On Problems of Robust High-dimensional Statistics

Gilad Lerman School of Mathematics University of Minnesota

Robustness in Ancient Times of Science

• Example 1: Use of least absolute deviations in linear regression instead of least squares

$$
e\ne\ndeviations in linear\n
$$
y_i = \beta x_i + c + r_i
$$
\n
$$
\min_{\beta, c} \sum |r_i|
$$
\ninstead of
\n
$$
\min_{\beta, c} \sum_{i} r_i^2
$$
$$

Robustness from Ancient Times of Science

• Example 1: Use of least absolute deviations in linear regression instead of least squares

Galileo Galilei Boscovich Laplace Edgeworth

• Example 2: Direct rejection of outliers

Bessel B. Peirce W. Chauvenet

Theory of Robustness

• Huber: "Robustness signifies insensitivity to small deviations from the assumptions"

- Two influential works from 1960
	- J. W. Tukey, "A survey of sampling from contaminated distributions" (effect of deviation from model, initial analysis)
- F. J. Anscombe, "Rejection of outliers" (insurance vs. significance, tradeoff with performance, computational cost ignored) • Bickel (1975): Emphasis on computation

Outline

- Robust subspace recovery (RSR): Review and Insights
- New results on adversarial robustness in RSR
- Related problems and all about that base…

The Robust Subspace Recovery (RSR) Problem

- **Input:** Dataset $X = X_{in} \cup X_{out} \subset \mathbb{R}^D$ X_{in} (inliers) lie near L^* a d-dim. subs. $\subset \mathbb{R}^D$ X_{out} (outliers) from a different distribution The Robust Subspace

Recovery (RSR) Problem
 Input: Dataset $X = X_{in} \cup X_{out} \subset \mathbb{R}^D$
 X_{in} (inliers) lie near L_* a d-dim. subs. $\subset \mathbb{R}^D$
 X_{out} (outliers) from a different distribution
 Desired Output: L_*

- **Desired Output:** *L**

Why should we care about RSR?

- We should care about PCA
- PCA subs. *L* minimizes $E_2(X,L) = \sum \text{dist}^2(x,L)$ $2^{(2)}$, D = \sum disc (λ, D)
- PCA Basic preprocessing tool $x \in X$
- PCA is not robust to outliers
- Goal: develop an alternative to PCA, which is robust to outliers with nice properties bout

France (x, L)
 x Ex dist²(x, L)

PCA, which

roperties

General Approaches to RSR

- Exhaustive subspace search (brute force)
- Rejection of Outliers (filtering)
- Energy minimization
	- Least absolute deviation min. $E_1(X,L) = \sum \text{dist}(x,L)$
	- L_1 -PCA
	- **Projection pursuit**
	- Robust covariance (Maronna, Tyler...)

 $x \in X$

The RSR Formulation can be ill-defined

The RSR Formulation can be ill-defined

Example 1: Only inliers at origin

• **Example 2:** Inliers at low-dim. subs.

• **Principle I:** Inliers must permeate *L**

The RSR Formulation can be ill-defined

• **Example 1:** "Aligned" outliers

• **Example 2:** Other "aligned" outliers

Principle II: Restriction of out. alignment

More Clarification for RSR

- Simplifying assumption: L_* is linear
- Nonconvex setting: Set of all d-dim. subs. in \mathbb{R}^D (Grassmannian *G(D,d*)) is nonconvex

More Clarification for RSR

- Scale might be important
- A scale-invariant method does not weigh the magnitude of a point
- Scaling data points to the sphere makes any method scale invariant

More Clarification for RSR

- Scale might be important
- A scale-invariant method does not weigh the magnitude of a point
- Scaling data points to the sphere makes any method scale invariant

Theoretical Settings for RSR

- 1. Adversarial outliers, permeated inliers, lower bound on SNR (signal to noise ratio)
	- SNR= fraction of inliers/outliers
	- Formulated for scale-invariant algorithms
- 2. Statistical model with low bound on SNR
- 3. Inliers & outliers in general position (GP) (Hardt & Moitra, Zhang, Arias-Castro & Wang)
	- GP: Any set of *D* points are linearly independent unless it includes at least *d*+1 points from *L*[∗]
	- If SNR<*d*/(*D*-*d*), the problem is SSE-hard
	- If SNR>*d*/(*D*-*d*), exact recovery by D/RF, TME
	- Restricted model, methods and noise analysis

Adversarial Outliers: Some Previous Works **Some Previous Works**

OP (Xu et al., 2012):

SNR $\geq 121/9 \cdot d \cdot \mu$, μ -incoherence parameter

convex method, complexity: $O(m\pi)^2$, τ - $O(\epsilon^{-0.5})$

Perturbation to noise (121-1024, large error)

Remark: unless otherwi **Example 18 Outliers:**

Previous Works

2):
 u, *μ*-incoherence parameter
 $O(TND^2)$, *T* = $O(\varepsilon^{-0.5})$
 $O(TND^2)$, *I*arge error)

amjeri et al., 2017):

ange error)

ange error)

ange error)

ange error)

ange error)
 Adversarial Outliers:

Some Previous Works

DP (Xu et al., 2012):

SNR $\geq 121/9 \cdot d \cdot \mu$, μ -incoherence parameter

Convex method, complexity: $O(TND^2)$, $T - O(e^{-0.5})$

Perturbation to noise (121–1024, large error)

TORP

• OP (Xu et al., 2012):

Convex method, complexity: Perturbation to noise $(121 \rightarrow 1024, 1$ arge error) Remark: unless otherwise stated *N*= O(*D*)

• TORP (Cherapanamjeri et al., 2017):

Nonconvex, complexity O(*NDd*) Small perturbation to noise (large error) Nice stability with Gaussian noise $(128 \rightarrow 1024)$ Requires knowledge of fraction of outliers

- Related algorithms for a different problem:
	- RLG (Diakonikolas et al., 2018)
	- RR (Steinhardt et al., 2018)

Adversarial Outliers (Joint Work with T. Maunu)

Adversarial Outliers: Motivating Questions

- What is the lowest SNR with well-defined setting?
- Is there a hardness result for lowest SNR?
- What is the lowest SNR obtained by a reasonable-time algorithm for exact RSR?
- Any other competitive and flexible algorithm for adversarial outliers?

Best SNR for Well-defined Formulation

- Initial setting: $X_{\text{in}} \subset L_{*}, X_{\text{out}} = X \setminus L_{*} \subset \mathbb{R}^{D}$
- Recall: Problem is ill-defined for general X_{in}
- Xu, Caramanis, Sanghavi (2012) explain O(*d*) SNR with an example, but the inliers in this example are not permeated **Solution**
 i $\subset L_*$, $X_{\text{out}} = X \setminus L_* \subset \mathbb{R}^D$
 s ill-defined for general X_{in}
 Sanghavi (2012) explain O(*d*)

mple, but the inliers in this

bermeated **Best SNR for**

fined Formulation
 $X_{\text{in}} \subset L_*$, $X_{\text{out}} = X \setminus L_* \subset \mathbb{R}^D$

i is ill-defined for general X_{in}

, Sanghavi (2012) explain O(*d*)

ample, but the inliers in this

t permeated

Best SNR for Well-defined Formulation

- Initial setting: $X_{in} \subset L_{*}$, $X_{out} = X \setminus L_{*} \subset \mathbb{R}^D$
- Recall: Problem is ill-defined for general X_{in}
- For general position X_{in} (*d* points in L_{*} span L_{*}), well-defined setting if $N_{in} > (N - d + 1)/2$, that is,

$$
\text{SNR} > \frac{N-d+1}{N+d-1} = 1 - o(1)
$$

• If also X_{out} is in GP (max_{$L \in G(D,d) \setminus L_*$} $#(X \cap L) = d$), then the problem is well-defined if $N_{\text{in}} > d$ **Solution**
 Solu Best SNR for

fined Formulation
 $X_{\text{in}} \subset L_*$, $X_{\text{out}} = X \setminus L_* \subset \mathbb{R}^D$

i is ill-defined for general X_{in}

sition X_{in} (*d* points in *L* span *L*_s),

ting if $N_{\text{in}} > (N - d + 1)/2$,
 $> \frac{N - d + 1}{N + d - 1} = 1 - o$ Nest SNR for

fined Formulation
 $X_{\text{in}} \subset L_{*}, X_{\text{out}} = X \setminus L_{*} \subset \mathbb{R}^{D}$

is ill-defined for general X_{in}

ition X_{in} (*d* points in *L* span *L*_n),
 $\sum_{N} \frac{N - d + 1}{N + d - 1} = 1 - o(1)$

GP (max_{*L*∈G(*D*,*d*)} t SNR for

ed Formulation
 $\subseteq L_*, X_{\text{out}} = X \setminus L_* \subset \mathbb{R}^D$

1-defined for general X_{in}
 $\subseteq X_{\text{in}}$ (*d* points in *L*, span *L*_i),

if $N_{\text{in}} > (N - d + 1) / 2$,
 $\frac{-d + 1}{+d - 1} = 1 - o(1)$

(max_{*L*∈*G*(*D*,*d*) *L*,} Best SNR for

-defined Formulation

ing: $X_{\text{in}} \subset L_{\star}$, $X_{\text{out}} = X \setminus L_{\star} \subset \mathbb{R}^D$

blem is ill-defined for general X_{in}

1 position X_{in} (*d* points in *L*, span *L*_s),

d setting if $N_{\text{in}} > (N - d + 1)/2$ st SNR for

ned Formulation
 $n \subset L_*, X_{out} = X \setminus L_* \subset \mathbb{R}^D$

ill-defined for general X_{in}

on X_{in} (*d* points in L_* span L_*),

ng if $N_{in} > (N - d + 1)/2$,
 $\frac{N - d + 1}{N + d - 1} = 1 - o(1)$
 $P \text{ (max}_{L \in G(D,d) \setminus L_*} # (X \cap L) = d)$ st SNR for

ned Formulation
 $n \subset L_*, X_{out} = X \setminus L_* \subset \mathbb{R}^D$

ill-defined for general X_{in}

on X_{in} (*d* points in L_* span L_*),

ng if $N_{in} > (N - d + 1)/2$,
 $\frac{N - d + 1}{N + d - 1} = 1 - o(1)$
 $P \text{ (max}_{L \in G(D,d) \setminus L_*} # (X \cap L) = d)$ t SNR for

ed Formulation
 $\subseteq L_*, X_{\text{out}} = X \setminus L_* \subseteq \mathbb{R}^D$

1-defined for general X_{in}
 $\subseteq X_{\text{in}}$ (*d* points in *L*, span *L*_s),

if $N_{\text{in}} > (N - d + 1) / 2$,
 $\frac{-d + 1}{+d - 1} = 1 - o(1)$

(max_{*L*∈*G*(*D*,*d*) *L*,}

Hardness Result

- Recall Hardt & Moitra (2013): If SNR<*d*/(*D*-*d*), the problem is SSE-hard
- Thus too small SNR in the case of GP inliers & outliers results in SSE-hard formulation
- It is also relevant for GP inliers and adversarial outliers when *D-d*=O(1) and *d*/(*D*-*d*)=*O(d*)=O(*D*)

Best SNR for an Algorithm

Best SNR for RANSAC

• RANSAC-type algorithm for RSR

Input: dataset X, subspace dimension d, tolerance τ , consensus number m, max iterations n **Output:** $L_* \in G(D,d)$ $i \; k = 0, i = 1$ 2 while $i \leq n$ do $\mathcal{Y} \leftarrow$ random subset of X that spans a d-subspace 3 $L = Sp(\mathcal{Y})$ $\overline{\mathbf{A}}$ $c = \#({x \in \mathcal{X} : \angle(x, L) \leq \tau})$ $\overline{5}$ if $c > k$ then 6 $L_* = L$ 7 $k = c$ 8 end \mathbf{Q} if $k > m$ then 10 return L_* 11 end 12 $i \leftarrow i+1$ 13 14 end 15 return L_*

Best SNR for RANSAC

- RANSAC-type algorithm for RSR
- For permeated inliers, SNR>1, $n \gg 1, \tau \ll 1$ and $m \geq N/2$, L_{*} is recovered w.h.p.
- If also SNR \geq c*d*, L_{*} recovered w.h.p. when $n=O(1)$ and the complexity of the algorithm is *O*(*NDd*) *n* \gg 1, *t* \ll 1 and
 r.h.p. when *n*=0(1)

ithm is *O*(*NDd*)

Another Proposal for Adversarial Outliers

• Review of GGD (geodesic gradient descent) and of the well-tempered landscape (WTL) of (Maunu, Zhang, L) \in X and $E_1(X,L) = \sum \text{dist}(x,L)$ Another Proposal for

Adversarial Outliers

eview of GGD (geodesic gradient descent)

nd of the well-tempered landscape (WTL) of
 $(X, L) = \sum_{x \in X} \text{dist}(x, L)$

faunu, Zhang, L)

FREE DEXPOSITION **CONTRET PROPOSAL SOLUTERS**
 CONTRET CONTRET Another
Adversa
Review of GGD (geo
and of the well-ten
 $E_1(X,L) = \sum_{x \in X \atop X} \text{dist}(x,L)$
(Maunu, Zhang, L)

Review of WTL & GGD

• Under a generic "stability" condition, there exists a neighborhood of L_{*} , where L_{*} is the only minimizer of $E_1(X,L) = \sum_{x \in K} dist(x,L)$ and all other points have a direction of strictly decreasing cost $Y_1(X, D) = \sum_{X \in X} \text{disc}(X, D)$ and an other (,) dist(,) *x X* **EXECTS OF WTL & C**

Pric "stability" condition

iborhood of L_{\ast} , where
 $E_1(X,L) = \sum_{x \in X} \text{dist}(x,L)$

direction of strictly of

Review of WTL & GGD

- Under a generic "stability" condition, there exists a neighborhood of L_{*} , where L_{*} is the only minimizer and all other points have a direction of strictly decreasing cost
- GGD initialized in this neighborhood converges to *L** sufficiently fast
- Under a similar condition, PCA initializes in this neighborhood

Spherical GGD with Adversarial Outliers $\begin{aligned} & \text{pherical GGD with} \\ & \text{dversarial Outliers} \\ & \text{herize data + GGD} \\ & \boldsymbol{x}_i \rightarrow \tilde{\boldsymbol{x}}_i \coloneqq \boldsymbol{x}_i \text{ / } \|\boldsymbol{x}_i\|, \text{ } X \rightarrow \tilde{X} \\ & \text{condition number of inliers} \\ & \boldsymbol{\kappa}_d(\boldsymbol{X}_\text{in}) = \frac{\lambda_1 \left(\tilde{\boldsymbol{X}}_\text{in} \tilde{\boldsymbol{X}}_\text{in}^T\right)}{\lambda_d \left(\tilde{\boldsymbol{X}}_\text{in} \tilde{\boldsymbol{X}}_\text{in}^T\right)} \\ & \text{condition for SGGD initialized in }$ **nerical GGD with**

versarial Outliers

rize data + GGD
 $\rightarrow \tilde{x}_i := x_i / ||x_i||$, $X \rightarrow \tilde{X}$

ndition number of inliers
 $(X_{in}) = \frac{\lambda_1 (\tilde{X}_{in} \tilde{X}_{in}^T)}{\lambda_d (\tilde{X}_{in} \tilde{X}_{in}^T)}$

dition for SGGD initialized in $B(L_*, \gamma)$:
 $SNR \ge$ G D with

Outliers

GD
 $[x_i \|, X \rightarrow \tilde{X}$

per of inliers
 $[\tilde{X}_{in}^T]$
 $[\tilde{X}_{in}^T]$

GD initialized in $B(L_*, \gamma)$:
 \underline{F}
 \underline{F} *Al* **GGD** with
 Tial Outliers
 x_i / ||*x_i*||, *X* → *X*
 *number of inliers

<i>λ*₁ ($\tilde{X}_{in} \tilde{X}_{in}^T$)
 λ_d ($\tilde{X}_{in} \tilde{X}_{in}^T$)
 n SGGD initialized in *B*(*L*_{*},γ):
 $\frac{\kappa_d(\tilde{X}_{in})}{\cos(y)}$ **pherical GGD with**
 dversarial Outliers
 κ_i $\rightarrow \tilde{x}_i := x_i / ||x_i||$, $X \rightarrow \tilde{X}$

condition number of inliers
 $\kappa_d(X_{\text{in}}) = \frac{\lambda_1 (\tilde{X}_{\text{in}} \tilde{X}_{\text{in}}^T)}{\lambda_d (\tilde{X}_{\text{in}} \tilde{X}_{\text{in}}^T)}$

condition for SGGD initialized i **herical GGD with**
 versarial Outliers

erize data + GGD
 $\Rightarrow \tilde{x}_i := x_i / ||x_i||$, $X \rightarrow \tilde{X}$

andition number of inliers
 $d^{\{X_{\text{in}}\}} = \frac{\lambda_1 \left(\tilde{X}_{\text{in}} \tilde{X}_{\text{in}}^T\right)}{\lambda_d \left(\tilde{X}_{\text{in}} \tilde{X}_{\text{in}}^T\right)}$

andition for SG al GGD with

rial Outliers
 $\alpha_i + GGD$
 $x_i / ||x_i||$, $X \rightarrow \tilde{X}$

number of inliers
 $\lambda_1 (\tilde{X}_{in} \tilde{X}_{in}^T)$
 $\lambda_d (\tilde{X}_{in} \tilde{X}_{in}^T)$

or SGGD initialized in $B(L$
 $\frac{\kappa_d(\tilde{X}_{in})}{\cos(y)}$ *cal GGD* with
 arial Outliers
 ata + *GGD*
 *z*_{*z*} / $||x_i||$, *X* $\rightarrow \tilde{X}$
 n number of inliers
 $\frac{\lambda_1(\tilde{X}_{in}\tilde{X}_{in}^T)}{\lambda_d(\tilde{X}_{in}\tilde{X}_{in}^T)}$

for SGGD initialized in *B*(*L*_{*},γ):
 $\frac{d\kappa_d(\tilde{X}_{in})}{\cos(y$

- SGGD: spherize data + GGD
- Spherize: $x_i \rightarrow \tilde{x}_i := x_i / ||x_i||$, $X \rightarrow X$
- Spherical condition number of inliers

$$
\kappa_d(X_{\text{in}}) = \frac{\lambda_1 \left(\tilde{X}_{\text{in}} \tilde{X}_{\text{in}}^T\right)}{\lambda_d \left(\tilde{X}_{\text{in}} \tilde{X}_{\text{in}}^T\right)}
$$

• Stability condition for SGGD initialized in *B*(*L** ,γ):

$$
SNR \geq \frac{d \cdot \kappa_d(\tilde{X}_{in})}{\cos(y)}
$$

Spherical GGD with Adversarial Outliers

- Condition for SPCA in $B(L_*,\gamma)$: $\frac{d \cdot \kappa_d(\tilde{X}_{\text{in}})}{\sin(V)}$
 $d \cdot \kappa_d(\tilde{X}_{\text{in}})$
 $d(\tilde{X}_{\text{in}})$
liers:
 $(d))$ $\frac{d \kappa_d(\tilde{X}_{\text{in}})}{\sin(V)}$
 $\frac{d \kappa_d(\tilde{X}_{\text{in}})}{d(\tilde{X}_{\text{in}})}$
 \lim_{N}
 $\frac{d(\tilde{X}_{\text{in}})}{d(\tilde{X}_{\text{in}})}$ *γ*
- Stability condition for SGGD (+SPCA):

- Condition for linear convergence: D with

utliers

SNR $\geq \frac{\sqrt{2} \cdot d \cdot \kappa_d(\tilde{X}_{in})}{\sin(V)}$
 $(+\text{SPCA}):$
 $\text{SNR} \geq \sqrt{3} \cdot d \cdot \kappa_d(\tilde{X}_{in})$

ace:
 $\left.\frac{1}{2}\right) \cdot \sqrt{3} \cdot d \cdot \kappa_d(\tilde{X}_{in})$

soution of inliers:

ax(1, log $N/d)$) D with

utliers

SNR $\geq \frac{\sqrt{2} \cdot d \cdot \kappa_d(\tilde{X}_{in})}{\sin(V)}$

(+SPCA):

SNR $\geq \sqrt{3} \cdot d \cdot \kappa_d(\tilde{X}_{in})$

nce:
 $\frac{1}{t}$) $\cdot \sqrt{3} \cdot d \cdot \kappa_d(\tilde{X}_{in})$

bution of inliers:

nax(1,log N/d)) $\begin{aligned} &\textbf{Spherical GGD with}\\ &\textbf{Adversarial Outliers}\\ &\text{100 for SPCA in } \mathit{B(L,\gamma)}:\\ &\text{SNR} \geq \frac{\sqrt{2} \cdot d \cdot \kappa_d(\tilde{X}_{\text{in}})}{\sin(\gamma)}\\ &\text{111 for } \text{100 for SGGD (+SPCA):}\\ &\text{SNR} \geq \sqrt{3} \cdot d \cdot \kappa_d(\tilde{X}_{\text{in}})\\ &\text{SNR} \geq \left(2 + \frac{d-1}{N_{\text{out}}}\right) \cdot \sqrt{3} \cdot d \cdot \kappa_d(\tilde{X}_{\text{in}})\\ &\text{$ **Spherical GGD with**
 Adversarial Outliers

bradition for SPCA in $B(L_x, \gamma)$:
 $SNR \ge \frac{\sqrt{2} \cdot d \cdot \kappa_d(\tilde{X}_{in})}{\sin(\gamma)}$

ability condition for SGGD (+SPCA):
 $SNR \ge \sqrt{3} \cdot d \cdot \kappa_d(\tilde{X}_{in})$

bradition for linear convergence:
 1 GGD with

ial Outliers
 $\frac{B(L_*,y)}{\text{SNR}} \geq \frac{\sqrt{2 \cdot d \cdot \kappa_d(\tilde{X}_{\text{in}})}}{\sin(y)}$
 $\therefore \text{SGGD (+SPCA)}:$
 $\text{SNR} \geq \sqrt{3} \cdot d \cdot \kappa_d(\tilde{X}_{\text{in}})$

onvergence:
 $\left(2 + \frac{d-1}{N_{\text{out}}}\right) \cdot \sqrt{3} \cdot d \cdot \kappa_d(\tilde{X}_{\text{in}})$

n distribution of i al GGD with

rial Outliers

in $B(L_*,y)$:

SNR $\geq \frac{\sqrt{2} \cdot d \cdot \kappa_d(\tilde{X}_{in})}{\sin(y)}$

or SGGD (+SPCA):

SNR $\geq \sqrt{3} \cdot d \cdot \kappa_d(\tilde{X}_{in})$

convergence:
 $\geq \left(2 + \frac{d-1}{N_{out}}\right) \cdot \sqrt{3} \cdot d \cdot \kappa_d(\tilde{X}_{in})$

ian distribution of inli erical GGD with

irsarial Outliers

SPCA in $B(L_*,\gamma)$:

SNR $\geq \frac{\sqrt{2} \cdot d \cdot \kappa_d(\tilde{X}_{\text{in}})}{\sin(\gamma)}$

tion for SGGD (+SPCA):

SNR $\geq \sqrt{3} \cdot d \cdot \kappa_d(\tilde{X}_{\text{in}})$

inear convergence:

SNR $\geq \left(2 + \frac{d-1}{N_{\text{out}}}\right) \cdot \sqrt{3} \cdot d$ $d-1$ <u>6</u> *d* (\tilde{Y}) $\begin{align} \frac{\sqrt{2} \cdot d \cdot \kappa_d(\tilde{X}_{\text{in}})}{\sin(V)}\\ \sqrt{3} \cdot d \cdot \kappa_d(\tilde{X}_{\text{in}})\\ d \cdot \kappa_d(\tilde{X}_{\text{in}})\\ \text{of inliers:}\\ \log N \cdot (d)) \end{align}$ $\overline{N_{\text{out}}}$ ['] $\sqrt{9} \cdot a \cdot \sqrt{a} \cdot \frac{a}{a}$
- For isotropic Gaussian distribution of inliers: $\mu_d(\Lambda_{\rm in}) - O(1)$ unlike μ - $O(\max(1, \log N / d))$

Table of Comparisons

SGGD under Statistical Models

- (S)GGD adapts well to other statistical models with low SNR (Maunu, Zhang, L)
- In the case of the Needle-Haystck Model (Gaussian inliers & outliers) its lowest SNR adapts to different sample regimes. **SGGD under**
 Statistical Models

Fo adapts well to other statistical

Is with low SNR (Maunu, Zhang, L)

e case of the Needle-Haystck Model

sian inliers & outliers) its lowest

adapts to different sample regimes.

rti
- In particular, it can address any SNR when $N_{\text{out}} \ge C \cdot \max(D^3 \log^3(N), (\overline{d}/\text{SNR})^6)$
- Complexity under this model: O(*NDd*)

Open Directions in RSR

- Robustness to noise or under spiked model
- Large sample & high-dimensional limits for RSR
- Estimation of subspace dimension
- Clarification of tradeoffs
- Case for applications
- Beyond gradient descent?
- Specific issues: affine case, improved guarantees, missing values, virtue of dimension reduction, phase transitions

Relevance to Other Problems

- Robust covariance estimation
- Robust subspace/manifold clustering
- Robust synchronization
- Estimation of camera locations from corrupted pairwise directions
- Robust fundamental/essential camera estimation

Take-Home Message

- RSR is a basic problem that raises interesting questions
- Clean treatment of the case of adversary outliers
- The LAD RSR optimization problem is nonconvex. The non-convex SGGD is shown to be fast and flexible
- Ideas seem to extend to other problems

Thanks

• Highlighted Collaboration: Tyler Maunu (MIT),

- Relevant past and present collaborations: Arthur Szlam (Facebook), Ery Arias-Castro (UCSD), Teng Zhang (UCF), Yi Grace Wang (CSUDH), Mike McCoy (Cruise Automation), Joel Tropp (Caltech), John Goes (Lord Abbett), Boaz Nadler (Weizmann), Vahan Huroyan (UA), Yunpeng Shi (UMN), Wei-Kuo Chen (UMN), Madeline Handschy (UMN)
- Support by NSF, NGA, NASA
- Contact: lerman@umn.edu