# Summary of some relevant quantum speed-ups

## Optimization

In general we want to find the best solution  $\min_{x \in X} f(x)$ 

• Unstructured: can be solved with  $O(\sqrt{|X|})$  queries (Dürr & Høyer 1996)

# **Optimization**

In general we want to find the best solution  $\min_{x \in X} f(x)$ 

• Unstructured: can be solved with  $O(\sqrt{|X|})$  queries (Dürr & Høyer 1996)

#### **Discrete structures:**

- Finding the shortest path in a graph  $O(n^2)$  (Dijkstra 1956); quantum  $\widetilde{O}(n^{3/2})$  (Dürr, Heiligman, Høyer, Mhalla 2004)
- Matching and flow problems: Polynomial speed-ups, typically based on Grover search

## Optimization

In general we want to find the best solution  $\min_{x \in X} f(x)$ 

• Unstructured: can be solved with  $O(\sqrt{|X|})$  queries (Dürr & Høyer 1996)

#### **Discrete structures:**

- Finding the shortest path in a graph  $O(n^2)$  (Dijkstra 1956); quantum  $\widetilde{O}(n^{3/2})$  (Dürr, Heiligman, Høyer, Mhalla 2004)
- Matching and flow problems: Polynomial speed-ups, typically based on Grover search
- ► NP-hard problems:

Quadratic speed-ups for Schöning's algorithm for 3-SAT (Ampl. ampl.) Quadratic speed-ups for backtracking (Montanaro '15, Ambainis & Kokainis '17) Polynomial speed-ups for dynamical programming, e.g., TSP  $2^n \rightarrow 1.73^n$ (Ambainis, Balodis, Iraids, Kokainis, Prūsis, Vihrovs 2018)

#### **Convex optimization**

Regression / Linear equation solving (HHL, with quantum output):
 O(κspolylog(nmκ/ε)) (Harrow et al.; Ambainis; Childs et al.; Chakraborty et al.)

#### **Convex optimization**

- Regression / Linear equation solving (HHL, with quantum output):
   O(κspolylog(nmκ/ε)) (Harrow et al.; Ambainis; Childs et al.; Chakraborty et al.)
- Linear programs, semidefinite programs SDPs: Õ((√n + √m)sγ<sup>5</sup>) (Brandão et al., van Apeldoorn et al. 2016-18) LPs: Õ((√n + √m)γ<sup>3</sup>), Õ(sγ<sup>3.5</sup>) (van Apeldoorn & G 2019) Zero-sum games: Õ((√n + √m)/ε<sup>3</sup>), Õ(s/ε<sup>3.5</sup>) (van Apeldoorn & G 2019)
   Quantum interior point method (Kerenidis & Prakash 2018)

#### **Convex optimization**

- Regression / Linear equation solving (HHL, with quantum output):
   O(κspolylog(nmκ/ε)) (Harrow et al.; Ambainis; Childs et al.; Chakraborty et al.)
- ► Linear programs, semidefinite programs SDPs:  $\widetilde{O}((\sqrt{n} + \sqrt{m})s\gamma^5)$  (Brandão et al., van Apeldoorn et al. 2016-18) LPs:  $\widetilde{O}((\sqrt{n} + \sqrt{m})\gamma^3), \widetilde{O}(s\gamma^{3.5})$  (van Apeldoorn & G 2019) Zero-sum games:  $\widetilde{O}((\sqrt{n} + \sqrt{m})/\varepsilon^3), \widetilde{O}(s/\varepsilon^{3.5})$  (van Apeldoorn & G 2019)
- Quantum interior point method (Kerenidis & Prakash 2018)
- Exponential speed-up for implementing separation oracles of convex bodies (van Apeldoorn et al. 2018; Chakrabarti et al. 2018)

#### **Convex optimization**

- Regression / Linear equation solving (HHL, with quantum output):
   O(κspolylog(nmκ/ε)) (Harrow et al.; Ambainis; Childs et al.; Chakraborty et al.)
- ► Linear programs, semidefinite programs SDPs:  $\widetilde{O}((\sqrt{n} + \sqrt{m})s\gamma^5)$  (Brandão et al., van Apeldoorn et al. 2016-18) LPs:  $\widetilde{O}((\sqrt{n} + \sqrt{m})\gamma^3), \widetilde{O}(s\gamma^{3.5})$  (van Apeldoorn & G 2019) Zero-sum games:  $\widetilde{O}((\sqrt{n} + \sqrt{m})/\varepsilon^3), \widetilde{O}(s/\varepsilon^{3.5})$  (van Apeldoorn & G 2019)
- Quantum interior point method (Kerenidis & Prakash 2018)
- Exponential speed-up for implementing separation oracles of convex bodies (van Apeldoorn et al. 2018; Chakrabarti et al. 2018)
- Polynomial speed-up for estimating volumes of convex bodies (Chakrabarti, Childs, Hung, Li, Wang, Wu 2019)

## Statistics and stochastic estimation algorithms

Quadratic speed-up for Monte-Carlo methods  $O\left(\frac{\sigma}{\varepsilon}\right)$  (Montanaro 2015) Generalizes amplitude estimation (Brassard, Høyer, Mosca, Tapp 1998)

## Statistics and stochastic estimation algorithms

- Quadratic speed-up for Monte-Carlo methods O(<sup>σ</sup>/<sub>ε</sub>) (Montanaro 2015)
   Generalizes amplitude estimation (Brassard, Høyer, Mosca, Tapp 1998)
- Testing equality of a distribution on [n] (with query complexity)
   To an unknown distribution O(n<sup>1/2</sup>) (Bravyi, Hassidim, Harrow 2009; G, Li 2019)
   To a known distribution O(n<sup>1/3</sup>) (Chakraborty, Fischer, Matsliah, de Wolf 2010)
- Estimating the (Shannon / von Neumann) entropy of a distribution on [n] Classical distribution: query complexity O(n<sup>1/2</sup>) (Li & Wu 2017) Density operator: query complexity O(n) (G & Li 2019)

## Statistics and stochastic estimation algorithms

- Quadratic speed-up for Monte-Carlo methods O(<sup>σ</sup>/<sub>ε</sub>) (Montanaro 2015)
   Generalizes amplitude estimation (Brassard, Høyer, Mosca, Tapp 1998)
- Testing equality of a distribution on [n] (with query complexity)
   To an unknown distribution O(n<sup>1/2</sup>) (Bravyi, Hassidim, Harrow 2009; G, Li 2019)
   To a known distribution O(n<sup>1/3</sup>) (Chakraborty, Fischer, Matsliah, de Wolf 2010)
- Estimating the (Shannon / von Neumann) entropy of a distribution on [n] Classical distribution: query complexity O(n<sup>1/2</sup>) (Li & Wu 2017) Density operator: query complexity O(n) (G & Li 2019)
- Estimating the histogram to ε-precision
   Query and time complexity O(1/ε) (Apeldoorn 2020)