#### <span id="page-0-0"></span>Robust List Decoding of Spherical Gaussians

#### Ilias Diakonikolas  $1$  Daniel M. Kane  $2$  Alistair Stewart  $3$

<sup>1</sup>Department of Computer Science University of Southern California diakonik@usc.edu

<sup>2</sup>Departments of CS/Math University of California, San Diego dakane@ucsd.edu

<sup>3</sup>Department of Computer Science University of Southern California stewart.al@gmail.com

October 29th, 2018

4 日下

# **Outline**

- **•** Problem Setup
- **Information Theoretic Bounds**
- **•** Basic Multifilters
- **Higher Degree Tests**
- **•** Learning Mixtures

 $\leftarrow$   $\Box$ 

• Gaussian 
$$
G = N(\mu, I) \subset \mathbb{R}^n
$$



画 DKS (UCSD/USC) [Robust List Decoding](#page-0-0) DKS (UCSD/USC) 8 / 52

- Gaussian  $G = N(\mu, I) \subset \mathbb{R}^n$
- Given  $m$  independent samples  $x_i$  from  $G$



4 0 8

- Gaussian  $G = N(\mu, I) \subset \mathbb{R}^n$
- Given  $m$  independent samples  $x_i$  from  $G$
- $\bullet$  Learn approximation to  $\mu$



 $\leftarrow$ 

Classic statistics problem

**← ロ ▶ → 伊** 

→ < 3  $\sim$  $\mathcal{A}$ 

Classic statistics problem

• Use 
$$
\hat{\mu} = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^{n} x_i
$$

**← ロ ▶ → 伊** 

→ < 3  $\sim$  $\mathcal{A}$ 

- Classic statistics problem
- Use  $\hat{\mu} = \frac{1}{n}$  $\frac{1}{n}\sum_{i=1}^n x_i$
- Error  $O(\sqrt{n/m}) \to 0$

4 日下

→ 4 B

• Gaussian 
$$
G = N(\mu, I) \subset \mathbb{R}^n
$$



重 DKS (UCSD/USC) [Robust List Decoding](#page-0-0) DKS (UCSD/USC) 8 7 / 52

- Gaussian  $G = N(\mu, I) \subset \mathbb{R}^n$
- $X = (1 \epsilon)G + \epsilon E$  for small  $\epsilon$



- Gaussian  $G = N(\mu, I) \subset \mathbb{R}^n$
- $X = (1 \epsilon)G + \epsilon E$  for small  $\epsilon$
- Given  $m$  independent samples  $x_i$  of  $X$



- Gaussian  $G = N(\mu, I) \subset \mathbb{R}^n$
- $X = (1 \epsilon)G + \epsilon E$  for small  $\epsilon$
- Given  $m$  independent samples  $x_i$  of  $X$
- Learn Approximation to  $\mu$



• [\[Tukey\]](#page-124-0) gave exponential time algorithm to attain  $O(\epsilon)$  error (information theoretically optimal).

4 日下

- 4 国 米

- [\[Tukey\]](#page-124-0) gave exponential time algorithm to attain  $O(\epsilon)$  error (information theoretically optimal).
- Various polynomial time algorithms giving error  $O(\epsilon$ √ n).

4 0 8

- [\[Tukey\]](#page-124-0) gave exponential time algorithm to attain  $O(\epsilon)$  error (information theoretically optimal).
- Various polynomial time algorithms giving error  $O(\epsilon$ √ n).
- [\[D-Kamath-K-Li-Moitra-S '16\]](#page-124-1) gave polynomial time algorithm with  $O(\epsilon \sqrt{\log(1/\epsilon)})$  error (against stronger error model).

- [\[Tukey\]](#page-124-0) gave exponential time algorithm to attain  $O(\epsilon)$  error (information theoretically optimal).
- Various polynomial time algorithms giving error  $O(\epsilon$ √ n).
- [\[D-Kamath-K-Li-Moitra-S '16\]](#page-124-1) gave polynomial time algorithm with  $O(\epsilon \sqrt{\log(1/\epsilon)})$  error (against stronger error model).
- [\[D-Kamath-K-Li-Moitra-S '18\]](#page-124-2) gave polynomial time algorithm for  $O(\epsilon)$  error

- [\[Tukey\]](#page-124-0) gave exponential time algorithm to attain  $O(\epsilon)$  error (information theoretically optimal).
- Various polynomial time algorithms giving error  $O(\epsilon$ √ n).
- [\[D-Kamath-K-Li-Moitra-S '16\]](#page-124-1) gave polynomial time algorithm with  $O(\epsilon \sqrt{\log(1/\epsilon)})$  error (against stronger error model).
- [\[D-Kamath-K-Li-Moitra-S '18\]](#page-124-2) gave polynomial time algorithm for  $O(\epsilon)$  error
- Substantial recent work on similar robust statistics problems

Gaussian  $G = N(\mu, I) \subset \mathbb{R}^n$ 



目 DKS (UCSD/USC) [Robust List Decoding](#page-0-0) Company Robust List Decoding Company Company Company 2 / 52

- Gaussian  $G = N(\mu, I) \subset \mathbb{R}^n$
- $X = \alpha G + (1 \alpha)E$  for small  $\alpha$



- Gaussian  $G = N(\mu, I) \subset \mathbb{R}^n$
- $X = \alpha G + (1 \alpha)E$  for small  $\alpha$
- Given  $m$  independent samples  $x_i$  of  $X$



- Gaussian  $G = N(\mu, I) \subset \mathbb{R}^n$
- $X = \alpha G + (1 \alpha)E$  for small  $\alpha$
- Given  $m$  independent samples  $x_i$  of  $X$
- Learn Approximation to  $\mu$



Problem

# What if  $X=\sum_i\alpha_i\textsf{G}_i$ ? Which is the "real"  $\textsf{G}$ ?



重 DKS (UCSD/USC) [Robust List Decoding](#page-0-0) Company Robust List Decoding Cotober, 2018 8 / 52

メロト メ都 トメ ヨ トメ ヨ

Problem

# What if  $X=\sum_i\alpha_i\textsf{G}_i$ ? Which is the "real"  $\textsf{G}$ ?



List decoding: return several hypotheses  $h_i$  with guarantee that at least one is close.

4 D F

# Robust List Decoding

- [\[Steinhardt-Charikar-Valiant '17\]](#page-124-3) first to study problem
	- $\triangleright$  Polynomial time (convex programming)
	- $\blacktriangleright$   $O(1/\alpha)$  hypotheses
	- $\triangleright \; \tilde O(\alpha^{-1/2})$  error

4 0 8

14 B K 4 B

# Information Theoretic Bounds

Before we begin, we should determine what errors are information-theoretically possible.

4 日下

• Suppose 
$$
X = N(0, 1)
$$
.



DKS (UCSD/USC) [Robust List Decoding](#page-0-0) Controllect Controllect 2018 11 / 52

- Suppose  $X = N(0, 1)$ .
- Any  $\alpha \mathsf{N}(\mu, \mathsf{I})$  with  $|\mu| \leq \sqrt{\log(1/\alpha)}/\mathsf{C}$  nearly hides under  $X$  (up to  $\alpha^{\Omega(\mathsf{C})}$  error).



- Suppose  $X = N(0, 1)$ .
- Any  $\alpha \mathsf{N}(\mu, \mathsf{I})$  with  $|\mu| \leq \sqrt{\log(1/\alpha)}/\mathsf{C}$  nearly hides under  $X$  (up to  $\alpha^{\Omega(\mathsf{C})}$  error).
- Adding a bit to  $X$ , can hide  $\alpha^{-\Omega(\mathcal{C})}$  such Gaussians.



#### Proposition

There is no algorithm that returns  $poly(1/\alpha)$  many hypothesis so that with at least 2/3 probability, at least one is within o $(\sqrt{\log(1/\alpha)})$  of the true mean.

- $\bullet$  Let X be the slightly modified Gaussian.
- There are  $\alpha^{-\Omega(C)}$  possibilities, no two within  $\sqrt{\log(1/\alpha)}/C.$
- Algorithm cannot tell which possibility is correct, and must return a hypothesis for each.

# Upper Bounds

#### Proposition

There is an (inefficient) algorithm that returns  $O(1/\alpha)$  hypotheses so that with at least  $2/3$  probability, at least one of the hypotheses is within  $O(\sqrt{\log(1/\alpha)})$  of the true mean.

4 0 8

÷

## **Hypotheses**

Let H be the set of points x for which there is a set  $S<sub>x</sub>$  of samples so that:

- $\bullet$  S<sub>x</sub> is large: it contains at least an  $\alpha/2$ -fraction of the samples.
- $\bullet$  S<sub>x</sub> is concentrated about x: in any direction, at most a  $\alpha/10$ -fraction of the points  $S_\mathsf{x}$  are further than  $2\sqrt{\log(1/\alpha)}$  from  $\mathsf{x}$  in that direction.

4.0.3

## **Hypotheses**

Let H be the set of points x for which there is a set  $S<sub>x</sub>$  of samples so that:

- $\bullet$  S<sub>x</sub> is large: it contains at least an  $\alpha/2$ -fraction of the samples.
- $\bullet$  S<sub>x</sub> is concentrated about x: in any direction, at most a  $\alpha/10$ -fraction of the points  $S_\mathsf{x}$  are further than  $2\sqrt{\log(1/\alpha)}$  from  $\mathsf{x}$  in that direction.

Note that with high probability  $\mu \in H$  with  $S_{\mu} =$  the good samples.

### **Hypotheses**

Let H be the set of points x for which there is a set  $S<sub>x</sub>$  of samples so that:

- $\bullet$  S<sub>x</sub> is large: it contains at least an  $\alpha/2$ -fraction of the samples.
- $\bullet$  S<sub>x</sub> is concentrated about x: in any direction, at most a  $\alpha/10$ -fraction of the points  $S_\mathsf{x}$  are further than  $2\sqrt{\log(1/\alpha)}$  from  $\mathsf{x}$  in that direction.

Note that with high probability  $\mu \in H$  with  $S_{\mu} =$  the good samples.

Problem: Too many hypotheses.

# Idea

Cover H with a small number of balls.

#### Lemma

There is no set of  $5/\alpha$  elements of H that are pairwise separated by at least 4 $\sqrt{\log(1/\alpha)}$ .

4 0 8

÷

# Idea

Cover H with a small number of balls.

#### Lemma

There is no set of 5/ $\alpha$  elements of H that are pairwise separated by at least 4 $\sqrt{\log(1/\alpha)}$ .

Take a maximal set of 4 $\sqrt{\log(1/\alpha)}$ -separated hypotheses.

- Size at most  $5/\alpha$ .
- Every element of H (including  $\mu$ ) within  $4\sqrt{\log(1/\alpha)}$  of one.

#### **Overlaps**

**Idea:** If x and y far away, then  $S_x$  and  $S_y$  have little overlap. If many separated  $x$ 's, then too many points.

4 日下

**<母 > <目** 

 $QQQ$
#### **Overlaps**

**Idea:** If x and y far away, then  $S_x$  and  $S_y$  have little overlap. If many separated  $x$ 's, then too many points.

#### Lemma

If  $x, y \in H$  with  $|x-y| \geq 4\sqrt{\log(1/\epsilon)}$ , then  $|S_x \cap S_y| \leq \alpha/10(|S_x| + |S_y|)$ .

#### **Overlaps**

**Idea:** If x and y far away, then  $S_x$  and  $S_y$  have little overlap. If many separated  $x$ 's, then too many points.

#### Lemma

If  $x, y \in H$  with  $|x-y| \geq 4\sqrt{\log(1/\epsilon)}$ , then  $|S_x \cap S_y| \leq \alpha/10(|S_x| + |S_y|)$ .

#### Proof.

- Project onto the line between  $x$  and  $y$ .
- At most  $\alpha |S_x|/10$  items from  $S_x$  closer to y than x.
- At most  $\alpha |S_v|/10$  items from  $S_v$  closer to x than y.



## Counting

If  $x_1, x_2, \ldots, x_m \in H$  pairwise far, then

$$
|S_{x_1} \cup S_{x_2} \cup \ldots \cup S_{x_m}| \geq \sum_{i=1}^m |S_{x_i}| - \sum_{1 \leq i < j \leq m} \alpha/10(|S_{x_i}| + |S_{x_j}|)
$$
  
= 
$$
\sum_{i=1}^m |S_{x_i}| (1 - m\alpha/10)
$$
  

$$
\geq m\alpha/2|S|(1 - m\alpha/10).
$$

 $\leftarrow$   $\Box$ 

 $AB + AB$ 

画

舌

14.1

## Counting

If  $x_1, x_2, \ldots, x_m \in H$  pairwise far, then

$$
|S_{x_1} \cup S_{x_2} \cup \ldots \cup S_{x_m}| \geq \sum_{i=1}^m |S_{x_i}| - \sum_{1 \leq i < j \leq m} \alpha/10(|S_{x_i}| + |S_{x_j}|)
$$
  
= 
$$
\sum_{i=1}^m |S_{x_i}| (1 - m\alpha/10)
$$
  

$$
\geq m\alpha/2|S|(1 - m\alpha/10).
$$

If  $m = 5/\alpha$ , this is more than the total number of samples.

 $\leftarrow$   $\Box$ 

A + + = +

÷

 $QQ$ 

• If the good samples have all but  $\alpha/10$ -fraction within t of the mean in any direction, can get  $O(1/\alpha)$  hypotheses with error  $O(t)$ .

4 0 8

 $QQQ$ 

#### Notes

- **If the good samples have all but**  $\alpha/10$ -fraction within t of the mean in any direction, can get  $O(1/\alpha)$  hypotheses with error  $O(t)$ .
- Given a set H of hypotheses at least one within  $r$  of true mean, can in poly-time reduce to a set of  $O(1/\alpha)$  with error  $O(r+\sqrt{\log(1/\alpha)})$ .

#### Notes

- **If the good samples have all but**  $\alpha/10$ -fraction within t of the mean in any direction, can get  $O(1/\alpha)$  hypotheses with error  $O(t)$ .
- Given a set H of hypotheses at least one within  $r$  of true mean, can in poly-time reduce to a set of  $O(1/\alpha)$  with error  $O(r+\sqrt{\log(1/\alpha)})$ .
	- I Use LP to determine if there is a set  $S_{x}$  with concentration about x in the directions  $x - y$ .
	- Cover remaining  $x$ 's with balls.

## Summary

- [\[Steinhardt-Charikar-Valiant '17\]](#page-124-0) gives an algorithm that attains  $\tilde{O}(\alpha^{-1/2})$  error.
- Information-theoretically can achieve  $O(\sqrt{\log(1/\alpha)})$  error.

4 0 8

## **Summary**

- [\[Steinhardt-Charikar-Valiant '17\]](#page-124-0) gives an algorithm that attains  $\tilde{O}(\alpha^{-1/2})$  error.
- Information-theoretically can achieve  $O(\sqrt{\log(1/\alpha)})$  error.

Question: What is achievable efficiently?

4 0 8

## Algorithms

- **•** Filters and Multifilters
- Obstacle at  $\alpha^{-1/2}$ .
- Higher Degree Idea
- Variance Control

4 日下

 $\rightarrow$  $-4$ ÷ 画

舌

 $QQ$ 

## Sample Mean

• For non-robust algorithm use sample mean  $\hat{\mu}$ .



画

 $QQ$ 

## Sample Mean

- For non-robust algorithm use sample mean  $\hat{\mu}$ .
- For moderately-robust problem would like to use  $\hat{\mu}$ .



 $\leftarrow$ 

## Sample Mean

- For non-robust algorithm use sample mean  $\hat{\mu}$ .
- For moderately-robust problem would like to use  $\hat{\mu}$ .
- Problem: A few bad samples can seriously change the sample mean.



# Identifying Errors

Want to certify  $\mu_X \approx \mu$ .

一本 重 下

**K ロ ⊁ K 伊 ⊁ K 活 ⊁** 

重

# Identifying Errors

Want to certify  $\mu_X \approx \mu$ .

 $\bullet$  Otherwise, some unit vector v so that v ·  $(\mu_X - \mu)$  is large.

 $\equiv$   $\Omega$ 

na m≊

**K ロ ▶ | K 伺 ▶ | K ヨ ▶** 

# Identifying Errors

Want to certify  $\mu_X \approx \mu$ .

- $\bullet$  Otherwise, some unit vector v so that v  $\cdot (\mu_X \mu)$  is large.
- Requires  $\text{Var}(v \cdot X)$  is large.
- **Can detect this.**

4 日下

 $\equiv$   $\cap$   $\alpha$ 

#### **Filters**

If  $\text{Var}(v \cdot X)$  large, must be some outliers for  $v \cdot X$ .



重

 $2990$ 

イロト イ部 トイヨ トイヨト

#### **Filters**

If  $\text{Var}(v \cdot X)$  large, must be some outliers for  $v \cdot X$ . Can create a filter that throws away mostly bad samples.



 $\leftarrow$   $\Box$ 

 $QQ$ 

## Moderately Robust Algorithm

- $\bullet$  Take set S of samples
- **2** Compute empirical covariance matrix  $\hat{\Sigma}$
- **3** If largest eigenvalue is small
	- Return sample mean  $\mu_S$
- <sup>4</sup> Else
	- $\blacktriangleright$  Create filter
	- Apply to  $S$
	- $\triangleright$  Go to step 2.

4.0.3

## Moderately Robust Algorithm

- $\bullet$  Take set S of samples
- **2** Compute empirical covariance matrix  $\hat{\Sigma}$
- **3** If largest eigenvalue is small
	- Return sample mean  $\mu_S$
- <sup>4</sup> Else
	- $\blacktriangleright$  Create filter
	- Apply to  $S$
	- $\triangleright$  Go to step 2.

Each iteration either returns an answer or produces a cleaner sample.

## **Multifilters**

If  $\alpha < 1/2$ , might not be able to tell where the real samples are.



4 日下

 $\sim$ 

э

## Multifilters

If  $\alpha$  < 1/2, might not be able to tell where the real samples are.



Split into several overlapping sets of samples  $S_i$ 

 $\leftarrow$ 

## Multifilters

If  $\alpha$  < 1/2, might not be able to tell where the real samples are.



Split into several overlapping sets of samples  $S_i$  so that:

- $\bullet$  At least one  $S_i$  has higher fraction of good samples than S
- $\sum |S_i|^2 \leq |S|^2$

Split into cases

- Case 1: Almost all of the samples are in the same small interval.
- **Case 2:** There are clusters of samples far apart from each other.

4 0 8

## Filter Case

Suppose that there is an interval *I* containing all but an  $\alpha/3$ -fraction of samples.

4 0 8

**<母 > <目** 

画

## Filter Case

Suppose that there is an interval *I* containing all but an  $\alpha/3$ -fraction of samples.

With high probability, true mean in I.

4 日下

Suppose that there is an interval *I* containing all but an  $\alpha/3$ -fraction of samples.

- With high probability, true mean in I.
- All but a tiny fraction of good samples within  $O(\sqrt{\log(1/\alpha)})$  of  $I.$

4 0 8

Suppose that there is an interval I containing all but an  $\alpha/3$ -fraction of samples.

- With high probability, true mean in *I*.
- All but a tiny fraction of good samples within  $O(\sqrt{\log(1/\alpha)})$  of  $I.$
- Unless variance is  $O(|I|^2 + \log(1/\alpha))$ , so that at most an  $\alpha^2$ -fraction of removed samples were good.

Suppose that there is an interval *I* with at least an  $\alpha/6$ -fraction of samples on either side of it.

4 日下

 $\blacktriangleright$   $\blacktriangleleft$ 

÷

 $QQ$ 

Suppose that there is an interval *I* with at least an  $\alpha/6$ -fraction of samples on either side of it.

Find some x, let  $S_1 = {\text{samples}} \leq x + 10\sqrt{\log(1/\alpha)}$ ,  $S_2 = {\text{samples}} \geq x - 10\sqrt{\log(1/\alpha)}$ .

4 0 8

Suppose that there is an interval I with at least an  $\alpha/6$ -fraction of samples on either side of it.

- Find some x, let  $S_1 = {\text{samples}} \leq x + 10\sqrt{\log(1/\alpha)}$ ,  $S_2 = {\text{samples}} \geq x - 10\sqrt{\log(1/\alpha)}$ .
- All but an  $\alpha^2$ -fraction of removed samples (on the correct side) are bad:
	- If  $\mu \geq x$ , all but  $\alpha^3$ -fraction of good samples in  $S_2$ .
	- If  $\mu \leq x$ , all but  $\alpha^3$ -fraction in  $S_1$ .
	- Always throw away at least  $\alpha/6$  samples.

つへへ

Suppose that there is an interval I with at least an  $\alpha/6$ -fraction of samples on either side of it.

- Find some x, let  $S_1 = {\text{samples}} \leq x + 10\sqrt{\log(1/\alpha)}$ ,  $S_2 = {\text{samples}} \geq x - 10\sqrt{\log(1/\alpha)}$ .
- All but an  $\alpha^2$ -fraction of removed samples (on the correct side) are bad:
	- If  $\mu \geq x$ , all but  $\alpha^3$ -fraction of good samples in  $S_2$ .
	- If  $\mu \leq x$ , all but  $\alpha^3$ -fraction in  $S_1$ .
	- Always throw away at least  $\alpha/6$  samples.
- **Need:**  $|S_1|^2 + |S_2|^2 \leq |S|^2$ .

つへへ



• Let  $f(x)$  be the fraction of samples less than x.

 $\leftarrow$   $\Box$ 

 $\mathcal{A}$  $\blacktriangleright$   $\blacktriangleleft$ э  $\mathcal{A}$  画

- Let  $f(x)$  be the fraction of samples less than x.
- Need  $x \in I$  so that  $(1 f(x))^2 + f(x + 20\sqrt{\log(1/\alpha)})^2 \leq 1.$

4 0 8

÷

 $QQ$ 

- Let  $f(x)$  be the fraction of samples less than x.
- Need  $x \in I$  so that  $(1 f(x))^2 + f(x + 20\sqrt{\log(1/\alpha)})^2 \leq 1.$
- Happens unless  $f\big(x+20\sqrt{\log(1/\alpha)}\big) \gg f(x)^{1/2}.$

4 0 8

÷

- Let  $f(x)$  be the fraction of samples less than x.
- Need  $x \in I$  so that  $(1 f(x))^2 + f(x + 20\sqrt{\log(1/\alpha)})^2 \leq 1.$
- Happens unless  $f\big(x+20\sqrt{\log(1/\alpha)}\big) \gg f(x)^{1/2}.$
- Good unless  $f\big(x+{20}t\sqrt{\log(1/\alpha)}\big) \gg \alpha^{1/2^t},$  only works for  $t \ll \log \log(1/\alpha)$ .

4.0.3
- Let  $f(x)$  be the fraction of samples less than x.
- Need  $x\in I$  so that  $(1-f(x))^2+f(x+20\sqrt{\log(1/\alpha)})^2\leq 1.$
- Happens unless  $f\big(x+20\sqrt{\log(1/\alpha)}\big) \gg f(x)^{1/2}.$
- Good unless  $f\big(x+{20}t\sqrt{\log(1/\alpha)}\big) \gg \alpha^{1/2^t},$  only works for  $t \ll \log \log(1/\alpha)$ .

Can find such sets unless  $|I| = O(\sqrt{\log(1/\alpha)}\log\log(1/\alpha)).$ 

## General Situation

Can create a filter or multifilter if either:

- No interval  $I$  of length  $O(\sqrt{\log(1/\alpha)}\log\log(1/\alpha))$  contains all but an  $\alpha/3$ -fraction of samples.
- An interval  $I$  of length  $O(\sqrt{\log(1/\alpha)}\log\log(1/\alpha))$  contains all but an  $\alpha/3$ -fraction of samples, and the variance is  $\Omega(|I|^2).$

## General Situation

Can create a filter or multifilter if either:

- No interval  $I$  of length  $O(\sqrt{\log(1/\alpha)}\log\log(1/\alpha))$  contains all but an  $\alpha/3$ -fraction of samples.
- An interval  $I$  of length  $O(\sqrt{\log(1/\alpha)}\log\log(1/\alpha))$  contains all but an  $\alpha/3$ -fraction of samples, and the variance is  $\Omega(|I|^2).$

### Proposition

If the variance in some direction is more than a sufficient multiple of  $log(1/\alpha)$  (with a slight refinement of the argument) then we can find at most two sets of samples  $S_i$  so that

 $\bullet\,$  For some i, at most an  $\alpha^2$ -fraction of  $\mathcal{S}\backslash\mathcal{S}_i$  is good samples. **2**  $\sum_i |S_i|^2 \leq |S|^2$ .

## Basic Multifilter Algorithm

- $\bullet$  Maintain several sets  $S_i$  of samples
- $\bullet$  For each  $i$ , compute empirical covariance matrix  $\hat{\Sigma_i}$
- $\bullet$  If some  $\hat{\Sigma_i}$  has a large eigenvalue
	- $\blacktriangleright$  Create multifilter
	- Apply to  $S_i$
	- Replace  $S_i$  by resulting sets in list
	- $\triangleright$  Go to step 2.
- $\bullet$  Return list of all  $\mu_{\mathcal{S}_i}$

At each step:

- At least one  $S_i$  has an  $\alpha$ -fraction of good samples (in fact at least half of the total good samples)
- $\sum |S_i|^2 \le |S|^2$

4 0 8

÷

At each step:

- At least one  $S_i$  has an  $\alpha$ -fraction of good samples (in fact at least half of the total good samples)
- $\sum |S_i|^2 \le |S|^2$

When return if:

- $\bullet$  S<sub>i</sub> has  $\alpha$ -fraction of good samples AND
- $\hat{\Sigma_i}$  has no large eigenvalues

4 0 8

At each step:

- At least one  $S_i$  has an  $\alpha$ -fraction of good samples (in fact at least half of the total good samples)
- $\sum |S_i|^2 \le |S|^2$

When return if:

- $\bullet$  S<sub>i</sub> has  $\alpha$ -fraction of good samples AND
- $\hat{\Sigma_i}$  has no large eigenvalues

Then for all  $|v| = 1$ ,

$$
\log(1/\alpha) \gg \text{Var}(v \cdot S_i) \geq \alpha [v \cdot (\mu_{S_i} - \mu)]^2,
$$

so

$$
|\mu_{\mathcal{S}_i} - \mu| = O(\alpha^{-1/2}\sqrt{\log(1/\alpha)}).
$$

4 0 8

÷

 $QQ$ 

## Obstacle at  $\alpha^{-1/2}$

Unfortunately, the error *can* be as much as  $\alpha^{-1/2}.$ 



 $\leftarrow$   $\Box$ 

DKS (UCSD/USC) [Robust List Decoding](#page-0-0) DKS (UCSD/USC) 33 / 52

目

 $\equiv$ 

 $\rightarrow$   $\rightarrow$   $\rightarrow$  $-4$   $QQ$ 

Bounds on the second moments are not enough to ensure concentration.

活

 $\equiv$ 

 $2990$ 

メロトス部 トメミトメ

Bounds on the second moments are not enough to ensure concentration. Fix: use higher moments.

**← ロ ▶ → イ 同** 

医尿管下

 $-4$ 

目

If for all unit vectors  $v$ ,

$$
\mathbb{E}[|v\cdot(X-\mu_X)|^{2d}]=O(1),
$$

then

$$
1 \gg \alpha |\mathbf{v} \cdot (\mu - \mu_X)|^{2d},
$$

so

$$
|\mu - \mu_X| = O(\alpha^{-1/2d}).
$$

重

 $299$ 

イロト イ部 トメ ヨ トメ ヨト

## Computational Difficulty

It is computationally intractable to determine whether or not there is a unit vector  $v$  for which  $\mathbb{E}[(v \cdot X)^{2d}]$  is large when  $d > 1$ .

4 0 8

## Computational Difficulty

It is computationally intractable to determine whether or not there is a unit vector  $v$  for which  $\mathbb{E}[(v \cdot X)^{2d}]$  is large when  $d > 1$ . Idea: Look at a relaxation of this problem.

[Hopkins-Li,Kothari-Steinhardt,Kothari-Steurer]: Look for SoS proof that  $\mathbb{E}[(v \cdot X)^{2d}] \ll |v|_2^{2d}$  for all  $v$ .

## Computational Difficulty

It is computationally intractable to determine whether or not there is a unit vector  $v$  for which  $\mathbb{E}[(v \cdot X)^{2d}]$  is large when  $d > 1$ . Idea: Look at a relaxation of this problem.

- [Hopkins-Li,Kothari-Steinhardt,Kothari-Steurer]: Look for SoS proof that  $\mathbb{E}[(v \cdot X)^{2d}] \ll |v|_2^{2d}$  for all  $v$ .
- This talk: See if there is any degree-d polynomial  $p$  with  $\mathbb{E}[p(X)^2]$ too big.

Determine whether or not there is a degree-d polynomial  $\rho$  with  $\mathbb{E}[\rho(S)^2]$ substantially larger than  $\mathbb{E} [p(\mathit{G}_{\mu_{S}})^{2}].$ 

 $\leftarrow$   $\Box$ 

÷

Determine whether or not there is a degree-d polynomial  $\rho$  with  $\mathbb{E}[\rho(S)^2]$ substantially larger than  $\mathbb{E} [p(\mathit{G}_{\mu_{S}})^{2}].$ 

- Eigenvalue computation.
- If not, implies  $|\mu-\mu_{\mathcal{S}}| = \tilde{O}(\alpha^{-1/2d}).$
- If yes, create a (multi-)filter.

4 0 8

 $QQ$ 

If  $\text{Var}(p(X))$  is too large, create a (multi-)filter based on the values of p.

4 日下

 $QQ$ 

If  $\text{Var}(p(X))$  is too large, create a (multi-)filter based on the values of p.

- Compute values of  $p(x)$  for  $x \in S$ .
- **•** Fairly spread out.
- Values of  $p(G)$  are clustered.
- Use same multifilter ideas as before.

If  $\text{Var}(p(X))$  is too large, create a (multi-)filter based on the values of p.

- Compute values of  $p(x)$  for  $x \in S$ .
- **•** Fairly spread out.
- Values of  $p(G)$  are clustered.
- Use same multifilter ideas as before.

**Problem:**  $Var(p(G))$  might also be large!

If  $\text{Var}(p(X))$  is too large, create a (multi-)filter based on the values of p.

- Compute values of  $p(x)$  for  $x \in S$ .
- **•** Fairly spread out.
- Values of  $p(G)$  are clustered.
- Use same multifilter ideas as before.

**Problem:**  $Var(p(G))$  might also be large!

- Unlike degree-1 polynomials, for degree-d,  $Var(p(G))$  depends on  $\mu$ .
- Want a way to verify that  $Var(p(G))$  is small.

## The Strategy

- Given a  $\rho$  with  $\mathbb{E}[\rho(S)^2] \gg \mathbb{E}[\rho(\mathit{G}_{\mu_S})^2]$  try to either:
	- Verify that  $\mathbb{E}[\rho(G)^2] \approx \mathbb{E}[\rho(\mathit{G}_{\mu_{S}})^2]$ 
		- Exm then filter out points with  $p(x)^2$  too large.

4 0 8

## The Strategy

- Given a  $\rho$  with  $\mathbb{E}[\rho(S)^2] \gg \mathbb{E}[\rho(\mathit{G}_{\mu_S})^2]$  try to either:
	- Verify that  $\mathbb{E}[\rho(G)^2] \approx \mathbb{E}[\rho(\mathit{G}_{\mu_{S}})^2]$ 
		- Exm then filter out points with  $p(x)^2$  too large.
	- OR produce a (multi-)filter in failing to verify this.

4.0.3

# Bounding  $\mathbb{E}[p(G)^2]$

For any degree-d polynomial  $\rho$ ,  $\mathbb{E}[\rho(G)^2]=q(\mu)$  for some degree-2d polynomial q.

 $\leftarrow$   $\Box$ 

 $\rightarrow$   $\oplus$   $\rightarrow$   $\rightarrow$   $\oplus$ 

画

 $QQ$ 

 $\equiv$ 

# Bounding  $\mathbb{E}[p(G)^2]$

- For any degree-d polynomial  $\rho$ ,  $\mathbb{E}[\rho(G)^2]=q(\mu)$  for some degree-2d polynomial q.
- This in turn equals  $\mathbb{E}[r(G_1, G_2, \ldots, G_{2d})]$  for some multilinear r with  $|r| \approx |p|$  and  $G_i$  i.i.d. copies of  $G$ .

4 0 8

# Bounding  $\mathbb{E}[p(G)^2]$

- For any degree-d polynomial  $\rho$ ,  $\mathbb{E}[\rho(G)^2]=q(\mu)$  for some degree-2d polynomial q.
- This in turn equals  $\mathbb{E}[r(G_1, G_2, \ldots, G_{2d})]$  for some multilinear r with  $|r| \approx |p|$  and  $G_i$  i.i.d. copies of  $G$ .

**Point:** If  $\mathbb{E}[p(G)^2]$  is too big, then  $r(x_1, x_2, \ldots, x_{2d})$   $(x_i \in S)$ , has an  $\alpha^{2d}$ chance of being large.

## Large Values

Suppose that  $r(x_1, x_2, \ldots, x_{2d})$  is much larger than expected.

 $\leftarrow$   $\Box$ 

 $\leftarrow$   $\leftarrow$   $\leftarrow$   $\leftarrow$   $\leftarrow$   $\leftarrow$ 

造

 $\equiv$ 

 $-4$ 

 $-990$ 

## Large Values

Suppose that  $r(x_1, x_2, \ldots, x_{2d})$  is much larger than expected.

- Assign  $x_i$ 's one at a time.
- At some stage the size of the polynomial must jump.
- In particular,

$$
\mathbb{E}[|r(x_1, x_2, \ldots, x_{i+1}, G'_{i+2}, \ldots, G'_{2d})|^2] \gg \mathbb{E}[|r(x_1, x_2, \ldots, x_i, G'_{i+1}, \ldots, G'_{2d})|^2]
$$

where  $\mathsf{G}'_j$  are i.i.d. copies of  $\mathsf{G}_{\mu_S}.$ 

4 0 8

## Quadratic

**o** Note that

$$
s(y) = \mathbb{E}[|r(x_1, x_2, \ldots, x_i, y, G'_{i+2}, \ldots, G'_{2d})|^2]
$$

is a quadratic polynomial in  $y$  with  $s(x_{i+1}) \gg \mathbb{E}[s(G_{\mu_S})].$ 

4 日下

 $\blacktriangleright$   $\blacktriangleleft$ 

画

 $QQ$ 

## Quadratic

• Note that

$$
s(y) = \mathbb{E}[|r(x_1, x_2, \dots, x_i, y, G'_{i+2}, \dots, G'_{2d})|^2]
$$

is a quadratic polynomial in  $y$  with  $s(x_{i+1}) \gg \mathbb{E}[s(G_{\mu_S})].$ 

• Can diagonalize s as

$$
s(y) = \sum L_j(y)^2
$$

for linear polynomials  $L_j$ .

4 0 8

÷

## Quadratic

• Note that

$$
s(y) = \mathbb{E}[|r(x_1, x_2, \ldots, x_i, y, G'_{i+2}, \ldots, G'_{2d})|^2]
$$

is a quadratic polynomial in  $y$  with  $s(x_{i+1}) \gg \mathbb{E}[s(G_{\mu_S})].$ 

**•** Can diagonalize s as

$$
s(y) = \sum L_j(y)^2
$$

for linear polynomials  $L_j$ .

• So there must be some *j* for which  $L_i(x_{i+1})$  is much larger than expected. This will let us create a (multi-)filter.

## Algorithm

- $\bullet$  Try to find polynomial  $\rho$  with  $\mathbb{E}[\rho(S)^2] \gg \log^{4d}(1/\alpha)\mathbb{E}[\rho(\mathcal{G}_{\mu_S})^2].$ 
	- If none exist, return  $\mu_S$ .
- **2** Compute corresponding multilinear r. See if  $|r(x_1,\ldots,x_{2d})|^2\gg \log^{2d}(1/\alpha)\mathbb{E}[p(\mathit{G}_{\mu_{S}})^2]$  with probability at least  $\alpha^{2d}$ .
	- If not,  $\mathbb{E}[p(G)^2]$  is small, filter out x with  $p(x)^2$  more than average, and return to step 1.
- **3** Find  $x_1, x_2, ..., x_i$  so that with  $\alpha$  probability over  $y \in S$ ,  $|r(x_1,\ldots,x_i,y)|^2\gg \log(1/\alpha)|r(x_1,\ldots,x_i)|^2.$
- $\bullet$  Compute the corresponding quadratic  $s(y) = \sum L_j(y)^2.$
- **•** Find an *j* so that  $L_i(y)$  is likely larger than expected. Use to create a (multi-)filter. Apply and return to step 1.

 $\Omega$ 

イロト イ押ト イヨト イヨト

## **Requirements**

### Samples:

- S needs to be representative of G with respect to polynomials of degree 2d.
- $|S| = \text{poly}(n^d/\alpha).$

4 日下

 $\sim$ 

画

 $QQ$ 

## **Requirements**

### Samples:

- S needs to be representative of G with respect to polynomials of degree 2d.
- $|S| = \text{poly}(n^d/\alpha).$

Runtime:

- Need to check for events with probability  $\alpha^{2d}.$
- Runtime is  $\mathrm{poly}(|\mathcal{S}|/\alpha^d).$

## Final Results

#### Theorem

There exists an algorithm that given  $O(d^{2d})n^{O(d)}/poly(\alpha)$  i.i.d. samples from  $X$ , there is an  $(\mathsf{nd}/\alpha)^{O(d)}$  time algorithm which with high probability returns a list of  $O(1/\alpha)$  hypotheses so that at least one hypothesis is within  $\tilde{O}_d(\alpha^{-1/2d})$  of  $\mu$ .

つへへ

## Final Results

#### Theorem

There exists an algorithm that given  $O(d^{2d})n^{O(d)}/poly(\alpha)$  i.i.d. samples from  $X$ , there is an  $(\mathsf{nd}/\alpha)^{O(d)}$  time algorithm which with high probability returns a list of  $O(1/\alpha)$  hypotheses so that at least one hypothesis is within  $\tilde{O}_d(\alpha^{-1/2d})$  of  $\mu$ .

Note: in quasi-polynomial time/samples can achieve polylog error. We think we can improve to  $O(\sqrt{\log(1/\alpha)})$ .

つへへ

## SQ Lower Bounds

In fact, this list decoding result is qualitatively tight for SQ algorithms (though note that our algorithm is not quite SQ).

#### Theorem

Any SQ list decoding algorithm that with 2/3 probability returns a list of hypotheses at least one of which is closer than  $\alpha^{-1/d}$  from the mean must do one of the following:

- Return exponentially many hypotheses.
- Perform exponentially many queries.
- Perform queries with accuracy  $n^{-\Omega(d)}$ .

つひひ
#### Learning Mixtures of Spherical Gaussians

Application: Let  $X=1/k\sum_{i=1}^k G_i$  with each  $G_i\sim N(\mu_i,I).$ 

4 日下

÷

 $QQQ$ 

## Learning Mixtures of Spherical Gaussians

Application: Let  $X=1/k\sum_{i=1}^k G_i$  with each  $G_i\sim N(\mu_i,I).$ Want to learn the  $\mu_i.$ 



• [\[Regev-Vijjayraghavan '17\]](#page-124-0) show information-theoretically impossible to learn the means unless have separation  $\Omega(\sqrt{\log(k)})$ .

4 日下

÷

 $QQ$ 

# **History**

- [\[Regev-Vijjayraghavan '17\]](#page-124-0) show information-theoretically impossible to learn the means unless have separation  $\Omega(\sqrt{\log(k)})$ .
- [\[Regev-Vijjayraghavan '17\]](#page-124-0) show how to improve a rough approximation to  $\mu_i$  to a precise one.

4 日下

# **History**

- [\[Regev-Vijjayraghavan '17\]](#page-124-0) show information-theoretically impossible to learn the means unless have separation  $\Omega(\sqrt{\log(k)})$ .
- [\[Regev-Vijjayraghavan '17\]](#page-124-0) show how to improve a rough approximation to  $\mu_i$  to a precise one.
- [\[Vempala-Wang '02\]](#page-124-1) Give algorithm with separation  $\Omega(k^{1/4})$ .

4 日下

# **History**

- [\[Regev-Vijjayraghavan '17\]](#page-124-0) show information-theoretically impossible to learn the means unless have separation  $\Omega(\sqrt{\log(k)})$ .
- [\[Regev-Vijjayraghavan '17\]](#page-124-0) show how to improve a rough approximation to  $\mu_i$  to a precise one.
- [\[Vempala-Wang '02\]](#page-124-1) Give algorithm with separation  $\Omega(k^{1/4})$ .

Question: How much separation is actually needed?

# List Decoding

Run list decoding algorithm. Since  $X$  is a noisy version of *each*  $G_i$ *,* our list contains approximations to all means with error D.



4 D F



DKS (UCSD/USC) [Robust List Decoding](#page-0-0) Controllect Controllect 2018 50 / 52

重

 $2990$ 

 $\rightarrow \equiv$ 

 $\mathbf{p}$ 

**K ロ ト K 伊 ト K 毛** 

Round samples to nearest hypothesis. With high probability samples round to one of hypotheses within  $O(D)$  of the mean.



 $\leftarrow$ 

DKS (UCSD/USC) [Robust List Decoding](#page-0-0) COMBING DRS (UCSD/USC) 52

Round samples to nearest hypothesis. With high probability samples round to one of hypotheses within  $O(D)$  of the mean. Cluster used hypotheses.



 $\leftarrow$ 

DKS (UCSD/USC) **[Robust List Decoding](#page-0-0) COLL 2018** 50 / 52

Round samples to nearest hypothesis. With high probability samples round to one of hypotheses within  $O(D)$  of the mean.

Cluster used hypotheses.

Recover original Gaussians to estimate means.



## **Results**

#### Theorem

If the means have separation  $\Omega(k^{1/2d})$ , there is an algorithm that takes  $\mathit{poly}(n, (dk)^d)$  samples, runs in sample polynomial time and returns accurate approximations to the  $\mu_i.$ 

 $200$ 

## Results

#### Theorem

If the means have separation  $\Omega(k^{1/2d})$ , there is an algorithm that takes  $\mathit{poly}(n, (dk)^d)$  samples, runs in sample polynomial time and returns accurate approximations to the  $\mu_i.$ 

Can be improved to polylogarithmic separation in quasi-polynomial time/samples. We think we can improve this to  $O(\sqrt{\log(k)})$  separation.

## Results

#### Theorem

If the means have separation  $\Omega(k^{1/2d})$ , there is an algorithm that takes  $\mathit{poly}(n, (dk)^d)$  samples, runs in sample polynomial time and returns accurate approximations to the  $\mu_i.$ 

Can be improved to polylogarithmic separation in quasi-polynomial time/samples. We think we can improve this to  $O(\sqrt{\log(k)})$  separation. Can be generalized to unequal mixtures or to Gaussians with different radii (though still spherical).

## Conclusion

Have a robust list decoding algorithm with much better error. Can use to learn mixtures of spherical Gaussians with  $k^\delta$  separation.

4 日下

## Conclusion

Have a robust list decoding algorithm with much better error. Can use to learn mixtures of spherical Gaussians with  $k^\delta$  separation. Open problems:

- **1** How much can the Gaussian assumption be relaxed?
- 2 Can you do better for learning mixtures than for list decoding?
- **3** Are there better algorithms for density estimation?

- Ilias Diakonikolas, Gautam Kamath, Daniel M. Kane, Jerry Li, Ankur Moitra, Alistair Stewart, Robust Estimators in High Dimensions, without the Computational Intractability, Foundations Of Computer Science, (FOCS) 2016.
- Ilias Diakonikolas, Gautam Kamath, Daniel M. Kane, Jerry Li, Ankur Moitra, Alistair Stewart Robustly Learning a Gaussian: Getting Optimal Error Efficiently, Symposium On Discrete Algorithms (SODA) 2018.
- 螶 Jacob Steinhardt, Moses Charikar, Gregory Valiant Learning from Untrusted Data STOC, 2017.
- <span id="page-124-0"></span>O. Regev, A. Vijjayraghavan On learning mixtures of well-separated gaussians Proceedings of FOCS, 2017.
- <span id="page-124-1"></span>J.W. Tukey, Mathematics and picturing of data Proceedings of ICM, volume 6, pp. 523-531, 1975.

 $QQ$ 

イロト イ押ト イヨト イヨト



4 日下

 $QQ$